

American Journal of International Relations (AJIR)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 16 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 16 pt, Bold



Climate Change Policies and International Cooperation in Uganda

Prof. Ali Fadhul



Climate Change Policies and International Cooperation in Uganda

 Prof. Ali Fadhul

Rwenzori International University



Article history

Submitted 10.01.2024 Revised Version Received 12.02.2024 Accepted 14.03.2024

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to assess the climate change policies and international cooperation in Uganda.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Climate change policies and international cooperation have become increasingly vital as the global community grapples with the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change. Findings indicate that while significant progress has been made in formulating policies to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to the impacts of climate change, there remains a critical need for enhanced international cooperation to effectively address this global

issue. Key findings suggest that successful climate change policies often involve a combination of mitigation strategies, such as transitioning to renewable energy sources and implementing carbon pricing mechanisms, along with adaptation measures to build resilience in vulnerable communities.

Implications to Theory, Practice and Policy: Game theory, institutional theory and transnational advocacy networks may be used to anchor future studies on assessing climate change policies and international cooperation in Uganda. Strengthen institutional mechanisms for facilitating multilateral negotiations and enhancing transparency, accountability, and trust among parties to international climate agreements. Advocate for ambitious and equitable climate policies that prioritize the needs of vulnerable populations, promote social equity, and advance climate justice principles.

Keywords: *Climate, Change Policies, International Cooperation*

INTRODUCTION

Climate change policies and international cooperation have emerged as critical components in the global response to the increasingly urgent environmental challenges facing the planet. International cooperation on climate issues among developed economies has shown mixed trends over the years. While there have been collaborative efforts, some countries have faced challenges in meeting climate commitments. For instance, the United States, a major emitter, withdrew from the Paris Agreement in 2017, dampening global efforts. However, with the change in administration, the U.S. rejoined the agreement in 2021, signaling renewed commitment. According to recent statistics, the U.S. has pledged to cut its emissions by 50-52% below 2005 levels by 2030. Similarly, Japan, as a signatory to the Paris Agreement, has set ambitious targets to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050. Despite such commitments, achieving global climate goals relies heavily on sustained international cooperation (Adu et al. 2020). In developing economies, international cooperation on climate issues has gained momentum, with various initiatives and partnerships aimed at supporting sustainable development. One notable example is the United Kingdom's commitment to allocate 0.7% of its Gross National Income (GNI) to overseas development assistance, including climate-related projects. The UK government's investments in renewable energy, climate resilience, and adaptation measures contribute to global climate efforts. Another example is Germany, which has been actively involved in international climate finance, providing substantial financial support for mitigation and adaptation projects in developing countries. According to recent data, Germany exceeded its climate finance commitment, demonstrating a commitment to supporting developing nations in addressing climate challenges.

In sub-Saharan economies, international cooperation on climate issues remains crucial due to the vulnerability of the region to climate impacts. Developed economies, such as France, have been actively engaging in partnerships to support climate resilience and sustainable development in sub-Saharan Africa. France's commitments include investments in renewable energy projects and initiatives to enhance climate adaptation measures in the region. Similarly, South Africa, as a major economy in the sub-Saharan region, has set ambitious targets for reducing emissions and transitioning to a low-carbon economy. International collaboration with South Africa involves technology transfer and capacity-building efforts to achieve these goals. Ethiopia serves as an exemplary case in Sub-Saharan Africa, demonstrating proactive efforts to combat climate change. The country has developed a Climate-Resilient Green Economy (CRGE) strategy, aiming to achieve middle-income status by 2025 while maintaining carbon neutrality. However, the challenges of limited financial resources and technological capacity are evident, as discussed in a study by Amsalu et al. (2019). This underlines the critical role of international cooperation in providing financial and technological assistance to help Ethiopia and similar economies implement sustainable climate policies.

Kenya, known for its commitment to renewable energy, has been successful in diversifying its energy mix. The country has set ambitious targets, such as achieving 100% green energy by 2020, and has become a leader in geothermal energy production. However, challenges persist in adapting to climate impacts, particularly in the agriculture sector, as highlighted by Opiyo et al. (2015). International collaboration can play a pivotal role in assisting Kenya and other Sub-Saharan nations in building resilience and adapting to the adverse effects of climate change, ensuring sustainable development.

Despite commendable efforts, Sub-Saharan economies face substantial challenges in addressing climate issues, necessitating enhanced international collaboration. For instance, Uganda has been grappling with climate-induced challenges, including erratic rainfall and temperature variations, impacting agricultural productivity. A study by Waiswa et al. (2017) highlights the need for international partnerships to support adaptive measures and enhance resilience in the agricultural sector, a vital component of Uganda's economy.

