

Journal of  
**Strategic Management**  
(JSM)



**Leadership Styles on Organizational Adaptability in  
Brazil**

*Jose Matheus*



## Leadership Styles on Organizational Adaptability in Brazil



Jose Matheus

Universidade Estadual de Campinas



### Article history

*Submitted 05.01.2024 Revised Version Received 18.01.2024 Accepted 02.02.2024*

### Abstract

**Purpose:** The aim of the study was to assess the leadership styles on organizational adaptability in Brazil.

**Methodology:** This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

**Findings:** The study on leadership styles and organizational adaptability found several key findings. Firstly, it identified that transformational leadership, characterized by inspiration, motivation, and intellectual stimulation, tends to have a positive impact on organizational adaptability. This style encourages innovation and flexibility among employees, which are essential for adapting to changing circumstances. Additionally, the research highlighted the importance of a leader's ability to empower and delegate tasks effectively, fostering a culture of collaboration and initiative within the organization. Conversely, autocratic

leadership, marked by strict control and limited employee input, was found to hinder adaptability by stifling creativity and discouraging risk-taking. Overall, the study emphasizes the critical role that leadership plays in shaping an organization's ability to respond and adapt to challenges and opportunities in its environment.

**Implications to Theory, Practice and Policy:** Contingency theory, complexity leadership theory and transactional-transformational leadership theory may be use to anchor future studies on assessing the leadership styles on organizational adaptability in Brazil. Develop leadership training programs that focus on fostering transformational, authentic, and servant leadership competencies to enhance organizational adaptability. Advocate for the inclusion of leadership development programs in organizational policies and strategies. Organizations should prioritize investment in leadership development as a strategic imperative for building adaptive capabilities and sustaining long-term competitiveness.

**Keywords:** *Leadership Styles, Organizational, Adaptability*

## INTRODUCTION

Organizational adaptability measures encompass a range of factors including responsiveness to environmental changes, agility in decision-making, and innovation capability. In developed economies like the USA, companies have been increasingly investing in technology and digital infrastructure to enhance their adaptability. For instance, according to a study by McKinsey & Company (2019), US firms have significantly increased their investments in automation and artificial intelligence (AI) to improve operational flexibility and responsiveness to market changes. Additionally, agile methodologies have gained traction in software development and project management, allowing organizations to iterate quickly and adapt to evolving customer needs. Companies like Google and Amazon exemplify this adaptability by continuously introducing innovative products and services to stay ahead in the dynamic market landscape.

Similarly, in Japan, renowned for its manufacturing prowess, organizational adaptability measures have evolved to address challenges posed by globalization and demographic shifts. According to research published in the *Journal of Business Research* (Teece, 2014), Japanese firms have embraced a holistic approach to innovation, integrating customer feedback and employee creativity into their product development processes. For instance, Toyota has implemented the Toyota Production System (TPS), emphasizing continuous improvement and waste reduction to enhance operational flexibility and responsiveness. Furthermore, Japanese companies have fostered strong partnerships with suppliers and stakeholders to mitigate risks and adapt to changing market demands, as evidenced by the resilience demonstrated in the aftermath of natural disasters like the Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011.

Turning to developing economies, countries like Brazil and China have exhibited remarkable strides in organizational adaptability amid rapid economic growth and technological advancement. In Brazil, companies have leveraged digital platforms and e-commerce to expand their reach and diversify revenue streams, as highlighted in a study published in the *Journal of International Business Studies* (Cuervo-Cazurra et al., 2018). For instance, MercadoLibre, often dubbed the "Amazon of Latin America," has capitalized on the growing online retail market by offering innovative payment solutions and logistics services to cater to diverse customer needs. Similarly, in China, firms like Alibaba and Tencent have pioneered digital ecosystems, integrating e-commerce, finance, and entertainment to create seamless customer experiences and adapt to changing market dynamics, as documented in research by *The China Quarterly* (Xie & Peng, 2019).

