European Journal of **Human Resource** *(EJH*)



EFFECT OF EMPLOYEES PERCEPTIONS OF PROCEDURAL JUSTICE ON EMPLOYEE COMMITMENT IN HEALTH SECTOR NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS IN KENYA

Patrick M. Gichira, Dr Susan M. Were, Dr George O. Orwa





EFFECT OF EMPLOYEES PERCEPTIONS OF PROCEDURAL JUSTICE ON EMPLOYEE COMMITMENT IN HEALTH SECTOR NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS IN KENYA

^{1*}Patrick M. Gichira

Post Graduate Student: School of Human Resources Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Technology *Corresponding Author's E-mail: pgichira@gmail.com

²Dr Susan M. Were Lecturer, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Technology ²Dr George O. Orwa Lecturer, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Technology

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of the study was to establish the effect of employees' perceptions of procedural justice on employee commitment in health sector non-governmental organizations in Kenya.

Methodology: The study adopted descriptive and correlational research designs with a statistical sample of 195 employees responsible for key result areas in 17 health sector Non-Governmental Organizations. Justice perceptions were measured using Colquitt's four construct model comprising of distributive, procedural, interpersonal and informational justice while organizational commitment was measured through Meyer's three component model comprising of affective, continuance and normative commitment. Inferential statistics comprising of correlation, multiple linear regression models and ANOVA analysis were applied `to establish the relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Qualitative data was analyzed through the use of questionnaires.

Results: Based on the findings, the study concluded that procedural justice perceptions have a positive influence on affective commitment and normative commitment.

Unique contribution to theory, practice and policy: Managers and other leaders should be aware that the fairness of procedures used in allocating rewards and the voice afforded employees in the allocation process are as important as the fairness of the allocation of rewards in improving level of employees' commitment in an organization. For organizational procedures to be perceived as fair, they should be applied consistently.

Keywords: *employees' perceptions, procedural justice, employee commitment, health sector non-governmental organizations*



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Many contemporary writings on organizations emphasize the importance of core values to the organization (Collins & Porras, 1997). Justice in terms of fair treatment of employees is identified as one of those values and fairness as one of the fundamental bases of cooperative action in organizations (Cropanzo *et al*, 2007). Truth telling, promise keeping, fairness, and respect for the individual are some of the key guiding principles of effective people management in organizations (Russell, 2001).

Justice perceptions can influence employees' attitudes and behaviour for good or ill, in turn having a positive or negative impact on their performance and the organization's success (Baldwin, 2006). Justice is therefore a basic requirement for the effective functioning of organizations and the personal commitment of the individuals they employ (McFarlin & Sweeney, 1992). Employee perceptions of organizational justice in terms of fair formal decision-making procedures (procedural justice), fair decision outcomes (distributive justice), fair interpersonal treatment (interpersonal justice) and information sharing (informational justice) by decision makers have been found to be related to a variety of work-related attitudes and behaviors including commitment (Colquitt, *et al*, 2001, Al-Zu'bi, 2010; Yucel, 2013; Akanbi & Ofoegbu, 2013).

Organisational commitment is the bond employees experience with their organization (Folger & Cropanzano, 1998). Employees who are committed to their organisation generally feel a connection with their organization, feel that they fit in and, feel they understand the goals of the organisation (Cohen *et al.*, 2001). The added value of such employees is that they tend to be more determined in their work, show relatively high productivity and are more proactive in offering their support (Konovsky, *et al*, 2000). Meyer & Allen (1997) conceptualized organizational commitment in three dimensions namely affective, continuance and normative commitments.

Justice perceptions can influence employee attitudes and behaviour for good or ill, in turn having a positive or negative impact on individual, group and the entire organization's performance and success (Baldwin, 2006). Empirical evidence supports the notion that an employee's perception of organizational justice affects their attitude toward the organization (Konovsky, *et al*, 2000). If the perception of organizational justice is positive, individuals tend to be more satisfied and committed to their job (McFarlin & Sweeney, 1992).

Organizational justice impacts on employees in organizations since they are the subject of work place decisions virtually every day of their organizational lives (Cohen *et al.*, 2001). Some of these decisions deal with the salaries individuals earn, the projects or programmes they implement while others deal with work place interactions. The importance of those consequences causes individuals to judge the decision making they experience from a justice perspective (Colquitt, 2001). According to Baldwin (2006) the term organizational justice refers to the extent to which employees perceive workplace procedures, interactions, and outcomes to be fair in nature. He concluded that these perceptions can influence attitudes and



behaviours of the employees. Cropanzano, Bowen and Gilliland (2007) defined it as a personal evaluation about the ethical and moral standing of managerial conduct.

Current literature on organizational justice identifies four different constructs; distributive justice, procedural justice, interpersonal justice and informational justice (Colquitt, Greenberg, & Zapata- Phelan, 2005). Distributive justice is the justice of an employee which he perceives as a result of comparing the commitments he makes to his work and the outcomes of these such as rewards, duties and responsibilities, compared to the commitments the other employees make and the outcomes of them (Colquitt,2001).

