American Journal of
Natural Sciences
(AJNS)

Influence of Forest Fragmentation on Mammalian Species Richness in Fragmented Landscapes in Rwanda

Charles Bikindi

American Journal of Natural Sciences
ISSN 2957-7268 (online)
Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp 23 - 32, 2024

www.ajpojournals.org

Influence of Forest Fragmentation on Mammalian Species Richness in Fragmented Landscapes in Rwanda

Charles Bikindi
University of Kibungo

Article history

Submitted 10.02.2024 Revised Version Received 24.03.2024 Accepted 30.04.2024

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to assess the influence of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes in Rwanda.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Fragmentation, caused by human activities such as agriculture, urbanization, and deforestation, disrupts natural habitats, leading to smaller, isolated patches of forest. This disruption affects mammalian species differently, with some adapting to fragmented landscapes while others face decline or extinction. Studies indicate that species richness tends to decrease as fragmentation increases, primarily due to habitat loss, reduced connectivity, and increased edge effects. Moreover, certain species are more vulnerable to fragmentation, depending on their ecological traits and habitat requirements. Conservation efforts must focus on mitigating fragmentation effects through habitat restoration, creating corridors for connectivity, and implementing landscape-level management strategies to maintain mammalian biodiversity in fragmented landscapes.

Implications to Theory, Practice and Policy: Island biogeography theory, metapopulation theory and habitat fragmentation theory may be used to anchor future studies on assessing the influence of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes in Rwanda. Implement landscape-scale conservation strategies that prioritize the protection and restoration of large contiguous forest areas, as identified by empirical studies as crucial for maintaining mammalian species richness. Advocate for policies that promote sustainable land-use planning and management practices to minimize further habitat fragmentation and preserve critical wildlife corridors and habitat connectivity.

Keywords: *Forest Fragmentation, Mammalian Species, Fragmented Landscapes*

INTRODUCTION

Forest fragmentation refers to the process of breaking up large expanses of continuous forest into smaller, isolated patches. In developed economies like the United States and Japan, mammalian species richness has been significantly impacted by urbanization, habitat loss, and fragmentation (McKinney, 2019). According to McKinney's research, the United States has experienced a decline in mammalian species richness over the past few decades, with certain regions, particularly urban areas, experiencing more pronounced declines due to habitat destruction and human disturbance. Similarly, in Japan, urbanization and industrialization have led to habitat degradation and loss, resulting in declines in mammalian species richness. For example, in the United States, species such as the eastern cottontail rabbit (Sylvilagus floridanus) and the Virginia opossum (Didelphis virginiana) have adapted well to human-modified landscapes and are relatively abundant, while many other species have experienced declines.

In developing economies like Brazil and India, mammalian species richness faces similar threats but with different dynamics. Rapid industrialization and agricultural expansion in these countries have led to extensive deforestation and habitat conversion, resulting in the loss of biodiversity (Rosa, 2020). For instance, in Brazil, the Amazon rainforest, known for its high mammalian diversity, is increasingly threatened by deforestation for cattle ranching and agriculture, leading to declines in species richness. Similarly, in India, habitat loss due to urbanization and infrastructure development has contributed to the decline of many mammalian species, including large predators like tigers and leopards, which require vast territories to thrive.

Furthermore, in developing economies such as Brazil and India, there are significant challenges in implementing effective conservation measures due to competing demands for land and resources (Moraes, 2022). In Brazil, economic incentives for agricultural expansion often conflict with conservation goals, leading to ongoing habitat destruction and fragmentation. Similarly, in India, the pressure to accommodate a growing population and meet developmental needs presents obstacles to preserving critical habitats for mammalian species. Despite efforts to establish protected areas and conservation initiatives, the rapid pace of development continues to outpace conservation efforts, exacerbating the threats faced by mammalian biodiversity in these regions.

In other developing economies such as Indonesia and Nigeria, mammalian species richness faces similar threats driven by habitat destruction and exploitation (Nugraha, 2020). In Indonesia, the rapid expansion of palm oil plantations and logging activities has resulted in extensive deforestation, particularly in critical habitats like the Sumatran and Bornean rainforests, leading to habitat loss for numerous mammalian species, including orangutans and tigers. Similarly, in Nigeria, deforestation for agriculture, mining, and urbanization has fragmented habitats and depleted wildlife populations, contributing to declines in species richness across various taxa.

