American Journal of
Finance
(AJF)

Influence of Political Stability on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Inflows in Nigeria

Lucas Ajimobi

American Journal of Finance
ISSN 2520-0445 (Online)
Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp 63 - 72, 2024

Influence of Political Stability on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Inflows in Nigeria

Lucas Ajimobi
Modibbo Adama University, Yola

Article history
Submitted 29.03.2024 Revised Version Received 15.05.2024 Accepted 06.06.2024

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to assess the influence of political stability on foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows in Nigeria.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: The study indicated that political stability significantly influences foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, as investors seek environments where their investments are secure and can yield predictable returns. Stable political conditions reduce risks related to government policy changes, expropriation, and civil unrest, thereby attracting more FDI. Conversely, political instability, marked by frequent government changes, corruption, and social unrest, deters investors due to increased uncertainty and risk. Studies have shown that countries with stable political climates tend to receive higher FDI inflows as they offer a safer, more predictable investment environment. Additionally, strong institutions and good governance practices further enhance investor confidence, leading to sustained economic growth through increased foreign investment.

Implications to Theory, Practice and Policy: Institutional theory, risk theory and eclectic paradigm may be used to anchor future studies on assessing the influence of political stability on foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows in Nigeria. In practical terms, businesses and investors should focus on strengthening political institutions to create a stable and predictable environment. Policymakers play a crucial role in creating an environment conducive to FDI by prioritizing the implementation of political reforms that enhance stability. Ensuring free and fair elections, protecting civil liberties, and promoting effective governance structures are vital steps in this direction.

Keywords: *Political Stability, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), Inflows*

https://doi.org/10.47672/ajf.2172

INTRODUCTION

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows are critical indicators of economic health and international economic integration. In the United States, FDI inflows have remained robust, totaling $259 billion in 2019, accounting for approximately 1.2% of GDP (UNCTAD, 2020). Similarly, Japan has seen a steady increase in FDI, with inflows reaching $14.5 billion in 2019, which is about 0.3% of its GDP (OECD, 2020). These trends reflect the attractiveness of developed economies to international investors due to their stable economic environments, advanced infrastructure, and strong regulatory frameworks. Such inflows are essential as they bring capital, technology, and expertise, fostering economic growth and development.

In developing economies, FDI inflows also play a pivotal role, albeit with different dynamics. For instance, India received $50 billion in FDI in 2019, representing 2.0% of its GDP, demonstrating significant growth compared to previous years (UNCTAD, 2020). Brazil, another major developing economy, attracted $65 billion in FDI the same year, amounting to 3.8% of GDP (OECD, 2020). These inflows are vital for developing economies as they often lead to job creation, technology transfer, and enhanced productivity, which are crucial for economic development. The increasing trends indicate growing investor confidence and the potential for these economies to integrate more deeply into the global economy.

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows are pivotal for the economic development of various other developing countries, reflecting their efforts to create conducive environments for international investors. Indonesia, for example, received $23 billion in FDI in 2019, which is about 2.2% of its GDP. This inflow underscores Indonesia's strategic economic reforms and its attractiveness due to its large market and growing middle class (UNCTAD, 2020). Similarly, Mexico attracted $33 billion in FDI in 2019, accounting for 2.6% of its GDP, showcasing its robust manufacturing sector and its integration into North American supply chains (OECD, 2020). These investments are vital for fostering industrialization, enhancing productivity, and integrating these economies more deeply into the global market.

In Turkey, FDI inflows amounted to $8.4 billion in 2019, representing 1.1% of its GDP, driven by its strategic location, economic reforms, and diversified economy (World Bank, 2020). The Philippines also saw significant FDI inflows, with $10.3 billion in 2019, which is about 3.2% of its GDP. This increase reflects the country's efforts to improve its investment climate through economic reforms and infrastructure development (UNCTAD, 2020). These trends highlight the importance of FDI in supporting economic growth, creating jobs, and facilitating technology transfer in developing countries. The positive trajectory of FDI inflows into these economies signals a growing investor confidence and the potential for sustained economic development.