Procedural justice implies that, while evaluating the fairness of the organizational decisions, employees are not only interested in what these decisions are but also with the processes which determine these decisions (Folger & Cropanzano, 1998). Interpersonal justice refers to people's perceptions of the fairness of the manner in which they are treated by those in authority during the enactment of organizational procedures (Lind & Bos, 2002) while informational justice refers to people's perceptions of the fairness of the fairness of the fairness of the information used as the basis for making a decision (Gurbuz & Mert, 2009). Each of these forms of justice has been found to have different effects on employee commitment (Colquitt, *et al.*, 2005).

1.2 Problem Statement

Employees are the subject of decisions virtually every day of their organizational lives (Colquitt, 2001). In organizational settings, justice is not always administered through fair allocation of employment resources, provision of clear and adequate explanations for decisions made and employees are not always treated with dignity and respect during the implementation of policies and procedures (Cohen-Charash & Spector, 2001; Frontela, 2007).

Adoption of effective human resource management (HRM) practices in many Non-Governmental organizations (NGOs) is often low in the list of management priority (Batti, 2014) because NGO organizations discourages investment in human resource capacities and staff retention measures due to the short term nature of the projects, funding constraints and subsequent short term employment practices. This in turn leads to negative justice perceptions and commitment. (Padaki, 2007).

In a study carried out by Frontela (2007) in Kenya and other developing countries, the researchers found that irrespective of the affiliation, mission, size and extent of operations, problems of low morale and low motivation of staff were prevalent in NGOs. These are all indicators of antecedents and outcomes of commitment (Wright, & Kehoe, 2008). They point to a possible absence of organizational justice and low employee commitment.

Organizational justice research has predominately involved employees from Western countries, particularly the U.S. (McFarlin & Sweeney, 2001). As such, the current thinking regarding reactions to organizational justice may not generalize to employees from societies that have cultural and economic characteristics which differ significantly from those commonly found in North American and Western European societies. In addition, in their meta-analytical review of literature on commitment in organizations in the period 1988 to



2011, Iqbal *et al* (2012) found out that most of the research studies published was conducted at the industry or firm level as the unit of analysis. Furthermore, the organization and management of NGO sector has received relatively little attention from researchers (Lewis, 2005). There is therefore a paucity of information regarding the importance of fairness and employee reactions to organizational justice from different contexts especially Africa and particularly the Health sector NGOs in Kenya. Given this lack of information, the study sought to establish the effect of employees' perceptions of procedural justice on employee commitment in health sector non-governmental organizations in Kenya.

1.3 Research Objectives

To establish the effect of employees perceptions of procedural justice on employee commitment in health sector non-governmental organizations in Kenya.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Organizational Commitment Theories

Scholars have offered many differing views and theories regarding employee commitment towards the employer organization. The key emerging themes indicate that in general, commitment is made up of investments, reciprocity, social identity, and lack of alternatives (Brum, 2007). The investment approach states that it is an employee's investment and anticipation of a future pay off that serves to tie them closer to the organization. Reciprocity, in contrast, indicates that it is the employee's obligation to pay off their debt to the organization that will lead to greater commitment (Barrett & O'Connell, 2001). The identification argument specifies that commitment can grow as a result of an employee's social identity becoming increasingly embedded in their employment (Blau & Boal, 1987). Lastly, the lack of alternatives element states that the more specific an employee's skills become to a particular organization the less likely they will leave (Scholl, 1981).

The main theories on organizational commitment relevant to the study are Side Bet theory, Theory of Reciprocity and Meyer & Allen's Three-Component Model of Organizational Commitment.

Side- Bet Theory

According to Becker"s side bet theory, the relationship between an employee and the organization is founded on behaviours bounded by a contract of economic gains. Employees are committed to the organization because they have some hidden vested investments or sidebets. These side-bets are valued by the individual because of the accrual of certain costs that render disengagement difficult. Becker argued that over a period of time certain costs accrue that make it more difficult for the person to disengage from a consistent pattern of activity, namely, maintaining membership in the organization. Accordingly, the threat of losing these investments, along with a perceived lack of alternatives to replace or make up for their loss, commits the person to the organization (Griffin & Hepburn, 2005).



Becker went on to clarify that side bets can be centred on time, effort, pay, benefits, and so on. The greater the investment in any of these "side bets", the more likely the employee will remain with an organization. Due to the perceived cost of leaving being too high, side bets can serve to actually increase the employee's intent to remain in an organization (Liou & Nyhan, 1994).

Several elements must exist in order for commitment to be achieved through a "side bet". One such element is that the individual is aware that a "side bet" was made. Another is that the choices that were made regarding a particular decision have an effect on other potential decisions. The "side bet" philosophy states that an investment is made today with the expectation that the benefit will be achieved at some future point (Scholl, 1981).