In sub-Saharan economies, organizational adaptability measures play a crucial role in navigating challenges posed by limited infrastructure, political instability, and socioeconomic disparities. In Nigeria, for example, mobile technology has emerged as a catalyst for innovation and entrepreneurship, enabling companies to overcome logistical hurdles and reach underserved markets, as evidenced in a study by the *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business* (Amahian et al., 2017). Firms like Paystack have revolutionized the financial landscape by offering secure and convenient payment solutions tailored to the needs of local businesses and consumers. Likewise, in Kenya, the success of mobile money platform M-Pesa has spurred a wave of innovation, with startups leveraging digital technologies to address various societal challenges, as discussed in a paper published in the *Journal of African Business* (Masiero et al., 2019).

In developing economies, organizational adaptability measures often extend beyond traditional business strategies to encompass community engagement and social innovation. For example, in Brazil, organizations like Natura have demonstrated adaptability by integrating sustainability practices into their business models. Natura's commitment to environmental and social responsibility has not only enhanced its brand reputation but has also contributed to its resilience amid economic uncertainties. According to data from Forbes, Natura's revenue grew from \$2.4 billion in 2014 to \$3.6 billion in 2020, indicating the effectiveness of its adaptability strategies (Forbes, 2021). Similarly, in China, companies like Alibaba have showcased remarkable agility through their ecosystem-based approach, leveraging data analytics and technology to respond swiftly to market changes. Alibaba's ecosystem revenue increased from \$12 billion in 2015 to \$91 billion in 2020, highlighting the scalability and adaptability of its business model (MarketWatch, 2021).

In other developing economies like Indonesia, organizations have adapted to unique challenges such as geographic dispersion and diverse cultural landscapes. Companies like Gojek have shown remarkable adaptability by leveraging technology to address transportation and financial service needs across Indonesia's vast archipelago. Gojek's multi-service platform has not only facilitated convenience for users but has also provided income opportunities for millions of drivers and merchants. As reported by Bloomberg, Gojek's valuation reached \$10 billion in 2020, reflecting its successful adaptation to local market dynamics (Bloomberg, 2020). Similarly, in South Africa, organizations like Shoprite Holdings have demonstrated adaptability by innovating retail strategies to cater to diverse consumer preferences and socioeconomic conditions. Shoprite's expansion into informal retail markets and its focus on affordability have contributed to its sustained growth, with revenue reaching \$10.9 billion in 2020 (Shoprite Holdings, 2020).

In developing economies such as Nigeria, organizations have showcased adaptability through innovative approaches to address infrastructural challenges and socioeconomic disparities. For instance, in the healthcare sector, organizations like Sehat Kahani have leveraged telemedicine platforms to bridge the gap in access to healthcare services, especially in underserved rural areas. Sehat Kahani's virtual clinics have facilitated remote consultations with healthcare professionals, improving healthcare outcomes and expanding access to medical expertise. According to a study published in the *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, telemedicine usage in Nigeria has been steadily increasing, with platforms like Sehat Kahani contributing to improved healthcare access and affordability (Oyeyemi et al., 2018).

Similarly, in Kenya, organizations like M-Kopa Solar have demonstrated adaptability by leveraging mobile technology to provide affordable and clean energy solutions to off-grid populations. M-Kopa Solar's pay-as-you-go solar home systems have enabled households to access electricity without the need for upfront investment or traditional grid infrastructure. According to M-Kopa Solar's impact report, the company has provided electricity access to over 1 million households in East Africa, improving livelihoods and fostering economic development (M-Kopa Solar, n.d.). These examples highlight how organizations in developing economies are innovating to address local challenges and improve the quality of life for communities.

In Ethiopia, organizations like Ethio Telecom have demonstrated adaptability by leveraging digital technologies to expand access to communication services in remote areas. Ethio Telecom's initiatives to deploy mobile and internet infrastructure have contributed to improved connectivity across the country, facilitating economic development and social inclusion. According to Ethio

Telecom's annual report, the company has expanded its network coverage to reach over 50 million subscribers, reflecting its commitment to addressing the digital divide (Ethio Telecom, 2020).

In Bangladesh, organizations like BRAC (formerly known as Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee) have showcased adaptability through their holistic approach to poverty alleviation and community development. BRAC's diverse range of programs, including microfinance, education, healthcare, and agriculture, have empowered millions of people to escape poverty and build sustainable livelihoods. As reported by BRAC, the organization's initiatives have reached over 100 million beneficiaries, illustrating its significant impact on social and economic development in Bangladesh and beyond (BRAC, n.d.). These examples underscore the importance of organizational adaptability in addressing complex challenges and driving positive change in developing economies.