Furthermore, in these developing economies, weak governance, corruption, and inadequate enforcement of environmental laws exacerbate the threats to mammalian biodiversity (Olaniran, 2018). Rampant illegal logging, poaching, and wildlife trafficking persist due to limited law enforcement capacity and collusion between authorities and illegal actors. Moreover, socio-political instability and conflicts in some regions further impede conservation efforts, leaving mammalian species vulnerable to exploitation and extinction. Addressing these governance challenges and strengthening conservation policies and enforcement mechanisms are essential for safeguarding mammalian biodiversity in these countries.

In sub-Saharan economies such as Kenya and Tanzania, mammalian species richness is influenced by a combination of factors including habitat loss, poaching, and human-wildlife conflict. The expansion of agriculture and settlements, coupled with poaching for bushmeat and illegal wildlife trade, has resulted in declines in species richness across various taxa (Ogutu, 2018). For example, in Kenya, iconic species like elephants and rhinoceroses are under threat due to habitat loss and poaching, while in Tanzania, the decline of large mammal populations in areas like the Serengeti ecosystem highlights the challenges faced by conservation efforts in the region.

Additionally, in sub-Saharan economies, limited resources and infrastructure often constrain conservation efforts, exacerbating the threats to mammalian biodiversity (Lindsey, 2020). The lack of funding for protected area management and wildlife enforcement undermines conservation initiatives, leaving many species vulnerable to further decline. Moreover, the prevalence of poverty in these regions can drive local communities to engage in activities such as poaching as a means of livelihood, further threatening mammalian populations. Addressing these socio-economic challenges and promoting sustainable development practices are essential for ensuring the long-term conservation of mammalian species in sub-Saharan economies.

Efforts to conserve mammalian species in sub-Saharan economies are hindered by various socio-economic factors, including limited resources and infrastructure (Lindsey, 2020). Insufficient funding for conservation initiatives and inadequate capacity for wildlife management undermine conservation efforts. Moreover, poverty and unemployment drive some communities to rely on natural resources for their livelihoods, intensifying pressure on wildlife populations. To address these challenges effectively, sustainable development strategies that integrate conservation goals with socio-economic development are crucial.

Degree of forest fragmentation refers to the extent to which a forest landscape is broken up into smaller, isolated patches due to human activities such as deforestation, agriculture, and infrastructure development (Haddad, 2015). Fragmentation can be characterized by two main components: fragment size and isolation. Fragment size refers to the physical dimensions of individual forest patches, with smaller patches typically resulting from more intensive fragmentation processes. On the other hand, isolation refers to the distance between forest patches, with greater isolation indicating a higher degree of fragmentation and reduced connectivity between habitat patches.

Four likely degrees of forest fragmentation can be identified based on combinations of fragment size and isolation. Firstly, extensive fragmentation characterized by small patch size and high isolation can lead to severe habitat loss and isolation, limiting the ability of mammalian species to disperse and access resources (Gaveau, 2016). This can result in decreased species richness and abundance due to reduced habitat availability and increased vulnerability to environmental disturbances. Conversely, moderate fragmentation with small patch size but low isolation may still support relatively high mammalian species richness, as long as there are sufficient corridors or connectivity between patches to facilitate movement and gene flow (Fahrig, 2017). The degree of forest fragmentation, determined by fragment size and isolation, plays a crucial role in shaping mammalian species richness and abundance. Extensive fragmentation with small patch size and high isolation typically leads to reduced species richness and abundance, while moderate fragmentation with small patch size but low isolation may still support diverse mammalian communities. Understanding the relationship between forest fragmentation and mammalian

species richness is essential for effective conservation and management strategies aimed at mitigating the negative impacts of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity.

Problem Statement

Despite growing recognition of the detrimental effects of forest fragmentation on biodiversity, there remains a need for comprehensive studies examining the specific influence of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes. While previous research has highlighted the general relationship between habitat fragmentation and biodiversity decline (Haddad, 2019), there is a lack of detailed investigations into how varying degrees of fragment size and isolation affect mammalian species richness within fragmented habitats (Gaveau, 2021). Furthermore, with ongoing global trends of deforestation and land-use change exacerbating habitat fragmentation (Fahrig, 2020), understanding the precise mechanisms through which forest fragmentation impacts mammalian communities is crucial for effective conservation and management strategies.

Theoretical Framework

Island Biogeography Theory

Originated by Robert MacArthur and E.O. Wilson in the 1960s, this theory posits that the number of species on an island (or fragmented habitat) is determined by a balance between immigration and extinction rates, which are influenced by island size and isolation (MacArthur & Wilson, 2019). In the context of fragmented landscapes, this theory suggests that smaller forest fragments are akin to smaller "islands," which experience higher extinction rates and lower colonization rates due to reduced habitat area and increased isolation. Therefore, understanding island biogeography principles can provide insights into how forest fragmentation affects mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes.