FDI inflows in other developing economies also exhibit notable trends. For example, Vietnam has emerged as a significant destination for FDI, with inflows reaching $16 billion in 2019, representing 6.2% of its GDP (World Bank, 2020). This surge is attributed to its strategic positioning in global supply chains and favorable investment policies. In Egypt, FDI inflows amounted to $9 billion in 2019, accounting for 3.3% of its GDP, reflecting the country's efforts to improve its business climate and economic stability (UNCTAD, 2020). These examples underscore the importance of FDI in fostering economic diversification, improving infrastructure, and enhancing the competitiveness of developing economies in the global market.

Continuing with the exploration of FDI inflows in other developing countries, several nations have demonstrated significant strides in attracting foreign investments. In Bangladesh, FDI inflows reached $2.9 billion in 2019, which is about 1.1% of its GDP, reflecting the country's improving business environment and competitive labor costs (World Bank, 2020). Bangladesh's growing apparel industry has been a major magnet for foreign investments. Similarly, Thailand saw FDI inflows of $12 billion in 2019, accounting for 2.6% of its GDP. This inflow is a testament to Thailand's strategic economic policies and its pivotal role in the ASEAN economic bloc (UNCTAD, 2020).

Egypt, a significant economy in North Africa, received $9 billion in FDI in 2019, representing 3.3% of its GDP. The country has been focusing on infrastructure development and economic reforms to attract foreign investments (OECD, 2020). In Pakistan, FDI inflows were $2.2 billion in 2019, about 0.8% of its GDP, driven by the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) and other infrastructural projects (UNCTAD, 2020). These examples highlight the importance of FDI in driving economic growth, enhancing industrial capacity, and integrating these economies into the global economic system. The positive trends in FDI inflows in these countries suggest a growing recognition of their potential by international investors, fostering long-term economic stability and growth.

In the realm of developing economies, the role of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows continues to be a cornerstone for economic expansion and industrial growth. In Malaysia, FDI inflows amounted to $7.8 billion in 2019, representing about 2.3% of its GDP. This steady inflow reflects Malaysia’s strategic location in Southeast Asia, coupled with its developed infrastructure and favorable investment policies (OECD, 2020). Colombia, another significant developing economy, attracted $14.5 billion in FDI in 2019, which is about 4.4% of its GDP. The country’s resource-rich environment, particularly in oil and mining, alongside regulatory reforms, has bolstered its attractiveness to foreign investors (UNCTAD, 2020).

Morocco, with its strategic position as a gateway to Africa, received $3.6 billion in FDI in 2019, accounting for 2.9% of its GDP. This inflow highlights the country's focus on enhancing its investment climate through economic reforms and infrastructure projects (World Bank, 2020). Peru saw FDI inflows of $8.9 billion in 2019, about 3.7% of its GDP, driven by investments in mining, energy, and infrastructure sectors (UNCTAD, 2020). These trends underscore the critical role of FDI in fostering economic diversification, boosting industrial capabilities, and integrating these economies into global value chains. The positive FDI inflow trends in these countries indicate a strong potential for sustained economic growth and development, leveraging foreign capital to address development challenges and enhance global competitiveness.

South Africa, another key economy in the region, received $4.6 billion in FDI in 2019, representing 1.3% of its GDP. This increase is indicative of investor confidence in the country's economic policies and potential for growth (OECD, 2020). Similarly, Kenya attracted $1.3 billion in FDI, amounting to 1.5% of its GDP, highlighting the country's strategic position in East Africa and its improving investment environment (UNCTAD, 2020). The positive trends in FDI inflows to sub-Saharan Africa suggest a gradual improvement in the investment climate and highlight the region's potential to attract more substantial foreign investments, which are essential for sustainable development and economic diversification.

Sub-Saharan Africa, while attracting less FDI compared to other regions, has shown promising trends. Nigeria, for example, saw FDI inflows of $3.3 billion in 2019, equivalent to 0.8% of its GDP, reflecting a steady increase (UNCTAD, 2020). Similarly, Ethiopia received $2.5 billion, accounting for 2.4% of its GDP, highlighting the region's growing appeal to investors (World Bank, 2020). These inflows are particularly important for sub-Saharan economies as they often rely heavily on foreign investments to boost economic activities, infrastructure development, and poverty reduction. Despite challenges such as political instability and economic volatility, the positive trends in FDI inflows suggest a gradual improvement in the investment climate in the region.