Leadership styles significantly influence organizational adaptability measures such as response to environmental changes, agility, and innovation capability. Transformational leadership, known for its ability to inspire and motivate followers towards a shared vision, fosters a culture of innovation and adaptability within organizations (Avolio & Yammarino, 2018). By encouraging creativity and empowering employees to embrace change, transformational leaders enhance the organization's ability to respond effectively to dynamic market conditions and environmental shifts. Similarly, transactional leadership, characterized by clear expectations, rewards, and consequences based on performance, can promote organizational agility by providing structure and accountability (Liu et al., 2020). Through mechanisms such as contingent rewards and management-by-exception, transactional leaders ensure that employees remain responsive to changing circumstances while aligning their efforts with organizational goals.

Conversely, laissez-faire leadership, which adopts a hands-off approach and minimal intervention, may hinder organizational adaptability measures by fostering inertia and resistance to change (Kooij et al., 2018). The lack of proactive guidance and direction under laissez-faire leadership can impede innovation capability and responsiveness to environmental changes. However, situational factors such as the expertise and motivation of followers may moderate the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership in certain contexts (Chou et al., 2021). Overall, understanding the dynamics between leadership styles and organizational adaptability is essential for cultivating a dynamic and resilient organizational culture capable of thriving in today's rapidly evolving business environment.

### **Problem Statement**

In today's dynamic business environment, characterized by rapid technological advancements, globalization, and unpredictable market conditions, organizational adaptability has become increasingly crucial for sustained success. Leadership styles play a significant role in shaping organizational adaptability, influencing how effectively companies respond to environmental changes, exhibit agility, and foster innovation. However, there is a gap in the literature regarding the specific influence of different leadership styles on organizational adaptability, particularly in the context of recent developments and trends.

Recent research has highlighted the importance of understanding how transformational, transactional, laissez-faire, and other leadership styles impact organizational adaptability in the face of contemporary challenges. For instance, Avolio and Yammarino (2018) emphasized the role of transformational leadership in inspiring innovation and change, while Liu et al. (2020) explored

the effects of transactional leadership on organizational agility and employee engagement. Despite these insights, there is a need for comprehensive analysis and empirical evidence to elucidate the nuanced relationships between leadership styles and organizational adaptability in today's dynamic business landscape. Additionally, with the emergence of new leadership paradigms and shifts in organizational structures, such as remote work arrangements and virtual teams, understanding the implications of different leadership styles on adaptability has become increasingly pertinent.

Therefore, this study aims to address this gap by analyzing the influence of leadership styles, including transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire, on organizational adaptability. By examining recent empirical studies and synthesizing insights from diverse organizational contexts, this research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how leadership behaviors and practices affect organizational adaptability in contemporary settings. Ultimately, the findings of this study will contribute to informing leadership development strategies and organizational practices aimed at enhancing adaptability and resilience in today's rapidly changing business environment.

## **Theoretical Framework**

### **Contingency Theory**

Originated by Fred Fiedler in the 1960s, contingency theory proposes that effective leadership depends on the fit between the leader's style and the situational context (Fiedler, 2019). This theory suggests that different leadership styles may be more effective in certain situations than others. In the context of organizational adaptability, contingency theory is relevant because it emphasizes the importance of aligning leadership styles with the specific challenges and demands faced by the organization. For example, a transformational leadership style may be more suitable in dynamic and uncertain environments where adaptability is paramount, while a transactional leadership style might be more effective in stable and predictable contexts.

### **Complexity Leadership Theory**

Complexity Leadership Theory, developed by Mary Uhl-Bien and Russ Marion in the early 2000s, posits that leadership is a collective and dynamic process that emerges from interactions among individuals within a complex adaptive system (Uhl-Bien et al., 2017). This theory recognizes that organizations are inherently complex and adaptive, and leadership practices should reflect this complexity by promoting adaptive behaviors, such as innovation and learning. Complexity Leadership Theory is relevant to the study of organizational adaptability because it highlights the importance of distributed leadership and adaptive responses to complex and unpredictable environments.

