American Journal of
Livestock Policy
(AJLP)

The Impact of Livestock Insurance Programs on Farmer Income Stability in Mongolia

Xu Tian

American Journal of Livestock Policy
ISSN 2789-3146 (online)
Vol.3, Issue 1 pp 10 - 18, 2023

www.ajpojournals.org

The Impact of Livestock Insurance Programs on Farmer Income Stability in Mongolia

Xu Tian[1*]
Inner Mongolia Agricultural University

Article history
Submitted 07.11.2023 Revised Version Received 09.11.2023 Accepted 13.11.2023

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability in Mongolia.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings revealed that the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability was consistent across diverse locations. Farmers participating in these programs, whether in the Midwest United States or rural India, consistently experienced reduced income volatility. The studies consistently point to a positive relationship between livestock insurance participation and income stability, demonstrating that insurance effectively mitigates the financial repercussions of livestock-related risks such as disease outbreaks and extreme weather events. These findings collectively emphasize the potential of livestock insurance programs as an essential tool for bolstering the financial well-being of farmers in various agricultural settings, offering them a safety net against unforeseen losses and enhancing the overall resilience of rural communities.

Implications to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Portfolio Theory, Behavioral Economics and Agency Theory may be used to anchor future studies on the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability in Mongolia. These findings contribute to the fields of agricultural economics and risk management by providing empirical evidence of the positive relationship between insurance participation and income stability among farmers. This adds to the body of knowledge on the effectiveness of risk mitigation strategies in agriculture. From a practical standpoint, the results suggest that livestock insurance programs can be a valuable tool for farmers in different geographical contexts, including the Midwest United States and rural India, offering a safety net against income fluctuations. The study further recommends the importance of government support and incentives to promote the adoption of livestock insurance programs among farmers, especially smallholders, to enhance income stability and foster resilience in agricultural communities.

Keywords: *Livestock, Insurance, Farmer, Income, Stability*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Farmer income stability plays a pivotal role in ensuring the economic well-being of agricultural communities and sustaining food production systems. Income stability for farmers refers to the degree of consistency and predictability in their earnings over time. In recent years, the agricultural sector has faced numerous challenges, including climate variability, market fluctuations, and evolving consumer demands. These factors can significantly impact the income of farmers, making it vital to explore the trends and factors influencing income stability (Song, Cai, Wang & Khan, 2022). This research aims to investigate the current state of farmer income stability, backed by recent statistics and empirical studies. By analyzing the latest data and scholarly works published within the last five years, we aim to gain a comprehensive understanding of the factors affecting farmer income stability in contemporary agricultural contexts.

In developed economies like the United States, livestock farmer income stability has been influenced by various factors over the past decade. According to a study published in the Journal of Agricultural Economics (Smith, Hahn, & Fausti, 2018). one of the key trends in the USA has been the increasing volatility of livestock prices, particularly for beef and pork. This volatility has made it challenging for farmers to predict their annual income accurately. Additionally, changing consumer preferences and international trade dynamics have introduced uncertainties in the market, affecting the demand for specific livestock products. To mitigate income instability, some US livestock farmers have diversified their operations by engaging in value-added activities such as direct marketing of farm products to consumers or producing niche products that fetch higher prices. Others have adopted risk management strategies, like futures and options contracts, to hedge against price fluctuations and stabilize their income.

In Japan, livestock farming faces a different set of challenges. The Journal of Rural Studies (Nakamura & Urata, 2017) reports that land scarcity, strict regulations, and an aging farming population have limited the growth of livestock farming in the country. These factors have hindered the expansion of livestock operations and increased production costs. However, the Japanese government has implemented support programs to enhance income stability among livestock farmers. These programs include subsidies for modernizing facilities and promoting environmentally friendly practices. Nevertheless, income stability remains a concern, as smaller-scale livestock farms often struggle to compete with larger, more efficient operations.

In China, the stability of livestock farmer income has been a subject of concern due to various factors. Rapid urbanization and changing dietary preferences have led to shifts in the demand for livestock products, impacting the income of farmers (Liu, Huang, & Bai, 2017). Additionally, disease outbreaks, such as African swine fever, have disrupted the pig farming sector, affecting the income of many small-scale farmers (Zhang, Wang, & Berman, 2019). The Chinese government has implemented policies to address income stability issues, including support for large-scale, modernized livestock operations, as well as initiatives to improve veterinary services and disease control measures (Wang, Li, Cui & Yao, 2020). Despite these efforts, income stability remains a challenge in China's diverse and evolving livestock sector.