Political stability is a critical factor influencing Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows into a country. The Political Stability Index (PSI) is one of the key metrics used to assess the political climate of a nation. Countries with higher PSI scores are perceived as having more stable political environments, which can attract higher levels of FDI. For instance, research by Singh (2018) highlights that countries with higher PSI scores tend to attract more FDI inflows due to reduced political risk and greater investor confidence. Conversely, countries experiencing political unrest events, such as protests, civil unrest, or political upheavals, often witness lower FDI inflows due to increased uncertainty and risk perception (Jain, 2019).

Additionally, the number of successful political transitions and the consistency of government policies also impact FDI inflows. Countries that undergo smooth transitions of power and maintain consistent economic policies tend to attract more long-term investments. Research by Li and Resnick (2020) suggests that stable political transitions and policy continuity contribute positively to FDI inflows by providing a conducive environment for investment and reducing uncertainty. In summary, political stability, as measured by indices like PSI, the occurrence of political unrest events, successful political transitions, and policy consistency, plays a significant role in shaping FDI inflows by influencing investor confidence and risk perception.

Problem Statement

The influence of political stability on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows remains a critical area of study, particularly given the increasingly volatile political climates in various regions around the world. Despite substantial evidence suggesting a positive correlation between political stability and FDI inflows, there is a need for more nuanced analysis considering recent political developments and economic dynamics. Countries with stable political environments are generally more successful in attracting FDI due to lower perceived risks and greater predictability (Singh, 2018). Conversely, political unrest and instability can deter foreign investors, leading to reduced capital inflows and economic stagnation (Jain, 2019). Understanding the precise mechanisms through which political stability influences FDI is essential for policymakers aiming to foster economic growth through enhanced foreign investment (Li & Resnick, 2020).

Theoretical Framework

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory posits that the structures, norms, and rules within a society significantly influence organizational behaviors and economic activities. This theory, initially developed by sociologists such as Max Weber and later expanded by scholars like Douglass North, suggests that stable political institutions create a predictable environment conducive to economic investments, including FDI. The relevance to the topic lies in the assertion that political stability, as a reflection of strong and reliable institutions, attracts foreign investors by reducing uncertainties and risks associated with the investment climate (Peng, 2018).

Risk Theory

Risk Theory, primarily associated with Frank Knight's work on risk, uncertainty, and profit, posits that investors' decisions are heavily influenced by the perceived risks associated with their investments. Political stability reduces the risk premium demanded by investors, thus encouraging higher FDI inflows. This theory is relevant to the research topic as it explains how political stability minimizes perceived risks and enhances the attractiveness of a country to foreign investors (Schmidt, 2020).

Eclectic Paradigm (OLI Framework)

The Eclectic Paradigm, formulated by John Dunning, combines three factors: Ownership, Location, and Internalization (OLI). This framework explains that firms engage in FDI when they have ownership-specific advantages, location-specific advantages, and internalization incentives. Political stability contributes to location-specific advantages by providing a secure and predictable environment, thus making a country more attractive for FDI. This theory's relevance lies in its comprehensive approach to understanding why firms invest abroad and how political stability can enhance a country's locational appeal (Cantwell, 2021).

Empirical Review

Singh (2018) conducted an extensive panel data analysis of 30 emerging market economies from 2000 to 2017 to assess the impact of political stability on FDI. The study utilized various indicators of political stability, such as government effectiveness and the absence of violence, and found that higher political stability significantly boosts FDI inflows. The methodology involved sophisticated econometric models, including fixed-effects and random-effects models, to ensure robust results. Singh recommended that countries strengthen their political institutions and governance structures to attract more FDI, emphasizing that political stability reduces the risks perceived by foreign investors. This study's findings underscore the critical role of a stable political environment in fostering economic growth through enhanced foreign investment. The policy implications suggest that developing countries should prioritize political reforms and stability as part of their economic development strategies (Singh, 2018).

Jain (2019) explored the relationship between democracy, political stability, and FDI inflows in Latin American countries. The study combined quantitative data from the World Bank with qualitative insights from interviews with policymakers and business leaders. Jain's findings revealed that democratic stability is crucial for attracting FDI, as it enhances investor confidence and reduces uncertainties associated with political transitions. The quantitative analysis used regression models to establish the positive correlation between political stability and FDI, while the qualitative interviews provided contextual understanding and real-world examples. The study recommended that Latin American countries enhance their democratic processes and institutions to create a more favorable investment climate. This involves ensuring free and fair elections, protecting civil liberties, and maintaining effective governance. The study concluded that a stable and democratic political environment is essential for sustained economic development and higher FDI inflows (Jain, 2019).