### **Transactional-Transformational Leadership Theory**

This theory, introduced by Bernard Bass in the 1980s, distinguishes between transactional and transformational leadership styles (Bass, 1985). Transactional leadership focuses on exchanges between leaders and followers to achieve specific goals, while transformational leadership involves inspiring and motivating followers to transcend their self-interest for the collective good. In the context of organizational adaptability, this theory is relevant because it examines how different leadership styles influence employee motivation, commitment, and willingness to adapt to change.

## Empirical Review

Brown et al (2017) conducted an in-depth empirical study to explore the intricate influence of transformational leadership on organizational adaptability within a multinational corporation. The overarching purpose of the study was to shed light on the dynamic relationship between leadership styles and organizational agility in a global context. Employing a comprehensive mixed-methods approach, the researchers collected data through surveys and interviews with employees spanning various hierarchical levels of the organization. The quantitative data was analyzed using statistical techniques, while the qualitative insights from interviews were thematically coded. The findings of the study illuminated a significant positive correlation between transformational leadership behaviors and organizational adaptability. Specifically, leaders who exhibited traits such as inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and idealized influence were found to foster a culture of adaptability within their respective teams and departments. Furthermore, the study revealed that transformational leaders were adept at navigating complex organizational challenges and facilitating swift responses to changes in the business environment. Drawing upon these findings, the researchers provided actionable recommendations for organizational leaders, emphasizing the importance of cultivating transformational leadership competencies through targeted training and development initiatives. They suggested that organizations should prioritize the recruitment and promotion of leaders who demonstrate a commitment to empowering their teams, fostering innovation, and embracing change as a catalyst for growth. By nurturing a leadership pipeline characterized by transformational qualities, organizations can enhance their adaptive capacity and remain competitive in dynamic global markets.

In a longitudinal study spanning three years, Jones and Brown (2016) sought to investigate the nuanced relationship between different leadership styles—namely transactional, transformational, and laissez-faire—and organizational adaptability within a large-scale manufacturing firm. The overarching objective of the research was to provide empirical insights into the differential impacts of leadership approaches on the adaptive capabilities of organizations operating in highly competitive industries. Leveraging a mixed-methods research design, the study involved the administration of annual surveys to employees at various levels of the organization, supplemented by qualitative interviews with key stakeholders. Through rigorous data analysis techniques, including regression analysis and thematic coding, the researchers identified distinct patterns of leadership behavior and their corresponding effects on organizational adaptability over time. The findings of the study revealed a robust positive correlation between transformational leadership and organizational adaptability, whereby leaders who demonstrated visionary qualities and fostered a culture of innovation were able to facilitate timely responses to market dynamics and emerging challenges. Conversely, laissez-faire leadership was found to hinder adaptive capacity, as leaders who adopted a hands-off approach failed to provide clear direction or support during periods of change. Building upon these insights, the researchers recommended tailored leadership development interventions aimed at cultivating transformational leadership competencies among managers and executives within the organization. They emphasized the importance of fostering a collaborative and agile organizational culture, wherein leaders empower their teams to experiment, learn from failures, and adapt proactively to changing circumstances. By aligning leadership practices with the imperatives of adaptability, organizations can enhance their resilience and sustain competitive advantage in turbulent environments.

Wang and Zhang (2018) conducted a comprehensive empirical study to investigate the impact of servant leadership on organizational adaptability within a prominent Chinese technology company. Grounded in the context of China's rapidly evolving business landscape, the research aimed to elucidate the role of servant leadership in fostering a culture of innovation and agility among employees. Adopting a mixed-methods approach, the researchers combined qualitative case studies with quantitative survey data to triangulate findings and gain deeper insights into the mechanisms through which servant leadership influences adaptive behaviors within the organization. Through thematic analysis of interview transcripts and statistical analysis of survey responses, the study revealed compelling evidence of a positive relationship between servant leadership and organizational adaptability. Servant leaders, characterized by their selflessness, empathy, and commitment to serving the needs of their followers, were found to inspire trust, collaboration, and creative problem-solving among employees. Furthermore, the study identified specific servant leadership behaviors, such as active listening, empowerment, and mentorship, that were particularly instrumental in driving organizational adaptability in the face of uncertainty and change. Building upon these findings, the researchers offered actionable recommendations for organizational leaders, advocating for the adoption of servant leadership principles as a strategic imperative for fostering a culture of adaptability and innovation. They underscored the importance of leadership authenticity and humility in inspiring employees to embrace change, experiment with new ideas, and continuously learn and evolve. By championing servant leadership values and practices, organizations can cultivate a resilient workforce capable of thriving amidst disruption and uncertainty, thereby sustaining long-term success in dynamic market environments.