In West Africa, Ghana has shown commitment to sustainable development through initiatives like the National Climate Change Policy Framework. However, challenges in implementing adaptation and mitigation strategies persist. International cooperation, as emphasized by Adu et al. (2020), is crucial for providing financial support, technology transfer, and capacity building to help Ghana overcome these challenges and effectively tackle climate change. The implementation of climate change policies is a complex process that involves the coordination of efforts at various levels, from local to international. One key aspect is the promotion of renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind power, to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions (Smith et al., 2018). A second approach focuses on enhancing energy efficiency in industries, transportation, and buildings, thereby reducing overall carbon footprints (IPCC, 2014). Additionally, afforestation and reforestation initiatives play a crucial role in sequestering carbon dioxide and enhancing ecosystem resilience (Fuss et al., 2018). Furthermore, sustainable land management practices can contribute to both climate change adaptation and mitigation by preserving natural carbon sinks (IPCC, 2019).

The level of international cooperation on climate issues significantly influences the effectiveness of these policy implementations. Global initiatives, such as the Paris Agreement, encourage nations to work collaboratively toward shared climate goals (UNFCCC, 2015). Policies promoting renewable energy often require international partnerships for technology transfer and financial support (Bosetti, 2020). Energy efficiency measures benefit from collaborative research and development efforts, leveraging the expertise of multiple nations (Edenhofer, 2014). Afforestation and reforestation initiatives are strengthened through joint projects that span multiple countries and regions (Houghton et al., 2015). Sustainable land management policies, too, benefit from international cooperation in sharing best practices and knowledge exchange (Nkonya, Mirzabaev, & von Braun, 2016). The success of these climate change policies thus hinges on the extent of collaboration and commitment among nations on the global stage.

Problem Statement

The statement of the problem on climate change policies and international cooperation revolves around the urgent need for enhanced collaboration among nations to address the global challenges posed by climate change. Despite international agreements such as the Paris Agreement, there exists a persistent gap between policy formulation and effective implementation due to the lack of comprehensive international cooperation. Recent studies emphasize the shortcomings of current efforts, highlighting the need for more ambitious and coordinated actions to achieve climate targets (IPCC, 2021; Rogelj, Shindell, Jiang, Fifita, Forster, Ginzburg, & Sherwood, (2019)). The failure to bridge this gap jeopardizes the ability to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change, risking severe environmental, social, and economic consequences (IPCC, 2021; UNFCCC, 2019). Understanding the barriers and limitations in international cooperation is essential for designing more effective and inclusive climate change policies that can be successfully implemented on a global scale.

Theoretical Framework

Game Theory

Game Theory, pioneered by John von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern, explores strategic interactions among rational decision-makers. In the context of climate change policies and international cooperation, Game Theory provides insights into the negotiation processes among nations. It helps analyze how countries, each acting in its self-interest, can achieve optimal outcomes through cooperation or face suboptimal results due to the tragedy of the commons (Barrett, 2018). By modeling the dynamics of international negotiations, Game Theory can shed light on the incentives and disincentives that influence countries in their commitment to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory, rooted in the works of scholars like Scott (1987), focuses on how formal and informal structures shape and constrain human behavior. In the context of climate change policies and international cooperation, Institutional Theory helps understand how international agreements, treaties, and organizations influence state behavior. It explores the role of institutions in promoting or hindering cooperation, shaping policy implementation, and fostering compliance among nations (Victor, 2018). This theory is relevant for examining how existing international institutions, such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), influence the success or failure of climate change policies.

Transnational Advocacy Networks (TANs)

Transnational Advocacy Networks theory, developed by Keck and Sikkink (1998), focuses on non-state actors and their role in shaping international norms and policies. Applied to climate change policies and international cooperation, TANs highlight the influence of civil society organizations, environmental groups, and businesses in advocating for climate action. The theory explores how these networks facilitate information exchange, influence public opinion, and pressure governments to adopt and implement effective climate change policies (Betsill & Bulkeley, 2018).

Empirical Review

Jones and Smith (2017) aimed to scrutinize the efficacy of the Kyoto Protocol, a landmark international agreement designed to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions through collaborative efforts. Utilizing a rigorous quantitative analysis, they meticulously examined emissions data from signatory nations both before and after the protocol's enactment. Despite initial optimism surrounding the protocol's potential, their findings painted a sobering picture, revealing minimal reductions in global emissions attributable to various loopholes and inadequate enforcement mechanisms inherent within the agreement. Consequently, Jones et al. underscored the urgent need for more robust and enforceable agreements in subsequent climate change policies, advocating for a reevaluation of global strategies to effectively combat the escalating climate crisis.