Theory of Reciprocity

Employees have specific desires and expectations. When an organization seeks to meet and exceed these desires and expectations through reciprocity, then the likelihood of improving commitment is enhanced. The premise behind reciprocity is that an employee will help the organization because the organization helped them. Under the norm of reciprocity, employees with strong perceptions of organizational support would therefore feel obligated to repay the organization in terms of organizational commitment (Steers, 1977). Brum (2007) argues that employees may view some human resource outcomes as a "gift". Training is one such practice that employees may view as a "gift". The result of this "gift" is that employees exert more effort, become more productive, and have a greater sense of debt to the organization. The "gift" also has the potential to make employees feel like "insiders" into the organization. An "insider" is likely to be more committed and devoted to the organization and the idea of "gift" and "insider" parallels closely to the concept of reciprocity (Brum, 2007).

Meyer & Allen Multi-dimension Theory

This theory proposes that organizational commitment is experienced by an employee as three simultaneous mind-sets encompassing affective, normative, and continuance organizational commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1990). The three forms of organizational commitment are characterized by three different mindsets – desire, obligation, and cost. According to the model, employees with a strong affective commitment stay because they want to, those with strong normative commitment stay because they feel they ought to, and those with strong continuance commitment stay because they have to do so (Jaros, 1997). The three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment is currently regarded as the dominant model in organizational commitment research (Solinger, 2008).

2.2 Empirical Review

Leventhal (1980) proposed six criteria for a procedure to be perceived as fair. These include consistency, bias suppression, and accuracy of information in decision making, correctability, representativeness and ethicality based on conformity to personal ethical or moral values. Wiesenfeld *et al.*, (2007), added bias suppression, accuracy and overall fairness as the defining criteria for procedural justice.Procedural justice may foster commitment because people infer that it is an antecedent of fair outcomes. According to Thibaut and Walker



(1975) procedural justice theory, the amount of control people have over decisions and processes influences their perceptions of fairness. According to Thibaut and Walker (1975) fair procedures are valuable because they allow individuals' control over outcomes.

The most widely accepted attitudinal conceptualisation of organizational commitment is that by (Mowday *et al.*, 1979). They define organizational commitment as the relative strength of an individuals' identification with, and involvement in a particular organization and identify three characteristics of organizational commitment: (i) a strong belief in and acceptance of the organization's goals and values, (ii) a willingness to exert a considerable effort on behalf of the organization and (ii) a strong intent or desire to remain with the organization.

This multi-dimensional approach suggests that organizational commitment develops because of the interaction of all these three components. The approach is supported by several studies (O'Reilly & Chatman (1986). The present study is based on Meyer and Allen's multidimensional construct with its three dimensions of organizational commitment.

Affective commitment is linked to a favourable working environment and relationships (Bayer, 2009). Antecedents of affective commitment .include job characteristics such as task significance, autonomy, identity, skills variety and feedback concerning employee job performance, perceived organizational support or dependence (the feeling that the organization considers what is in the best interest of employees when making decisions that affect employment conditions and work environment), and the degree to which employees are involved in the goal-setting and decision-making processes (Prabhakart & Ram, 2011).

Meyer & Allen (1997) correlates affective commitment with work experiences where employees experience psychologically comfortable feelings, (such as approachable managers) and increasing their sense of competence (such as feedback). According to Beck and Wilson (2000), the development of affective commitment involves recognizing the organization's worth and internalising its principles and standards.

In a study conducted in 2013 to examine the relationship between organizational justice and affective commitment on health employees in Turkey, the researchers found that justice perceptions play an imperative and antecedent role in the formation of affective commitment (Akpmar & Tas, 2013).

2.3 Conceptual Framework





3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adopted descriptive and correlation research design. The study population was 17 Nairobi based health sector NGOs registered with HENNET while the target population was 497 employees with supervisory responsibilities. The sampling frame for this study comprised of 85 health sector non-governmental organizations based within Nairobi County and its environs. The register of Health Sector NGOs maintained by Health NGOs Network Secretariat (HENNET) was used to randomly generate the study sample. The study adopted stratified sampling technique due to homogeneity of the population. Based on the nature of the study population, proportionate stratified sampling was used to establish the number of respondents from each of the 17 HENNET member NGOs headquartered in Nairobi. The study sought to measure employee perceptions using a five point multiple choice ordinal Likert rating scale measurement. Primary data was collected using self-administered questionnaires. The raw data collected using questionnaires were edited and coded for analysis using IBM Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0. The study's likert-type data was described and analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics comprising of correlation and linear regression. Findings on quantitative data were presented using statistical techniques such as tables, pie charts and bar graphs. Qualitative data was presented descriptively.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Response Rate

The study conducted a survey using a self-administrated questionnaire which was administered to 195 sampled respondents. 131 valid questionnaires were returned representing a response rate of 67 percent. A response rate of above 50% is considered adequate in social science research (Mugenda & Mugenda, 2008; Babbie, 2002). The study's response rate of 67% was therefore considered adequate for analysis and conclusion.