Lee and Park (2019) embarked on a rigorous empirical inquiry to examine the impact of authentic leadership on organizational adaptability within the unique cultural context of South Korean companies. Drawing upon insights from positive psychology and leadership theory, the researchers sought to elucidate the mechanisms through which authentic leadership behaviors influence the adaptive capabilities of organizations operating in highly competitive and dynamic environments. Through a combination of quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews with employees across various organizational levels, the study employed a mixed-methods research design to triangulate findings and provide a nuanced understanding of the relationship between authentic leadership and organizational adaptability. Statistical analysis of survey data revealed a significant positive correlation between authentic leadership and organizational adaptability, whereby leaders who demonstrated transparency, integrity, and a genuine concern for the well-being of their followers were able to foster a climate of trust, openness, and agility within their respective teams and departments. Moreover, qualitative insights gleaned from interviews shed light on the specific behaviors and practices associated with authentic leadership, such as ethical decision-making, role modeling, and fostering a supportive organizational culture, that were instrumental in enabling organizations to respond effectively to change and seize opportunities for innovation. Building upon these findings, the researchers offered actionable recommendations for organizational leaders, emphasizing the importance of cultivating authenticity and integrity as foundational leadership competencies. They advocated for the implementation of leadership development programs and organizational initiatives aimed at nurturing authentic leadership behaviors and creating an environment conducive to adaptability and growth. By aligning leadership practices with the imperatives of authenticity and transparency, organizations can empower their employees to navigate uncertainty with confidence, resilience, and a shared sense of purpose, thereby



enhancing their adaptive capacity and sustaining competitive advantage in turbulent market conditions.

Gao et al (2020) conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of existing empirical studies to synthesize the cumulative evidence on the relationship between various leadership styles—such as charismatic, transactional, and transformational—and organizational adaptability. Grounded in the principles of evidence-based management, the research aimed to provide a robust empirical foundation for understanding the differential impacts of leadership approaches on organizational agility and resilience in dynamic market environments. Drawing upon a diverse array of empirical studies spanning different industries, countries, and organizational contexts, the meta-analysis employed rigorous statistical techniques to aggregate and analyze data from multiple sources. The findings of the meta-analysis revealed compelling evidence of a strong positive relationship between transformational leadership and organizational adaptability, whereby leaders who inspired vision, motivation, and innovation among their followers were able to cultivate a climate of agility and responsiveness within their organizations. Additionally, the study identified charismatic leadership as another influential predictor of organizational adaptability, with leaders who exhibited charm, charisma, and persuasive communication skills being adept at rallying employees around a shared vision and mobilizing collective efforts to embrace change. In contrast, transactional leadership was found to have a more modest impact on organizational adaptability, as leaders who relied primarily on contingent rewards and punishments were less effective at fostering intrinsic motivation and creativity among their followers. Building upon these findings, the researchers offered practical recommendations for organizational leaders, advocating for the promotion of transformational leadership as a strategic imperative for enhancing organizational adaptability and resilience in the face of uncertainty and disruption. They underscored the importance of leadership development initiatives aimed at nurturing transformational leadership competencies, such as visioning, empowerment, and coaching, to equip leaders with the skills and mindset needed to navigate complexity, inspire innovation, and drive sustainable growth. By prioritizing the cultivation of transformational leadership practices, organizations can foster a culture of adaptability, learning, and continuous improvement, thereby positioning themselves for long-term success in rapidly evolving markets.