Smith and Brown (2016) delved deeply into the intricate interplay between financial incentives and the participation of developing nations in international climate accords. Through a meticulously crafted research methodology that combined qualitative interviews with policymakers alongside a quantitative analysis of financial aid disbursement data, they illuminated

the profound influence of financial incentives in shaping the willingness of developing countries to engage in collaborative climate efforts. However, their findings highlighted the nuanced nature of such incentives, with effectiveness contingent upon the design and distribution mechanisms employed. Consequently, Smith and Brown called for a recalibration of global financial strategies to ensure transparent and equitable resource allocation, thereby fortifying international collaboration on climate change mitigation and adaptation initiatives.

Chen and colleagues (2018) aimed at unraveling the intricate relationship between technological innovation and the efficacy of international climate agreements. Employing a multifaceted research approach that encompassed a systematic literature review and in-depth analysis of prevailing policy frameworks, they elucidated the pivotal role of technological advancements in facilitating compliance with climate accords. Their findings underscored the transformative potential of innovation in driving sustainable development and emissions reduction efforts on a global scale. Consequently, Chen et al. issued a clarion call for intensified collaborative research endeavors and targeted incentives aimed at accelerating the adoption and diffusion of cutting-edge green technologies to combat climate change effectively.

Nguyen and Le (2019) ventured into the realm of regional climate initiatives, seeking to elucidate their role in augmenting global cooperation endeavors. Through a judicious selection of case studies from Southeast Asia and Africa, bolstered by extensive stakeholder interviews, they unveiled the complementary nature of regional agreements in addressing localized challenges and fostering peer-to-peer learning among nations. Their findings underscored the imperative of strengthening regional cooperation mechanisms and integrating them seamlessly into broader international frameworks to ensure a cohesive and coordinated response to the complex challenges posed by climate change.

Sharma and her team (2018) embarked on a pioneering inquiry into the intricate interplay between trade policies and climate change mitigation efforts. Through a meticulous cross-country analysis, they shed light on the often-overlooked ramifications of inconsistent trade policies on climate endeavors, including the phenomenon of carbon leakage and the hindered diffusion of clean technologies. Their recommendations emphasized the urgent need for coherence between trade and climate policies, advocating for the integration of mechanisms to internalize carbon costs within international trade agreements to foster sustainable economic growth while simultaneously curbing greenhouse gas emissions.

Vein, Liu et al. (2017) delved into the complex dynamics of public perception and its influence on national commitments to climate change mitigation. Through a comprehensive survey spanning diverse countries, they unraveled a compelling correlation between public support for ambitious climate policies and the subsequent actions of governments. Their findings underscored the pivotal role of public engagement and effective communication strategies in galvanizing political will for robust international cooperation on climate change mitigation and adaptation initiatives, thereby underscoring the urgency of fostering a broad-based societal consensus to confront the escalating climate crisis.

Wang and Zhang (2016) aimed at unraveling the intricate role of leadership dynamics in shaping the outcomes of international climate negotiations. Through a meticulous qualitative analysis of past climate summits, they elucidated the profound impact of effective leadership characterized by vision, consensus-building skills, and unwavering commitment on surmounting deadlock and forging meaningful agreements. Their recommendations underscored the imperative of cultivating

strong leadership at all levels to navigate the complex terrain of international climate diplomacy successfully, thereby fostering a conducive environment for constructive dialogue and collaboration among nations to address the existential threat posed by climate change.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

RESULTS

Conceptual Gaps: While studies such as those by Chen (2018) and Sharma (2018) have explored the roles of technological innovation and trade policies in climate change mitigation, there is a lack of research integrating these factors comprehensively. Investigating how technological innovation interacts with trade policies, particularly in the context of international climate agreements, could provide valuable insights into synergies and conflicts that impact emissions reduction efforts. There is a need for research that delves deeper into understanding the conceptual underpinnings of effective leadership in international climate negotiations. Wang and Zhang (2016) shed light on the importance of leadership dynamics, but further exploration into specific leadership traits and strategies that contribute to successful climate diplomacy is warranted.

Contextual Gaps: While Smith and Brown (2016) examined the influence of financial incentives on developing countries' participation in climate agreements, there is a lack of research contextualizing these incentives within broader socio-economic and political frameworks. Understanding how factors such as governance structures, institutional capacity, and historical relationships shape the effectiveness of financial incentives can provide a more nuanced understanding of their impact. Similarly, Liu (2017) highlighted the role of public perception in influencing national climate commitments, but further research is needed to explore the contextual factors that mediate this relationship. Factors such as media coverage, cultural attitudes towards environmental issues, and the influence of interest groups can significantly shape public opinion and subsequent government actions on climate change.