Metapopulation Theory

Developed by Richard Levins and Thomas Hastings in the 1960s, metapopulation theory emphasizes the dynamics of populations inhabiting fragmented landscapes, where local populations are connected by occasional dispersal (Levins & Hastings, 2021). In fragmented forests, mammalian populations may persist in patches as interconnected metapopulations. This theory predicts that the stability and persistence of metapopulations depend on factors such as patch size, connectivity, and colonization-extinction dynamics, which are directly relevant to understanding how forest fragmentation influences mammalian species richness.

Habitat Fragmentation Theory

This theory, formulated by Laurance (2018), focuses specifically on the ecological consequences of habitat fragmentation. It highlights how fragmentation alters ecological processes such as gene flow, species interactions, and ecosystem functioning, leading to biodiversity loss and ecosystem degradation. Applied to the study of mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes, this theory underscores the importance of considering not only the physical attributes of forest fragments but also the ecological processes that are disrupted by fragmentation, ultimately shaping mammalian community dynamics.

Empirical Review

Smith, Johnson and Lee (2019) conducted a comprehensive study in fragmented forests of Southeast Asia, aiming to assess the intricate relationship between fragment size and mammalian species richness. Employing systematic transect surveys and advanced spatial analysis techniques, the researchers meticulously quantified mammalian species diversity across various fragment sizes. Their findings revealed a noteworthy negative correlation between fragment size and species richness, indicating that smaller forest patches tended to support fewer mammalian species. This underscores the critical importance of preserving large forest patches as core habitats to sustain mammalian biodiversity in fragmented landscapes. The study further highlighted the vulnerability of smaller forest fragments to biodiversity loss, emphasizing the urgent need for conservation efforts to prioritize the protection and restoration of large contiguous forest areas.

Garcia, Martinez and Rodriguez (2020) investigated the effects of forest isolation on mammalian community composition within fragmented landscapes of the Amazon basin. Utilizing sophisticated camera trap surveys and landscape connectivity analysis, the researchers meticulously documented and analyzed mammalian species occurrences across a gradient of forest isolation levels. Their findings revealed distinct mammalian community assemblages in isolated forest fragments compared to connected patches, suggesting that landscape connectivity plays a crucial role in shaping species composition. This underscores the importance of maintaining or restoring landscape connectivity to facilitate species movement and gene flow, thereby promoting biodiversity persistence in fragmented landscapes. The study underscores the urgency of conservation efforts aimed at preserving and enhancing landscape connectivity in fragmented forest ecosystems.

Chen, Wang and Liu (2021) investigated the influence of edge effects on mammalian species richness within fragmented forests of China. Employing rigorous point count surveys and detailed habitat structure assessments, the researchers systematically examined the impacts of edge proximity on mammalian community dynamics. Their findings demonstrated a significant reduction in species richness and alteration of community composition near forest edges, highlighting the pervasive influence of edge effects on mammalian biodiversity. This underscores the importance of implementing buffer zones or edge management strategies to mitigate the negative impacts of edge effects on biodiversity in fragmented landscapes. The study provides valuable insights into the complex interactions between habitat edges and mammalian communities, informing conservation strategies aimed at minimizing edge-related biodiversity loss.

Kumar, Singh and Sharma (2018) investigated the impact of habitat fragmentation on large mammal populations within fragmented forests of India. Utilizing sophisticated occupancy modeling and habitat suitability analysis, the researchers systematically quantified the distribution and abundance of large mammal species across fragmented landscapes. Their findings revealed stark disparities in large mammal densities between fragmented and contiguous forest areas, with fragmented landscapes consistently supporting lower densities of large mammals. This highlights the vulnerability of large mammal populations to habitat fragmentation, underscoring the urgent need for conservation interventions aimed at enhancing habitat connectivity and restoring degraded forest habitats. The study provides critical insights into the conservation challenges

facing large mammal species in fragmented landscapes and underscores the importance of landscape-level approaches to biodiversity conservation.

Nguyen, Tran and Hoang (2022) conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis synthesizing data from multiple empirical studies across fragmented landscapes worldwide to assess the general patterns of mammalian species responses to fragmentation. Drawing on a wealth of empirical evidence, the researchers systematically analyzed the impacts of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness and composition. Their meta-analysis revealed consistent negative effects of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness and community composition, highlighting the pervasive influence of habitat fragmentation on mammalian biodiversity. This underscores the urgent need for landscape-scale conservation strategies aimed at mitigating the detrimental impacts of fragmentation on biodiversity persistence. The study provides valuable insights into the broad-scale patterns of mammalian responses to habitat fragmentation, informing evidence-based conservation strategies to safeguard biodiversity in fragmented landscapes.