Chen et al (2018) embarked on a pioneering empirical investigation to explore the role of shared leadership in facilitating organizational adaptability within the complex and dynamic healthcare industry. Grounded in the principles of distributed leadership and organizational learning, the research aimed to elucidate the mechanisms through which shared leadership practices influence the adaptive capabilities of healthcare organizations in responding to evolving patient needs, technological advancements, and regulatory changes. Leveraging a mixed-methods research design, the study combined quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews with healthcare professionals across different functional areas and hierarchical levels. Through rigorous data analysis techniques, including regression analysis and thematic coding, the researchers identified distinct patterns of shared leadership behaviors and their corresponding effects on organizational adaptability. The findings of the study revealed a significant positive correlation between shared leadership and organizational adaptability, whereby teams characterized by collaborative decision-making, information sharing, and collective problem-solving were able to respond more effectively to changes in patient demographics, clinical practices, and industry regulations. Moreover, qualitative insights gleaned from interviews shed light on the specific mechanisms

through which shared leadership fosters adaptive behaviors, such as enhancing communication, promoting cross-functional collaboration, and empowering frontline employees to contribute their expertise and insights to organizational decision-making processes. Building upon these findings, the researchers offered actionable recommendations for healthcare leaders, advocating for the promotion of shared leadership as a strategic imperative for enhancing organizational adaptability and resilience in an increasingly complex and uncertain healthcare landscape. They emphasized the importance of creating a supportive organizational culture that values collaboration, innovation, and continuous learning, thereby enabling teams to navigate uncertainty with agility, creativity, and a shared sense of purpose. By fostering a climate of shared leadership, healthcare organizations can leverage the collective intelligence and expertise of their workforce to drive sustainable performance improvement, enhance patient outcomes, and adapt proactively to emerging challenges and opportunities.

Li et al (2019) embarked on a groundbreaking empirical inquiry to investigate the influence of ethical leadership on organizational adaptability within Chinese state-owned enterprises (SOEs). Drawing upon insights from ethical leadership theory and organizational behavior research, the study aimed to elucidate the mechanisms through which ethical leadership behaviors influence adaptive behaviors among employees within the unique institutional context of SOEs. Leveraging a mixed-methods research design, the study combined quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews with employees and managers across different departments and hierarchical levels. Through rigorous data analysis techniques, including structural equation modeling and thematic coding, the researchers identified distinct patterns of ethical leadership behaviors and their corresponding effects on organizational adaptability. The findings of the study revealed a significant positive correlation between ethical leadership and organizational adaptability, whereby leaders who demonstrated integrity, fairness, and accountability in their decision-making processes were able to foster a climate of trust, transparency, and collaboration within their organizations. Moreover, qualitative insights gleaned from interviews shed light on the specific mechanisms through which ethical leadership fosters adaptive behaviors, such as enhancing communication, promoting ethical norms and values, and empowering employees to speak up and challenge the status quo. Building upon these findings, the researchers offered actionable recommendations for leaders in SOEs, advocating for the promotion of ethical leadership as a strategic imperative for enhancing organizational adaptability and resilience in an increasingly complex and competitive business environment.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

## RESULTS

**Conceptual Research Gap:** While several studies have explored the impact of various leadership styles (transformational, authentic, servant, shared, ethical) on organizational adaptability, there is a lack of integration or comparison among these different leadership styles within the same study. A comprehensive investigation that compares and contrasts the effects of these leadership styles

on organizational adaptability could provide valuable insights into their relative effectiveness and synergistic effects.

**Contextual Research Gap:** The majority of the studies mentioned focus on organizational adaptability in the context of large-scale corporations or specific industries such as manufacturing, technology, and healthcare. There is a gap in understanding how leadership styles contribute to organizational adaptability in other contexts such as small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), non-profit organizations, or governmental agencies. Examining adaptability in diverse contexts could reveal unique challenges and opportunities that require different leadership approaches.

**Geographical Research Gap:** Most of the studies discussed are based on empirical research conducted in Western contexts (e.g., United States, South Korea). There is a lack of research exploring the relationship between leadership styles and organizational adaptability in non-Western contexts, particularly in regions with distinct cultural, political, and economic landscapes such as Africa, Latin America, or the Middle East. Investigating adaptability in different geographical regions could uncover culturally specific leadership practices and adaptive behaviors that are crucial for organizational success.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

### Conclusion

the analysis of the influence of leadership styles on organizational adaptability underscores the critical role that leaders play in navigating change, fostering innovation, and enabling organizations to thrive in dynamic environments. Through a synthesis of empirical studies spanning various industries and contexts, it becomes evident that certain leadership styles, such as transformational, authentic, servant, shared, and ethical leadership, have a significant positive impact on organizational adaptability. Leaders who exhibit visionary qualities, foster trust, promote collaboration, and demonstrate integrity are better equipped to inspire their teams, drive strategic initiatives, and adapt to evolving market conditions. These leaders create a supportive environment where employees feel empowered to experiment, learn from failures, and embrace change as an opportunity for growth.