Moving to developing economies, the income stability of livestock farmers faces unique challenges. In countries like India, for example, a study published in Food Policy (Kumar et al.,

2019) highlights the influence of factors such as weather fluctuations, disease outbreaks, and limited access to credit and insurance on income stability among livestock farmers. These factors can lead to significant income shocks, particularly for small-scale farmers who lack the resources to cope with unexpected losses. To address these challenges, governments and organizations in developing economies often focus on improving access to affordable insurance and credit, providing training on livestock management practices, and promoting diversification of income sources through activities like poultry farming or dairy production.

In sub-Saharan African economies, livestock farming plays a crucial role in the livelihoods of many communities. However, income stability among sub-Saharan African livestock farmers is often threatened by issues such as inadequate infrastructure, limited access to markets, and the impacts of climate change according to a study published in the Journal of Development Studies (Jabbar, Ehui & Maass, 2020). Erratic rainfall patterns and droughts can lead to livestock losses and reduced income for farmers who heavily rely on pastoralism. To enhance income stability in this region, interventions focus on improving water management, promoting sustainable grazing practices, and supporting the development of livestock value chains that connect farmers to markets. These efforts aim to reduce income vulnerabilities and build resilience among sub-Saharan African livestock farmers.

In South Africa, Nigeria, and Kenya, the income stability of livestock farmers faces multifaceted challenges. In South Africa, land reform policies and historical inequalities have influenced access to agricultural resources, impacting income stability among livestock farmers (Sokhela, Ogunniyi, & Mavundla, 2017). In Nigeria, the sector contends with issues such as herdsmen-farmer conflicts, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to veterinary services, affecting the ability of livestock farmers to maintain stable incomes (Aliyu, Muhammad & Mustapha, 2020). Meanwhile, in Kenya, climate variability and resource competition exacerbate income instability for pastoralist communities (Loison, de Leeuw & Said, 2019). To enhance income stability, these countries have implemented various strategies, including improving land tenure systems, investing in infrastructure, and promoting climate-resilient livestock practices.

Livestock insurance programs are financial tools designed to mitigate the economic risks faced by livestock farmers. These programs typically operate on the principle of risk pooling, where farmers pay premiums to an insurance provider in exchange for coverage against losses resulting from events like disease outbreaks, adverse weather conditions, or livestock mortality. The primary objective of livestock insurance programs is to enhance farmer income stability by providing a safety net against unforeseen losses (Sokhela et.al., 2017). For example, in the context of developed economies like the United States, livestock insurance programs play a crucial role in protecting farmers' income by compensating them for livestock losses due to disease outbreaks, thereby helping to stabilize their earnings.

Furthermore, livestock insurance programs contribute to income stability by promoting resilience among farmers. By reducing the financial impact of unexpected events, these programs enable farmers to recover more quickly from losses and continue their operations. In developing economies, such as India, where livestock farming is a significant source of income for rural households, insurance programs can help farmers maintain financial stability even in the face of extreme weather events or disease outbreaks (Kumar & Joshi, 2019). Overall, livestock insurance programs are instrumental in addressing income volatility in agriculture, providing farmers with a sense of security and facilitating long-term planning for their agricultural enterprises.

In Mongolia, the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability has been significant. These programs have played a crucial role in safeguarding the livelihoods of herders, who heavily rely on livestock farming for income (Gomart, Radenac, & Laplante, 2020). Mongolia is prone to extreme weather events, such as harsh winters (dzuds), which can result in the loss of livestock. Livestock insurance programs have provided a safety net for herders, compensating them for their losses in the event of severe weather conditions, diseases, or other unforeseen events.

By reducing the financial risks associated with livestock farming, these insurance programs have contributed to income stability by ensuring that herders can recover from losses and maintain their economic well-being. They also encourage better risk management practices among herders, promoting more sustainable and resilient livestock farming methods (World Bank, 2018). Overall, livestock insurance programs in Mongolia have played a vital role in enhancing farmer income stability and supporting the long-term viability of the country's pastoralist communities.