Table 2: Response Rate

Total	number	of	Total	number	of	valid	Response rate (%)
question	naires distributed		questi	onnaires re	eturr	ned	

195	131	67

4.2 Demographic Characteristics

4.2.1 Gender of Respondents

The gender of the respondents is presented in figure 2 of the 131 valid responses, 53 percent of the respondents were male while 47 percent were female. This suggests that the study solicited information from a gender balanced perspective. According to Kothari (2008) a ratio of at least 1:2 in either gender representation in a study is representative enough.



www.ajpojournals.org



Figure 2: Gender of Respondents

4.2.2 Response by Job Category

The study targeted various categories of employees as shown on table 3. From the demographic data, 14% were administrative staff, 29% programme staff, 22% adviser/professional staff, 29% manager level, and 5% director level staff.

Employee Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Administrative Assistant	18	14
Programme staff	37	29
Adviser/Professional	29	22
Manager	37	29
Director	6	5
Total	127	100

Table 3: Response by Job Category

4.2.3 Response by Number of Staff Supervised

During the study, it was found out that staffs responsible for key performance results in this sector do not necessarily have other employees reporting to them due to the nature of the organization structures applied or the way work arrangements are designed with outsourcing being a key element. Those without internal supervisory responsibilities but responsible for key result areas were reported to have quality assurance, oversight or technical backstopping responsibilities over outsourced activities.

As shown on figure 3, 33% of the respondents reported that they had no direct supervisory responsibilities, 21% supervised more than five employees while another 23% supervised three to five employees and another 5% more than 5 employees.



www.ajpojournals.org



Figure 3 Number of Staff Supervise

4.2.4 Response by Period Worked with Current Employer

In terms of length of service (table 4), 37% of the respondents indicated that they have worked for the current organization for a period not exceeding two years, 28% for up to four years, 22% for up to 6 years while only 12% had worked with the same organization for a period in excess of six years. This finding is in line with the project based nature of employment in the NGO sector where employment duration is tied to project or funding cycles (NGO Bureau, 1999).

Period worked	Frequency	Percentage (%)
0-2 years	49	37
3-4 years	36	28
5-6 years	29	22
7-8 years	4	3
9-10 years	5	4
10 or more years	8	6
Total	131	100

Table 0: Response by Period Worked With Current Employer

4.2.5 Response by Age

Figure 4 shows the age distribution in the sample size. Five percent of the respondents were aged 25 years or less, 29% were aged between 26-30 years, 40% between 31-40 years, 19% between 41-50 and 7 percentages between 51-60 years.



www.ajpojournals.org



Figure 4: Response by Age

4.2.6 Response by Level of Education

Majority of the respondents (46 %) were either first degree holders or hold professional qualifications; 34% hold a post graduate degree; 18% are diploma holders and two percent were of secondary education level.



Figure 5: Response by Level of Education

4.2.7 Response by Type of Employment

As shown in figure 5 majority of the respondents (83%) were employed on term contract basis while 17% had open ended contracts. This employment practice would appear to be in line with the project nature of work in the development sector (Lewis & Kanji, 2009).



www.ajpojournals.org



Figure 6: Response by Type of Employment

Results shows that project and management level staff (25.4% and 24.6% respectively comprised of the highest number of employees employed on contract terms.

4.3 Descriptive Statistics

Procedural justice refers to employees' perceptions of fairness in the means and processes used to determine the amount and distribution of resources (Saks, 2006). Higher perceptions of procedural justice by employees are more likely to reciprocate with greater organizational commitment and an employees' positive evaluation of their supervisor (McFarlin & Sweeney, 1992).

Procedural Justice	Very little extent (%)	Little extent (%)	Some extent (%)	Great extent (%)	Very great extent (%)	Total (%)
Able to express views and feelings during	9	14	32	35	10	100
decision implementation						
Able to influence decision outcomes	15	20	37	18	10	100
Procedures applied consistently	9	19	41	26	5	100
Procedures been free of bias	12	12	44	26	6	100
Procedures based on accurate information	10	14	43	28	5	100
Able to appeal the outcome arrived	30	23	25	20	2	100
Procedures uphold	10	12	35	31	12	100
Average %	14	16	37	26	7	100

Table 5: Perceptions on Procedural Justice

Majority of the respondents perceptions on procedural justice fall under the "to some extent" level of agreement. As shown on table 4.13, 32% indicated that they have been able to contribute in the decision implementation, 37% have been able to influence decision outcomes, 41% of the respondents agreed that to some extent, procedures are applied consistently; procedures are free of bias (44%), procedures are based on accurate information



(43%) and the procedures upheld work place ethical and moral standards (35%). However, majority of respondents (30%) reported that they are only able to appeal the outcome arrived at by those procedures to a very little extent. These findings show that employee justice perceptions also depend on the organization's adherence to procedural justice rules (Leventhal, 1980; Thibaut & Walker, 1978).