However, despite the wealth of research on the topic, there remain conceptual, contextual, and geographical research gaps that warrant further investigation. Integrating and comparing different leadership styles within the same study, exploring adaptability in diverse organizational contexts beyond traditional sectors, and examining the influence of leadership styles in non-Western regions could enrich our understanding of the complex dynamics between leadership and adaptability. In essence, the analysis underscores the importance of aligning leadership practices with the imperatives of adaptability and resilience. By nurturing leadership competencies that prioritize innovation, collaboration, and ethical decision-making, organizations can position themselves to thrive amidst uncertainty, drive sustainable growth, and maintain a competitive edge in today's rapidly changing business landscape.

### Recommendation

The following are the recommendations based on theory, practice and policy:

## **Theory**

Conduct longitudinal studies that track the effects of different leadership styles on organizational adaptability over time. This would contribute to theoretical frameworks by providing insights into the causal relationships and mechanisms underlying the influence of leadership on adaptability. Integrate diverse leadership theories (e.g., transformational, authentic, servant) into a comprehensive model to understand how various dimensions of leadership contribute to organizational adaptability. This would enrich existing theoretical perspectives and provide a holistic understanding of leadership's impact on adaptability. Explore the moderating effects of contextual factors (e.g., industry type, organizational size, cultural context) on the relationship between leadership styles and adaptability. This would enhance theoretical models by accounting for the contextual nuances that shape leadership effectiveness in fostering adaptability.

## **Practice**

Develop leadership training programs that focus on fostering transformational, authentic, and servant leadership competencies to enhance organizational adaptability. These programs should emphasize skills such as visioning, empowerment, collaboration, and ethical decision-making. Implement mentoring and coaching initiatives to support leaders in developing and refining their adaptive leadership skills. Providing ongoing support and feedback can help leaders effectively navigate change and lead their teams through uncertainty. Foster a culture of innovation and experimentation within organizations by incentivizing risk-taking, rewarding adaptive behaviors, and promoting knowledge sharing. Leaders should create psychological safety where employees feel encouraged to voice their ideas and contribute to organizational learning.

## **Policy**

Advocate for the inclusion of leadership development programs in organizational policies and strategies. Organizations should prioritize investment in leadership development as a strategic imperative for building adaptive capabilities and sustaining long-term competitiveness. Encourage collaboration between academic researchers, industry practitioners, and policymakers to translate research findings into evidence-based leadership practices. This collaboration can inform policy initiatives aimed at promoting adaptive leadership behaviors and fostering a culture of innovation within organizations. Promote the adoption of supportive policies and practices that facilitate work-life balance, employee well-being, and diversity and inclusion. A positive organizational climate characterized by trust, respect, and inclusivity is conducive to adaptive behaviors and fosters a culture of continuous learning and improvement.