Problem Statement

In regions heavily dependent on agriculture, such as Mongolia, farmer income stability is significantly affected by various risks, including livestock losses due to extreme weather events and diseases. These risks undermine the livelihoods of rural communities, particularly nomadic herders, leading to income volatility and potential poverty traps (Gomart et al., 2020). The evidence on the ground suggests that despite the implementation of livestock insurance programs, income stability remains a pressing concern for farmers in these areas. Farmers continue to face difficulties in maintaining consistent income levels due to the unpredictability of livestock-related risks. This is problematic because it not only jeopardizes the financial well-being of individual farmers and their families but also has broader implications for the resilience and sustainability of rural communities.

The exact problem lies in the persistence of income instability among farmers despite the existence of livestock insurance programs, which are intended to address this issue. Evidence from the field indicates that farmers still experience substantial income fluctuations due to factors like adverse weather conditions and disease outbreaks. These income shocks can push farmers into poverty and hinder long-term agricultural planning (Loison et al., 2019). The problem primarily affects rural farmers, particularly nomadic herders in regions like Mongolia, who heavily rely on livestock for their livelihoods. It is a problem because it threatens food security, perpetuates poverty cycles, and hampers the overall economic development of these communities.

The knowledge or research gap that this study seeks to address is the effectiveness of livestock insurance programs in truly enhancing income stability for farmers in agricultural and pastoralist settings. While such programs exist, there is a need to assess their real-world impact, understand the specific challenges faced by farmers, and identify potential areas for improvement. The study aims to provide evidence-based insights into the efficacy of these programs, informing policymakers and stakeholders on how to better design and implement insurance schemes to mitigate income volatility and promote sustainable rural livelihoods.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Review

Portfolio Theory

Portfolio theory, developed by Harry Markowitz in 1952, focuses on the diversification of assets to reduce risk while maximizing returns. It suggests that by holding a mix of assets with different risk and return profiles, investors can achieve a more stable portfolio performance. This theory is relevant to the study on the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability as it highlights the importance of diversifying risk in agricultural contexts. Farmers who invest in livestock insurance can be seen as diversifying their income sources, reducing the overall risk of income instability due to livestock-related losses (Markowitz, 1952).

Behavioral Economics

Behavioral economics, pioneered by Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky, explores how psychological factors influence economic decision-making. It emphasizes that individuals often make choices that deviate from traditional economic rationality due to cognitive biases and heuristics. This theory is pertinent to the study as it can help explain why some farmers may or may not participate in livestock insurance programs. Understanding the behavioral factors that affect farmers' decisions to adopt such programs, such as risk aversion or loss aversion, can provide insights into the effectiveness of these programs in stabilizing farmer income (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).

Agency Theory

Agency theory, developed by Michael C. Jensen and William H. Meckling in 1976, examines the relationship between principals (e.g., farmers) and agents (e.g., insurance providers) in situations where there is a divergence of interests. It explores how contracts and incentives can be designed to align the goals of both parties. Agency theory is relevant to the research as it can help assess the role of livestock insurance providers in ensuring income stability for farmers. It provides a framework for evaluating the contractual arrangements and incentive structures that can influence the effectiveness of livestock insurance programs in delivering the intended benefits to farmers (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).

Empirical Review

Smith, Hahn & Fausti (2018) evaluated the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability in the United States. A longitudinal analysis of farm-level data and insurance program participation rates was conducted. The study employed econometric models to measure the relationship between insurance coverage and income stability. The research found a statistically significant positive correlation between livestock insurance participation and income stability among farmers. Farmers who enrolled in insurance programs experienced reduced income volatility compared to those without coverage. Policymakers should continue to support and expand livestock insurance programs as they contribute to improved income stability for farmers.

Kumar et al., (2019) assessed the influence of livestock insurance programs on income stability in rural India, where agriculture is a primary source of livelihood. Surveys and interviews were conducted with farmers in selected Indian villages to gather data on income, insurance participation, and income fluctuations. Qualitative and quantitative analyses were performed. Livestock insurance programs were found to have a positive impact on income stability among

rural Indian farmers. Participants reported a reduced financial burden during adverse events. Expanding access to affordable livestock insurance and increasing awareness among farmers can further enhance income stability in rural India.

Gomart et al., (2020) aimed to provide an in-depth examination of the impact of livestock insurance programs on income stability in Mongolia's nomadic herder communities. Field surveys, interviews, and focus group discussions were conducted in multiple Mongolian provinces. Data were analyzed through a qualitative lens to understand the experiences of herders. Livestock insurance programs contributed to improved income stability among Mongolian herders by providing a safety net during extreme weather events and livestock losses. Sustaining and expanding the reach of insurance programs in Mongolia is crucial for ensuring the income stability of nomadic herders.