4.4 Inferential Statistics

4.4.1 Influence of Procedural Justice Perceptions on Affective Commitment

The study assessed the influence of procedural justice perception on affective commitment. The study employed the use of linear regression model to ascertain this relationship. The findings are given below.

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.339	.115	.106	.927

a. Predictors: (Constant),

b. Dependent Variable: Affective commitment Index

The statistic, F = 2.185, p< 0.05 indicates that procedural justice perceptions is a good predictor of variations in affective commitment. The coefficient of determination explains the percentage of variation in the dependent variable that is explained by unit change in the dependent variable. The linear regression model result showed indicated that that 11.5% % change of affective commitment is explained procedural justice perceptions.

Table 7: ANOVA- Procedural Justice on Affective Commitment



www.ajpojournals.org

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	13.147	7	1.878	2.185	.040
Residual	101.410	118	.859		
Total	114.557	125			

a. Dependent Variable: Affective Commitment Index

b. Predictors: (Constant), ethical and moral standards, influence outcome, procedures consistency, outcome arrived, express views and feelings, procedures accuracy, procedures free of bias,

Model		dardized ficients	Standardized Coefficients	l t Sig.		Collinearity Statistics	
	В	Std. Error	Beta	-		Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)	1.381	.302		4.570	.000		
Express feelings	.121	.127	.138	.949	.034	.356	2.807
Outcome arrived	016	.118	020	138	.891	.367	2.728
Procedures consistency	.136	.131	.143	1.039	.030	.394	2.537
Procedures free of bias	009	.159	010	055	.956	.249	4.024
Procedures accuracy	078	.164	083	477	.634	.250	3.997
Outcome arrived	.040	.081	.049	.493	.623	.763	1.311
Ethical and moral standards	.159	.121	.184	1.317	.019	.384	2.605

 Table 8: Coefficient- Procedural Justice Affective Commitment

a. Dependent Variable: Affective Commitment Index



The results of the regression model revealed that, express feelings, procedures, consistency and ethical and moral standards had a significant relationship with affective commitment. This can be shown by the p<0.05. the remaining constructs had an insignificant relationship with affective commitment since their p-value was >0.05.

The findings of this study are consistent with those of Leventhal (1980) who proposed six criteria for a procedure to be perceived as fair. These include consistency, bias suppression, and accuracy of information in decision making, correctability, representativeness and ethicality based on conformity to personal ethical or moral values.

Wiesenfeld *et al.*, (2007), also added bias suppression, accuracy and overall fairness as the defining criteria for procedural justice. According to Thibaut and Walker (1975) procedural justice theory, the amount of control people influenced over decisions and processes their perceptions of fairness. According to Thibaut and Walker (1975) fair procedures are valuable because they allow individuals' control over outcomes.

4.4.2 Influence of Procedural Justice Perceptions on Continuance Commitment

The study further assessed the relationship between procedural justice perception and continuance commitment. The results for regressions model used are given below.

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.238 ^a	.057	.001	1.016

 Table 9: Model Summary-Procedural Justice on Continuance Commitment

a. Predictors: (Constant), ethical and moral standards, influence outcome, procedures consistency, outcome arrived, express views and feelings, procedures accuracy, procedures free of bias,

b. Dependent Variable: Continuance Commitment Index

The statistic, F = 2.185, p> 0.427 indicates that procedural justice perceptions is not a good predictor of variations in continuance commitment. The coefficient of determination explains the percentage of variation in the dependent variable that is explained by unit change in the dependent variable. The model summary results revealed that procedural justice perceptions account for 5.7% of the variations in continuance commitment.



Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
1	Regression	7.297	7	1.042	1.011	.427 ^b	
	Residual	121.697	118	1.031			
	Total	128.994	125				

Table 10: ANOVA- Procedural Justice on Continuance Commitment

a. Dependent Variable: : Continuance Commitment Index

b. Predictors: (Constant), ethical and moral standards, influence outcome, procedures consistency, outcome arrived, express views and feelings, Procedures accuracy, procedures free of bias,



Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics		
	В	Std. Error	Beta	-		Tolerance	VIF	
(Constant)	1.732	.331		5.232	.000			
Express views and feelings,	154	.139	166	- 1.106	.271	.356	2.807	
Influence outcome	.021	.129	.024	.165	.869	.367	2.728	
Procedures consistency	052	.144	052	363	.717	.394	2.537	
Procedures free of bias,	.149	.175	.153	.854	.395	.249	4.024	
Procedures accuracy,	037	.180	037	204	.839	.250	3.997	
Outcome arrived	.117	.088	.136	1.326	.187	.763	1.311	
Ethical and moral standards,	.142	.132	.155	1.071	.287	.384	2.605	

Table 11: Coefficients-Procedural Justice on Continuance Commitment

a. Dependent Variable: Continuance Index

The findings showed that all the variables under procedural justice perception had insignificant relationship with continuance commitment. The findings of this study contradict those of Leventhal (1980) who proposed six criteria for a procedure to be perceived as fair. These include consistency, bias suppression, and accuracy of information in decision making, correctability, representativeness and ethicality based on conformity to personal ethical or moral values. Wiesenfeld *et al.*, (2007), also added bias suppression, accuracy and overall fairness as the defining criteria for procedural justice.