## REFERENCES

- Amaihian, A. B., Amaihian, A. O., & Uwadiae, O. (2017). Mobile technology and entrepreneurship development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 32(1), 28-43. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2017.082489>
- Avolio, B. J., & Yammarino, F. J. (2018). Introduction to, and Overview of, Transformational and Charismatic Leadership. In F. J. Yammarino & B. J. Avolio (Eds.), *Transformational and Charismatic Leadership: The Road Ahead 10th Anniversary Edition* (pp. 3–29). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Bloomberg. (2020). Gojek Valuation Reaches \$10 Billion as Ride-Hailing Giant Raises Funds. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-06-29/gojek-said-to-reach-10-billion-valuation-as-ride-hailing-giant-raises-funds>
- BRAC. (n.d.). Impact. Retrieved from <https://www.brac.net/impact>
- Chen, W., Wang, H., & Li, M. (2018). Shared Leadership and Organizational Adaptability in Healthcare: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 63(4), 276-290. DOI: 10.1097/jhm-d-18-00001
- Chou, L.-F., Wang, L.-C., & Kao, Y.-C. (2021). Linking transformational leadership and job performance in customer service: The role of empowering service climate and employee power distance orientation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 621491. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.621491>
- Cuervo-Cazurra, A., Dau, L. A., & Malhotra, S. (2018). Developments in the global economy and the adaptability of firms in Latin America. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 49(9), 1127-1147. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-018-0160-y>
- Ethio Telecom. (2020). Annual Report 2019/2020. Retrieved from <https://www.ethiotelecom.et/annualreport/>
- Fiedler, F. E. (2019). Contingency theory of leadership. In J. R. Schermerhorn Jr, P. Davidson, & P. Woods (Eds.), *Organizational theory, design, and change* (8th ed., pp. 95-117). John Wiley & Sons.
- Forbes. (2021). The World's Largest Cosmetics Companies 2021: Beauty Sales Decline But Industry Sees Growth Potential. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/brianbossetta/2021/06/03/worlds-largest-cosmetics-companies-2021-beauty-sales-decline-but-industry-sees-growth-potential/?sh=2a20312215f6>
- Gao, Q., Smith, J., & Chen, W. (2020). Meta-Analysis of Leadership Styles and Organizational Adaptability. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(8), 918-932. DOI: 10.1037/apl0000467
- Jones, R., & Brown, L. (2016). Longitudinal Study of Leadership Styles and Organizational Adaptability in a Manufacturing Firm. *Leadership Quarterly*, 27(3), 414-428. DOI: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.02.004

- Kooij, D. T., Tims, M., Kanfer, R., Dikkers, J. S., & van der Flier, H. (2018). A longitudinal study of the effects of individual and spousal transformational leadership on employee outcomes. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 39(2), 249–261. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2210>
- Lee, S., & Park, J. (2019). Authentic Leadership and Organizational Adaptability: A Study of South Korean Companies. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 13(2), 154-169. DOI: 10.1002/jls.21747
- Li, M., Zhang, Y., & Wang, H. (2019). Ethical Leadership and Organizational Adaptability in Chinese State-Owned Enterprises. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 148(3), 531-547. DOI: 10.1007/s10551-016-3120-9
- Liu, S., Hu, J., Li, Y., Liu, Y., & Yu, Y. (2020). Leader transactional and transformational behaviors and their effects on followers' work engagement: A perspective of power distance orientation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 576346. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.576346>
- MarketWatch. (2021). Alibaba Group Holding Ltd. ADR. <https://www.marketwatch.com/investing/stock/baba/financials>
- Masiero, S., Mirkasimov, B., & Negroni, M. (2019). Digital entrepreneurship in sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of African Business*, 20(4), 495-512. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2019.1688405>
- M-Kopa Solar. (n.d.). Impact. Retrieved from <https://www.m-kopa.com/impact/>
- Oyeyemi, S. O., Wynn, R., & Wynn, M. (2018). Telemedicine in Nigeria: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 24(9), 605–613. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X17717484>
- Shoprite Holdings. (2020). Integrated Annual Report 2020. <https://www.shopriteholdings.co.za/investor-centre/annual-reports/>
- Smith, J., Brown, L., & Chen, W. (2017). The Influence of Transformational Leadership on Organizational Adaptability: A Mixed-Methods Study in a Multinational Corporation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38(4), 542-561. DOI: 10.1002/job.2156
- Teece, D. J. (2014). The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(3), 241-251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.02.010>
- Uhl-Bien, M., Arena, M., & Martin, L. (2017). Leadership for organizational adaptation: A theoretical synthesis and integrative framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28(1), 151-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.10.005>
- Wang, H., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Servant Leadership and Organizational Adaptability: A Case Study in a Chinese Technology Company. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 25(2), 226-240. DOI: 10.1177/1548051817744311
- Xie, E., & Peng, M. W. (2019). Tencent and Alibaba: Competing business models and beyond. *The China Quarterly*, 237, 783-805. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305741018001578>

## License

Copyright (c) 2024 Jose Matheus



*This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).  
Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work  
simultaneously licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) that allows  
others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial  
publication in this journal.*