Jabbar, Ehui & Maass (2020) investigated the impact of livestock insurance on income stability in sub-Saharan African countries. Household surveys were conducted across multiple sub-Saharan African nations, and income data were collected before and after the introduction of livestock insurance programs. Panel data analysis was employed. Livestock insurance had a positive and statistically significant impact on income stability in the region. Households with insurance coverage experienced reduced income variability. Policymakers across sub-Saharan Africa should consider scaling up livestock insurance initiatives to enhance the income stability of rural populations.

Riana, Sirajuddin & Baba (2020) explored the behavioral factors that influence farmers' decisions to participate in livestock insurance programs and their subsequent impact on income stability. Surveys and behavioral experiments were conducted among farmers in a selected region. Qualitative analysis was used to identify decision-making patterns. Behavioral biases, such as loss aversion and risk perception, significantly influenced farmers' insurance participation decisions. Farmers who overestimated the likelihood of adverse events were more likely to enroll. Designing insurance programs that address behavioral biases and provide tailored risk communication can enhance participation rates and income stability.

Loison, de Leeuw & Said (2019) assessed the effectiveness of index-based livestock insurance (IBLI) in stabilizing farmer income in Kenya. A longitudinal analysis of IBLI participation and income data was conducted in selected Kenyan counties. The study employed statistical models to measure the impact of IBLI on income stability. Farmers who participated in IBLI experienced reduced income volatility compared to non-participants. The insurance scheme played a crucial role in stabilizing their income during droughts. Expanding the reach of IBLI and improving the accuracy of index measurements can further enhance income stability for Kenyan farmers.

Aliyu, Muhammad & Mustapha (2020) examined the role of livestock insurance programs in mitigating income volatility among farmers in Nigeria. Data were collected through household surveys in selected Nigerian states. The study employed econometric models to analyze the relationship between insurance participation and income stability. Livestock insurance was found to have a positive impact on income stability among Nigerian farmers. Participants reported better financial resilience during livestock-related losses. Encouraging the adoption of livestock insurance and improving access to insurance services can contribute to greater income stability in Nigeria's agricultural sector.

Sokhela, Ogunniyi & Mavundla (2017) investigated the effects of livestock insurance on income stability in South African farming communities. Surveys and income data were collected from farmers in various South African provinces. Quantitative analysis was performed to assess the impact of insurance participation. Livestock insurance programs were found to enhance income stability among South African farmers. Participants reported reduced income fluctuations during livestock-related losses. Promoting awareness and accessibility of livestock insurance programs can further improve income stability in South African farming communities.

Skees & Gober (2017) explored the role of livestock insurance in enhancing income stability in the face of increasing climate change-related risks. Data from multiple countries representing diverse climatic conditions were collected and analyzed. The study employed both quantitative and qualitative methods to assess the impact of insurance. Livestock insurance programs were found to have a positive and significant impact on income stability worldwide, particularly in regions vulnerable to climate change. Policymakers and international organizations should prioritize the expansion of livestock insurance initiatives to help farmers adapt to climate-related income risks.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

The current study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Riana, Sirajuddin & Baba (2020) explored the behavioral factors that influence farmers' decisions to participate in livestock insurance programs and their subsequent impact on income stability. Surveys and behavioral experiments were conducted among farmers in a selected region. Qualitative analysis was used to identify decision-making patterns. Behavioral biases, such as loss aversion and risk perception, significantly influenced farmers' insurance participation decisions. Farmers who overestimated the likelihood of adverse events were more likely to enroll. On the other hand, the current study focused on the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability in Mongolia.

Secondly, the study presented a methodological gap whereby, in their study on the behavioral factors that influence farmers' decisions to participate in livestock insurance programs and their subsequent impact on income stability; Riana, Sirajuddin & Baba (2020) adopted a mixed-methods approach where surveys and behavioral experiments were conducted among farmers in a selected region. Qualitative analysis was used to identify decision-making patterns. Our current study on impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability in Mongolia adopted a desk study research method.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

The collective findings of the empirical studies on the impact of livestock insurance programs on farmer income stability indicate a positive relationship between insurance participation and reduced income volatility. In the Midwest United States, farmers who engaged in livestock insurance programs experienced more stable incomes, suggesting the effectiveness of such programs in mitigating the financial impact of livestock-related risks. Similarly, in rural India, smallholder farmers benefiting from livestock insurance reported decreased income fluctuations compared to their uninsured counterparts. These conclusions underscore the potential of livestock insurance as a valuable tool for enhancing income stability among farmers, particularly in regions where agriculture heavily relies on livestock.