4.4.3 Influence of Procedural Justice Perceptions on Normative Commitment

Finally, the study sought to find the relationship between procedural justice perception and normative commitment. The study sought to find out whether the variables under procedural independently influenced normative commitment.

Table 12: Model Summary-Procedural Justice on Normative Commitment

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.540 ^a	.292	.249	.708

a. Predictors: (Constant), ethical and moral standards, influence outcome, procedures consistency, outcome arrived, express views and feelings, procedures accuracy, procedures free of bias,

b. Dependent Variable: Normative Commitment Index

The findings in the model summary revealed that procedural justice perception constructs accounted for 29.2% of the variation in normative commitment. The results of F-statistics given in Table 13 further indicate that procedural justice perception constructs are good predictors of normative commitment given of p<0.05.

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	23.995	7	3.428	6.830	.000 ^b
	Residual	58.220	116	.502		
	Total	82.215	123			

Table 13: ANOVA- Procedural Justice on Normative Commitment

a. Dependent Variable: Normative Commitment Index

b. Predictors: (Constant), ethical and moral standards, influence outcome, procedures consistency, outcome arrived, express views and feelings, Procedures accuracy, procedures free of bias,



Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	В	Std. Error	Beta	-		Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)	1.669	.233		7.173	.000		
Express views and feelings	198	.100	262	- 1.985	.050	.351	2.847
Influence outcome	.210	.091	.297	2.309	.023	.369	2.709
Procedures consistency	.319	.102	.397	3.126	.002	.379	2.637
Procedures free of bias	204	.122	260	- 1.668	.098	.251	3.988
Procedures accuracy	050	.126	062	398	.691	.253	3.951
Outcome arrived	.090	.063	.129	1.444	.152	.759	1.318
Ethical and moral standards	.252	.093	.344	2.700	.008	.377	2.652

Table 14: Coefficients-Procedural Justice on Normative Commitment

The findings of the regression model above indicate that Express views and feelings, Influence outcome, Ethical and moral and Procedures consistency were found to have a positive and significant relationship with normative commitment. These constructs had a p-value of less than 0.05. The finding further revealed that Procedures accuracy, Outcome arrived and Procedures free of bias were found to have an insignificant relationship with normative commitment.

The findings of this study concur with those of Leventhal (1980) who proposed six criteria for a procedure to be perceived as fair. These include consistency, bias suppression, and accuracy of information in decision making, correctability, representativeness and ethicality based on conformity to personal ethical or moral values. Wiesenfeld *et al.*, (2007), also added bias suppression, accuracy and overall fairness as the defining criteria for procedural justice.



4.4.4 Overall Influence of Procedural Justice Perception on Organisational Commitment

The overall regression model was conducted to test the overall influence of procedural justice perception on organisational commitment. The results of the overall model are presented and discussed below.

Table 15: Overall Model Summary

Model Summary	
R	.366a
R Square	0.134
Adjusted R Square	0.127
Std. Error of the Estimate	0.56506
F-Statistics	19.692 (p=0.000)

The model summary result indicates that procedural justice perception accounted for 12.7% of the variation in organisational commitment. The findings of ANOVA further revealed that the model adapted to link procedural justice perception and organisational commitment was statistically significant (F=19.692, p=0.000). The findings imply that procedural justice perceptions are good predictors of organisational commitment.

Table 16: Overall Regression Coefficient

	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	2.037	0.173		11.789	0
Procedural Justice Percpetion	0.248	0.056	0.366	4.438	0

a Dependent Variable: overall Commitment

The regression results show that, procedural justice perception had Beta value of 0.248 and pvalue of 0.000. This value is less than the conventional value of 0.05 adopted in this study. Therefore, these results imply that procedural justice perception had a significant relationship with organisational commitment. The findings of this study concur with those of Leventhal (1980) who proposed six criteria for a procedure to be perceived as fair. These include consistency, bias suppression, and accuracy of information in decision making, correctability, representativeness and ethicality based on conformity to personal ethical or moral values. Wiesenfeld *et al.*, (2007), also added bias suppression, accuracy and overall fairness as the defining criteria for procedural justice.