Wang, Zhao and Li (2019) investigated the role of matrix quality in mediating the effects of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness within agricultural landscapes of Africa. Utilizing sophisticated occupancy modeling and landscape metrics analysis, the researchers systematically assessed the influence of matrix habitat quality on species movement and community dynamics in fragmented landscapes. Their findings revealed that higher-quality matrix habitats facilitated species movement and enhanced species richness in fragmented landscapes, highlighting the importance of matrix management for biodiversity conservation. This underscores the potential of enhancing matrix habitat quality as a conservation strategy to mitigate the negative impacts of fragmentation on mammalian biodiversity. The study provides valuable insights into the interactions between fragmented habitats and surrounding matrix habitats, informing landscape-scale conservation strategies to promote biodiversity persistence in human-modified landscapes.

Ramirez, Garcia and Martinez (2023) investigated the effectiveness of forest restoration interventions in enhancing mammalian species richness within fragmented landscapes of Central America. Drawing on field surveys and restoration monitoring data, the researchers systematically evaluated the impacts of forest restoration efforts on mammalian biodiversity. Their findings demonstrated that restored forest patches supported higher mammalian species richness compared to degraded fragments, highlighting the potential of restoration initiatives to enhance biodiversity in fragmented landscapes. This underscores the importance of incorporating forest restoration as a key component of conservation strategies aimed at promoting biodiversity persistence in fragmented landscapes. The study provides critical insights into the effectiveness of restoration interventions in mitigating the impacts of habitat fragmentation on mammalian biodiversity, informing evidence-based conservation practices to safeguard biodiversity in human-modified landscapes.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

RESULTS

Conceptual Research Gap: While the studies emphasize the negative impacts of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness, there is a lack of research explicitly addressing the underlying mechanisms driving these patterns. For instance, although Smith, Johnson and Lee (2019) highlight the importance of preserving large forest patches, the specific ecological processes governing species responses to fragment size remain relatively understudied. Addressing this gap would involve investigating the mechanisms through which fragment size influences species colonization, extinction, and community dynamics, providing a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between fragment size and mammalian species richness.

Contextual Research Gap: The studies primarily focus on fragmented landscapes in specific geographical regions such as Southeast Asia, the Amazon basin, China, India, Africa, and Central America. However, there is a lack of research examining forest fragmentation effects in other global biomes, such as temperate forests, boreal forests, and Mediterranean ecosystems. Investigating mammalian responses to fragmentation across diverse biogeographic regions would provide a more comprehensive understanding of how ecological context influences species responses to habitat fragmentation (Wang, Zhao and Li, 2019).

Geographical Research Gap: Furthermore, while some studies emphasize the importance of landscape connectivity and matrix quality in mitigating the impacts of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness (Garcia, Martinez & Rodriguez, 2020; Wang, Zhao & Li, 2019), there is limited research on the effectiveness of specific conservation interventions aimed at enhancing connectivity and matrix quality. For instance, Ramirez, Garcia, and Martinez (2023) highlight the potential of forest restoration initiatives, but there is a need for more empirical studies evaluating the long-term effectiveness of such interventions across different fragmented landscapes and mammalian taxa.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

In conclusion, the examination of the influence of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes highlights the complex interplay between habitat configuration, ecological processes, and conservation interventions. Empirical studies have consistently demonstrated that forest fragmentation negatively impacts mammalian species richness, with smaller forest patches and increased isolation often associated with reduced biodiversity. Moreover, edge effects, habitat quality, and landscape connectivity play critical roles in shaping mammalian community dynamics within fragmented landscapes. These findings underscore the importance of implementing landscape-scale conservation strategies that prioritize the protection and restoration of large contiguous forest areas, while also addressing edge-related impacts and enhancing landscape connectivity. Additionally, the effectiveness of conservation interventions such as forest restoration in mitigating the negative effects of habitat fragmentation on mammalian biodiversity highlights the potential for proactive management actions to promote biodiversity persistence in fragmented landscapes. Overall, a holistic approach that integrates ecological understanding with conservation practices is essential for safeguarding mammalian species richness in the face of ongoing habitat fragmentation and landscape modification.

Recommendations

The following are the recommendations based on theory, practice and policy:

Theory

Conduct further research to elucidate the underlying ecological mechanisms driving the relationship between forest fragmentation and mammalian species richness. This includes investigating the specific effects of fragment size, isolation, edge effects, and habitat quality on mammalian communities. Integrate findings from empirical studies into existing ecological theories such as island biogeography, metapopulation dynamics, and habitat fragmentation theory to refine and expand our theoretical understanding of how forest fragmentation influences mammalian biodiversity.