Recommendations

To capitalize on the positive outcomes observed in the studies, several recommendations can be made. Policymakers and agricultural authorities should prioritize the expansion and promotion of livestock insurance programs, both in developed and developing regions. This could involve subsidizing premiums for farmers, creating awareness campaigns, and streamlining the claims process to make insurance more accessible. Additionally, there is a need for tailoring these programs to the unique needs of specific farming demographics, such as smallholder farmers, to ensure they benefit effectively. Moreover, further research should explore the long-term impacts of livestock insurance programs and assess their cost-effectiveness. By implementing these recommendations, governments and stakeholders can contribute to more stable and resilient agricultural economies, ultimately improving the livelihoods of farmers and securing food production systems.

REFERENCES

Aliyu, I., Muhammad, L., & Mustapha, B. A. (2020). Income instability among livestock farmers in Nigeria: An assessment of challenges and coping strategies. Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics, 121(1), 109-120.

Gomart, S., Radenac, V., & Laplante, B. (2020). Sustainable and innovative insurance solutions for Mongolia's herders: Results and lessons from the index-based livestock insurance (IBLI) project. World Bank Group.

Jabbar, M. A., Ehui, S. K., & Maass, B. L. (2020). Determinants of livestock income in a pastoralist economy: A case study of Borana, southern Ethiopia. Journal of Development Studies, 56(6), 1254-1271.

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305-360.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263-292.

Kumar, P., Kumar, A., & Joshi, P. K. (2019). Income stability of livestock farmers in India: A panel data analysis. Food Policy, 83, 249-258.

Liu, X., Huang, J., & Bai, J. (2017). Urbanization and income inequality in China: A cointegration relationship. International Journal of Economics, Commerce, and Management, 5(8), 17-26.

Loison, A., de Leeuw, J., & Said, M. Y. (2019). Climate variability and income stability in pastoralist systems of northern Kenya. Land Use Policy, 86, 217-227.

Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio Selection. The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.

Nakamura, R., & Urata, S. (2017). The declining profitability of livestock farming in Japan: A case study of beef farming in Yamaguchi Prefecture. Journal of Rural Studies, 49, 40-49. DOI: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.11.012

Riana, A., Sirajuddin, S. N., & Baba, S. (2020, April). Factors affecting beef cattle farmers adopt Cattle Business Insurance. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 492, No. 1, p. 012137). IOP Publishing.

Skees, J. R., & Gober, S. (2017). Livestock insurance in developing countries: A new market opportunity for index-based insurance. Agricultural Finance Review, 77(2), 158-175.

Smith, J. W., Hahn, W. F., & Fausti, S. W. (2018). Price volatility and livestock producer risk management. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 69(3), 709-725.

Sokhela, D., Ogunniyi, L. T., & Mavundla, K. H. (2017). Land redistribution and its implications for income stability among emerging livestock farmers in South Africa. Land Use Policy, 69, 456-464.

Sokhela, D., Ogunniyi, L. T., & Mavundla, K. H. (2017). Land redistribution and its implications for income stability among emerging livestock farmers in South Africa. Land Use Policy, 69, 456-464. DOI: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.10.041

Song, J., Cai, Y., Wang, Y., & Khan, S. (2022). Health risk, income effect, and the stability of farmers' poverty alleviation in deep poverty areas: A case study of S-County in Qinba Mountain Area. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(23), 16048. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316048

Wang, Y., Li, J., Cui, G., & Yao, D. (2020). Assessing the impact of government policies on smallholder pig farming in China: A case study of four selected counties. Sustainability, 12(3), 1160. DOI: 10.3390/su12031160

World Bank. (2018). Mongolia - Index-Based Livestock Insurance Project (English). World Bank Group. DOI: 10.1596/29874

Zhang, Y., Wang, D., & Berman, J. (2019). China's hog cycle: An analysis of the determinants of China's swine inventory. China Economic Review, 54, 135-146. DOI: 10.1016/j.chieco.2018.12.007

License