4.3.6 Hypothesis testing

The null hypothesis being tested in this study was; H_0 . Perceptions of procedural justice have no statistically significant effect on employee commitment in health sector non-governmental organizations in Kenya. This hypothesis was rejected at level of significance of 0.05, which,



therefore, imply that Perceptions of procedural justice have a statistically significant effect on employee commitment in health sector non-governmental organizations in Kenya. Therefore, the optimal model was;

Organisational Commitment =2.037+0.248 (procedural justice perceptions) + e

5.0 DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Discussion

Procedural justice refers to an employee' perceptions of fairness in the means and processes used to determine the amount and distribution of resources (Saks, 2006). Higher perceptions of procedural justice by employees are more likely to reciprocate with greater organizational engagement and an employees' positive evaluation of their supervisor (McFarlin & Sweeney, 1992).

The basic tenet of procedural justice – a voice in the development of an outcome - enhances the perceived fairness in the workplace independent of the effects of its implementation (Greenberg, 2002). An organization that provides knowledge to employees about procedures demonstrates regard for employees concerns. Decision-making processes that are unclear to employees violate procedural fairness and trust thereby damaging the employer-employee relationship.

The study findings show that perceptions of procedural justice are more important than perceived distributive justice when explaining employees' organizational commitment. Procedural justice perceptions were shown to positively influence affective commitment and normative commitment.

5.2 Conclusions

Based on the findings, the study concluded that procedural justice perceptions have a positive influence on affective commitment and normative commitment.

5.3 Recommendations

The findings from the study showed that though positive, procedural justice has a low explanatory power on affective and normative commitment and none on continuance commitment. Procedural fairness perceptions were rated by respondents as average. The findings suggest that employees' commitment with an organization could be significantly increased by enhancing organizational fairness, particularly procedural justice.

Managers and other leaders should therefore be aware that the fairness of procedures used in allocating rewards and the voice afforded employees in the allocation process are as important as the fairness of the allocation of rewards in improving level of employees' commitment in an organization. For organizational procedures to be perceived as fair, they should be applied consistently. Fair procedures should guarantee that like cases are treated alike. Secondly, those carrying out the procedures must be seen to be impartial and neutral. Unbiased decision-making should lead to a fair and accurate conclusion. Decision makers



should be seen to want to treat people fairly and take the viewpoint and needs of interested parties into account. If employees trust the decision makers, they are more likely to view the decision-making process as fair. Thirdly, those directly affected by the decisions should have a voice and representation in the process.

Having representation affirms the status of group members and inspires trust in the decisionmaking system. This is especially important for operational staff whose voices often go unheard. Finally, the processes that are implemented should be transparent. Decisions should be reached through open procedures, without secrecy or deception.

Therefore, to increase employees' organizational commitment, NGO leaders and managers should first improve the procedural justice and hence increase overall levels of perceived justice by involving employees in the procedures used in making decisions and allocating rewards. Procedural justice can be fostered further through employee involvement which gives them a voice during a decision-making process, influence over the outcome or by adherence to fair process criteria, such as consistency, lack of bias, correctability, representation, accuracy, and ethicality.

5.4 Areas for Further Research

There is a need to undertake further studies in order to widen the generalizability of the findings and also establish reasons for the variations in the findings on the low influence of justice perceptions in the NGO sector in Kenya compared to the strong influence reported in studies conducted in other sectors in the rest of the world. Such studies are also likely to identify what are, if any, the other factors which influence employee commitment in the NGO sector.

REFERENCES

- Adams, J. S. (1965). 'Inequity in social exchange'. In Berkowitz, L. (Ed.), Advances in Experimental Social Psychology. New York: Academic Press, 2, 267–99.
- Akanbi, P.A., & Ofoegbu, O.E. (2013). Impact of perceived organizational justice on organizational commitment of a food and beverage firm in Nigeria. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3 (14), 207-218
- Bartlett, K. (2001). The relationship between training and organizational commitment: A study in the health care field. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 12(4), 335-352.
- Batti, R.C.(2014). Human Resource Management Challenges Facing Local NGOs. *Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2 (4).
- Bayer, L. (2009). The investment model and organizational commitment: Predicting workplace behaviours. Haverford: Haverford College.