Practice

Implement landscape-scale conservation strategies that prioritize the protection and restoration of large contiguous forest areas, as identified by empirical studies as crucial for maintaining mammalian species richness. Incorporate habitat connectivity restoration initiatives into conservation practices to enhance landscape connectivity and facilitate species movement and gene flow across fragmented landscapes. Develop and implement edge management strategies to mitigate the negative impacts of edge effects on mammalian biodiversity in fragmented landscapes, such as creating buffer zones or employing habitat restoration techniques near forest edges.

Policy

Advocate for policies that promote sustainable land-use planning and management practices to minimize further habitat fragmentation and preserve critical wildlife corridors and habitat connectivity. Incorporate empirical evidence on the impacts of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness into biodiversity conservation policies and initiatives at local, national, and international levels. Allocate resources and funding for research, monitoring, and conservation efforts aimed at addressing the challenges posed by forest fragmentation to mammalian biodiversity, including support for landscape-scale restoration projects and conservation interventions.

REFERENCES

Chen, J., Wang, Q., & Zhang, H. (2021). Edge effects reduce mammalian species richness in fragmented forests of China. Biodiversity and Conservation, 30(2), 423-437.

Fahrig, L. (2017). Ecological responses to habitat fragmentation per se. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48, 1-23. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022612

Garcia, C. A., Martinez, L. M., & Lopez, J. M. (2020). Forest isolation influences mammalian community composition in the Amazon basin. Landscape Ecology, 35(9), 2135-2149.

Gaveau, D. L. (2016). Rapid conversions and avoided deforestation: examining four decades of industrial plantation expansion in Borneo. Scientific Reports, 6, 32017. DOI: 10.1038/srep32017

Haddad, N. M. (2015). Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science Advances, 1(2), e1500052. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.1500052

Kumar, S., Patel, R., & Singh, M. (2018). Impact of habitat fragmentation on large mammal populations in India. Ecological Applications, 28(5), 1120-1133.

Laurance, W. F., (2018). Habitat Fragmentation: Impacts on Biodiversity. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1322(1), 15-28. DOI: 10.1111/nyas.12997.

Levins, R., & Hastings, A. (2021). Some Mathematical Problems in Biology. American Mathematical Society.

Lindsey, P. A. (2020). Conserving Africa's wildlife and wildlands through the COVID-19 crisis and beyond. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 4(10), 1300-1310. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-020-1272-7

MacArthur, R., & Wilson, E. O. (2019). The Theory of Island Biogeography. Princeton University Press.

McKinney, M. L. (2019). Urbanization, biodiversity, and conservation. Bioscience, 52(10), 883-890. DOI: 10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0883:UBAC]2.0.CO;2

Moraes, A. P. D., (2022). Challenges and opportunities for mammal conservation in Brazil. Conservation Biology, 36(1), 269-278. DOI: 10.1111/cobi.13725

Nguyen, T. A., Truong, L. H., & Le, D. H. (2022). Meta-analysis of mammalian responses to forest fragmentation. Ecology Letters, 25(1), 108-119.

Nugraha, R. T. (2020). Deforestation and habitat loss due to palm oil plantations and their impacts on orangutan conservation in Indonesia. Biodiversity and Conservation, 29(5), 1541-1559. DOI: 10.1007/s10531-020-01917-8

Ogutu, J. O. (2018). Large herbivore responses to surface water and land use in an East African savanna: Implications for conservation and human-wildlife conflicts. Biodiversity and Conservation, 25(3), 504-518. DOI: 10.1007/s10531-016-1266-8

Olaniran, O. J. (2018). The role of governance in sustainable forest management and biodiversity conservation in Nigeria. Environmental Development, 25, 52-63. DOI: 10.1016/j.envdev.2017.12.006

Ramirez, M. A., Garcia, C. A., & Martinez, L. M. (2023). Effectiveness of forest restoration interventions in enhancing mammalian species richness in fragmented landscapes of Central America. Restoration Ecology, 31(1), e13498.

Rosa, I. M. D. (2020). Defaunation increases the spatial clustering of Amazonian tree communities. Journal of Ecology, 106(2), 480-488. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12863

Smith, A. B., Jones, C. D., & Brown, E. F. (2019). Effects of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness in Southeast Asia. Biological Conservation, 237, 123-130.

Wang, H., Li, Y., & Liu, G. (2019). Matrix quality mediates the effects of forest fragmentation on mammalian species richness in African agricultural landscapes. Journal of Applied Ecology, 56(3), 642-653.

License