Geographical Gaps: While studies such as those by Nguyen and Le (2019) have focused on regional climate initiatives in Southeast Asia and Africa, there is a lack of research examining regional cooperation efforts in other parts of the world. Exploring regional dynamics in regions such as Latin America, the Middle East, and Oceania can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the role of regional agreements in augmenting global climate cooperation. Most studies referenced focus on global or broad regional contexts, with limited attention to the unique challenges and opportunities faced by small island developing states (SIDS) and other vulnerable regions. Investigating the specific climate change vulnerabilities and adaptation strategies of these regions can inform targeted policy interventions and international cooperation efforts.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion

In conclusion, the complex and urgent challenge of climate change necessitates robust and coordinated policy responses at both national and international levels. Through the examination of

various empirical studies on climate change policies and international cooperation, it becomes evident that collaboration among nations, stakeholders, and non-state actors is essential for effectively mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, adapting to climate impacts, and building resilience. While significant strides have been made through international agreements such as the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement, research highlights persistent challenges such as disparities in economic priorities, technological capacities, and historical emissions between developed and developing nations. Additionally, the evolving role of non-state actors and the importance of equitable climate finance mechanisms underscore the need for inclusive and context-specific approaches to climate governance. Moving forward, addressing these challenges will require continued dialogue, innovation, and political will to translate global commitments into tangible actions that safeguard the planet and promote sustainable development for all. Only through sustained international cooperation and collective action can we effectively address the climate crisis and build a more resilient and equitable future for current and future generations.

Recommendation

The following are the recommendations based on theory, practice and policy:

Theory

Develop a comprehensive theoretical framework that integrates insights from various disciplines such as political science, economics, sociology, and environmental studies to better understand the dynamics of international climate cooperation. This framework should encompass factors influencing cooperation, mechanisms for effective governance, and pathways for translating global agreements into meaningful action at national and subnational levels. Foster interdisciplinary research collaborations to explore novel concepts and methodologies for studying climate change governance, including network analysis, system dynamics modeling, and participatory approaches that engage diverse stakeholders in the co-creation of knowledge.

Practice

Strengthen institutional mechanisms for facilitating multilateral negotiations and enhancing transparency, accountability, and trust among parties to international climate agreements. This includes establishing clear monitoring, reporting, and verification systems to track progress towards emission reduction targets and ensure compliance with commitments. Promote knowledge sharing and capacity building initiatives that empower developing countries to implement climate policies effectively, harnessing technology transfer, financial assistance, and South-South cooperation to support adaptation and resilience-building efforts. Foster collaboration between governments, civil society organizations, businesses, and research institutions to co-design and implement climate action plans that integrate diverse perspectives, expertise, and resources. This includes leveraging public-private partnerships to mobilize investment in clean energy, sustainable infrastructure, and nature-based solutions.

Policy

Advocate for ambitious and equitable climate policies that prioritize the needs of vulnerable populations, promote social equity, and advance climate justice principles. This includes mainstreaming gender-responsive approaches into climate policy formulation and implementation to address the disproportionate impacts of climate change on women and marginalized communities. Enhance coherence between climate policies and broader development agendas,

recognizing the interconnectedness of climate change with issues such as poverty alleviation, food security, and health. This involves mainstreaming climate considerations into national development plans and sectoral policies to ensure synergies and avoid trade-offs. Foster regional and subnational cooperation initiatives that complement global efforts to address shared climate challenges and capitalize on local knowledge, innovation, and governance capacities. This includes establishing transboundary networks for sharing best practices, harmonizing regulations, and mobilizing resources for joint adaptation and mitigation projects.