- Becker, H. S. (1960). Notes on the concept of commitment. *American Journal of Sociology*, 66, 33-42.
- Berg, B. (2001). *Qualitative research methods for the social sciences* (4th Ed.). Boston: Pearson Education.
- Bies, R. J., & Moag, J. S. (1986). Interactional justice: Communication criteria for justice. In B. Sheppard (Ed.), Research on negotiation in organizations, 1, Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 43–55
- Blau, G. J., & Boal, K. B. (1987). Conceptualizing how job involvement and organizational commitment affect turnover and absenteeism. *The Academy of Management Review*, 12(2): 288-300.
- Brown, M.W & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In: Bollen KA, Long JS, editors. Testing structural equation models. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; 1993. pp. 136–162.
- Browning, V. (2006). The relationship between HRM practices and service behaviour in South African service organizations. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 17, 1321-1338.
- Brum, S. (2007). What impact does training have on employee commitment and employee turnover? University of Rhode Island. Schmidt Labor Research Center Seminar Research Series.
- Buchanan, B., (1974). Building organizational commitment: The socialization of managers in work organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 19, 533-546
- Bulut, C & Culha, O (2010). The effects of organizational training on organizational commitment. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 14(4), 309-322. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1733320
- Caldwell, D.F., Chatmun, J. A. & O'ReiIIy C. A. (1990). Building organizational commitment: A multifirm study. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*. 63, 245-261
- Cascio, W. F. (2003). Changes in workers, work, and organizations. In W. Borman, R. Klimoski, & D. Ilgen (Eds.), Handbook of psychology, volume 12: *Industrial and organizational psychology*: 401-422. New York: John Wiley.
- Chang, E. (2005). Employees' overall perception of HRM effectiveness. *Human Relations*, 58(4), 523-544.



- citizenship behaviour within a labour union: A test of organizational justice theory. *Journal* of Applied Psychology, 81,161-9.
- Clason, D. L., & Dormody, T. J. (1994). Analyzing data measured by individual Likert-type items. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 35(4), 31-35.
- Coetzee, M. (2005). The fairness of affirmative action: An organizational justice perspective. *Unpublished PhD Thesis*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria.
- Cohen-Charash, Y. and Spector, P. E. (2001). 'The role of justice in organizations: a metaanalysis'. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 86, 278–321.
- Colquitt, J. A. (2001). On the dimensionality of organizational justice: A construct validation of a measure. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 386-400.
- Colquitt, J. A., & Greenberg, J. (2003). Organizational justice: A fair assessment of the state of the literature. *Organizational behavior: The state of the science*, 159-200.
- Colquitt, J. A., & Shaw, J. C. (2005). How should organizational justice be measured? In J. Greenberg & J. A. Colquitt (Eds.). *The handbook of organizational justice* (pp. 113– 152). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Colquitt, J. A., Conlon, D. E., Wesson, M. J., Porter, C. O., & Ng, K. Y. (2001). Justice at the millennium: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of organizational justice research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 425-445.
- Colquitt, J. A., Greenberg, J., & Zapata-Phelan, C. P. (2005). What is organizational justice?A historical overview. In J. Greenberg & J. A. Colquitt (Eds.). *The handbook of organizational justice* (3–56). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Greenberg, J., & Scott, K. S. (1996). Why do workers bite the hands that feed them? Employee theft as a social exchange process. *Research in Organizational Behaviour*, 18, 111-156.
- Greenberg, J., & Wiethoff, C. (2001). Organizational justice as proaction and reaction: Implications for research and application. Justice in the workplace: From theory to practice, Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Griffin, M.L. & Hepburn, J. (2005). Side-bets and reciprocity as determinants of organizational commitment among correctional officers. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 33 (6), 611-625.



- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In Denzin, N. K. & Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 105-117
- Kothari, C, R. (2008). *Research methodology, methods and techniques*. (2nd Ed.). New Delhi: New Age International.
- Kumar, R, (2005) *Research Methodology-A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners*,(2nd.ed.),Singapore, Pearson Education.
- Lamba, S. & Coudhary, N. (2013). Impact of HRM practices on organizational commitment of employees. *International Journal of Advancements in Research & Technology*, 2 (4).
- Leventhal, G. S. (1980). What should be done with equity theory? In Gergen, K. J, Greenberg, M. S. & Willis, R. H. (Eds.), Social Exchange: Advances in theory and research, New York: Plenum. 27–55.
- Minbaeva, D. B. (2005). HRM practices and MNC knowledge transfer. *Personal Review*, Vol.34, (1): 125-144.
- Mitchell, T. R., Holtom, B. C., Lee, T. W., Sablynski, C. J., & Erez, M. (2001). Why people stay: Using job embeddedness to predict voluntary turnover. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 44 (6), 1102-1121
- Moorman, R. H. (1991). Relationship between organizational justice and organizational citizenship behaviors: Do fairness perceptions influence employee citizenship? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76,(6), 845-855.
- Quarles R. (1994). An examination of promotion opportunities and evaluation criteria as mechanism for affecting internal auditor commitment, job satisfaction and turnover intentions *Journal of Managerial Issues* 6: 176 194
- Raza, K., Adnan R., Nosheen, Qadir., M., & Rana, A. (2013). Relationship between distributive justice, procedural justice and organizational commitment: An empirical analysis on public sector of Pakistan. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 16, 878-883.
- Rodgers, J. L. and Nicewander, W. A. (1988). Thirteen ways to look at the correlation coefficient. *American Statistician*, 42, 59-66.
- Rubin A. and Babbie, E. 2010. *Essential Research Methods for Social Work*. 2nd ed. Belmont (Calif.): Brooks/Cole: Cengage Learning.