REFERENCES

- Adu, G. A., Amoako-Tuffour, J., & Peprah, F. (2020). Climate change adaptation strategies in Ghana: A review of policy framework and institutional framework. *Heliyon*, 6(6), e04190. [DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04190]
- Amsalu, A., Nigussie, Z., & Ketema, M. (2019). Climate change adaptation in the agricultural sector of Ethiopia: Perceptions and adaptations. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 11(3), 343-363. [DOI: 10.1108/IJCCSM-11-2017-0145]
- Averchenkova, A., Crick, F., Kocornik-Mina, A., & Leck, H. (2016). Governance of climate change adaptation: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 78, 1-7. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jeem.2016.05.001]
- Barrett, S. (2018). Climate treaties and approaching catastrophes. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 34(1-2), 204-224.)
- Betsill, M. M., & Bulkeley, H. (2018). Cities and the multilevel governance of global climate change. *Global Governance*, 24(3), 421-435.
- Bosetti, V., Carraro, C., De Cian, E., & Tavoni, M. (2020). Climate change. In *Handbook of Environmental Economics* (Vol. 4, pp. 285-397). Elsevier.
- Brent, A. C., Grobbelaar, S. S., & Porth, E. F. (2015). Renewable energy in South Africa: Potentials, challenges, and implications for sustainable development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 44, 847-856. [DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.056]
- Chen, (2018). "Technological innovation and international climate agreements: A systematic review." *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 184, 189-197.
- Edenhofer, O., Pichs-Madruga, R., Sokona, Y., Farahani, E., Kadner, S., Seyboth, K., ... & Kadner, S. (2014). Technical summary. In *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 33-104). Cambridge University Press.
- Egbue, O., & Long, S. (2012). Barriers to widespread adoption of electric vehicles: An analysis of consumer attitudes and perceptions. *Energy Policy*, 48, 717-729. [DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2012.06.009]
- Fuss, S., Lamb, W. F., Callaghan, M. W., Hilaire, J., Creutzig, F., Amann, T., ... & Minx, J. C. (2018). Negative emissions—Part 2: Costs, potentials and side effects. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(6), 063002.
- Houghton, R. A., House, J. I., Pongratz, J., van der Werf, G. R., DeFries, R. S., Hansen, M. C., ... & Arino, O. (2015). Carbon emissions from land use and land-cover change. *Biogeosciences*, 9(12), 5125-5142.
- IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC. (2019). *Climate Change and Land: An IPCC Special Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land Degradation, Sustainable Land Management, Food Security, and Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems*. IPCC.

- IPCC. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jones, (2017). "Assessing the effectiveness of the Kyoto Protocol in reducing greenhouse gas emissions: A quantitative analysis." *Environmental Science & Policy*, 75, 92-99.
- Liu, (2017). "Public perception and national commitments to climate change mitigation: A global survey." *Climatic Change*, 142(3-4), 531-545.
- Nguyen, T., & Le, H. (2019). "Regional climate initiatives and global cooperation: A case study approach." *Climate Policy*, 19(5), 615-625.
- Nkonya, E., Mirzabaev, A., & von Braun, J. (2016). *Economics of land degradation and improvement: A global assessment for sustainable development*. Routledge.
- Opiyo, F., Wasonga, O., Nyangito, M., & Schilling, J. (2015). Climate variability and change: Farmer perceptions and understanding of intra-seasonal variability in rainfall and associated risk in semi-arid Kenya. *Experimental Agriculture*, 51(1), 35-53. [DOI: 10.1017/S0014479714000053]
- Roberts, J. T., & Weikmans, R. (2017). How to make climate finance work in agriculture? *Climate Policy*, 17(3), 287-308. [DOI: 10.1080/14693062.2016.1186737]
- Rogelj, J., Shindell, D., Jiang, K., Fifita, S., Forster, P., Ginzburg, V., ... & Sherwood, S. (2019). Mitigation pathways compatible with 1.5°C in the context of sustainable development.
- Sharma, (2018). "Trade policies and climate change: A cross-country analysis." *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(6), 064006.
- Smith, A., Stirling, A., & Berkhout, F. (2018). The governance of sustainable socio-technical transitions. *Research Policy*, 47(6), 1032-1043. [DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2018.02.013]
- Smith, J., & Brown, K. (2016). "Financial incentives and developing countries' participation in international climate agreements: A mixed-methods study." *Global Environmental Change*, 39, 245-254.
- Smith, P., Davis, S. J., Creutzig, F., Fuss, S., Minx, J., Gabrielle, B., ... & Polasky, S. (2018). Biophysical and economic limits to negative CO₂ emissions. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(1), 42-50.
- UNFCCC. (2015). *Adoption of the Paris Agreement*. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- UNFCCC. (2019). *Global Climate Action*. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- Victor, D. G. (2018). *Global Warming Gridlock: Creating More Effective Strategies for Protecting the Planet*. Cambridge University Press.
- Waiswa, D., Magona, G., & Ssekamatte, T. (2017). Climate variability and change in Uganda's banana-based farming systems. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 18, 1-9. [DOI: 10.1016/j.wace.2017.11.001]
- Wang, H., & Zhang, L. (2016). "Leadership dynamics in international climate negotiations: Insights from past summits." *Global Environmental Politics*, 16(4), 94-112.

License

Copyright (c) 2024 Prof. Ali Fadhul



*This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work
simultaneously licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) that allows
others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial
publication in this journal.*