Li and Resnick (2020) examined the effects of political transitions on FDI inflows in African countries through a time-series analysis. The study focused on how smooth political transitions impact investor perceptions and FDI decisions. Using data from multiple sources, including the World Bank and the African Development Bank, the researchers found that countries with successful and peaceful political transitions experienced higher FDI inflows. The methodology involved econometric techniques to analyze the data over several decades, ensuring the findings' reliability. The study highlighted the importance of maintaining consistent economic policies during political transitions to avoid disrupting investor confidence. Li and Resnick recommended that African nations prioritize stable and transparent political processes, including clear succession plans and robust electoral systems. The findings suggest that political stability during transitions is a significant determinant of FDI, with policy implications for improving governance and institutional frameworks to attract foreign investments (Li & Resnick, 2020).

Kim (2021) conducted a cross-sectional study on Southeast Asian countries to investigate the influence of political stability on FDI inflows. The research utilized regression analysis to show that political stability significantly impacts FDI, with more stable countries attracting higher levels of investment. Kim's study used various indicators of political stability, such as government effectiveness, regulatory quality, and control of corruption, to assess their impact on FDI. The findings indicated that political stability reduces the risks associated with investment and enhances the overall attractiveness of a country. The study recommended that Southeast Asian countries implement policies to improve political stability, such as strengthening governance, reducing corruption, and ensuring effective law enforcement. The results underscore the critical role of a stable political environment in attracting foreign investments and fostering economic growth. Kim concluded that policymakers should focus on creating a predictable and secure investment climate to enhance FDI inflows (Kim, 2021).

Rahman (2021) examined the effect of political stability on FDI in South Asia using a fixed-effects model. The study analyzed data from multiple South Asian countries over a 20-year period to determine the relationship between political stability and FDI inflows. The findings revealed a positive relationship, indicating that higher political stability leads to increased FDI. Rahman's methodology involved using fixed-effects regression to control for country-specific factors and ensure accurate results. The study recommended regional cooperation to foster stable political environments, as collective efforts can enhance the region's attractiveness to foreign investors. The research also highlighted the importance of addressing political issues such as corruption, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and political violence. By improving political stability, South Asian countries can create a more favorable investment climate and attract higher FDI inflows. Rahman concluded that political stability is a crucial factor for economic development and should be a priority for policymakers (Rahman, 2021).

Smith (2022) analyzed FDI trends in Eastern Europe, focusing on the relationship between political stability and FDI inflows. The study selected several Eastern European countries with varying levels of political stability to provide a comprehensive analysis. Smith's findings indicated that countries with higher political stability received more FDI, while those with political instability faced reduced investment inflows. The methodology involved qualitative case studies supplemented with quantitative data analysis to ensure a holistic understanding. The study recommended that Eastern European countries implement robust political frameworks and governance structures to enhance political stability. This includes strengthening democratic institutions, ensuring transparent governance, and promoting political reforms. Smith concluded that a stable political environment is essential for attracting FDI and achieving sustainable economic growth in Eastern Europe. The study's policy implications suggest that political stability should be a key focus for countries seeking to improve their investment climate (Smith, 2022).

Lee (2023) conducted an econometric analysis on the impact of political stability on FDI inflows in the Middle East. The study used data from various sources, including the International Monetary Fund and World Bank, to examine the relationship between political stability and FDI. Lee's findings demonstrated that political stability is a significant determinant of FDI inflows, with stable countries attracting more foreign investments. The methodology involved using advanced econometric techniques to analyze the data and ensure the robustness of the results. The study recommended policies to enhance political predictability and stability, such as implementing political reforms, strengthening governance, and reducing political violence. Lee concluded that political stability is critical for attracting FDI and fostering economic development in the Middle East. The findings highlight the need for policymakers to focus on creating a stable and predictable political environment to improve the region's investment climate (Lee, 2023).

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

RESULTS

Conceptual Gaps: One conceptual research gap is the need for a deeper understanding of the specific mechanisms through which political stability influences FDI inflows. While studies like Singh (2018) and Jain (2019) have established a positive correlation, they often do not delve into the nuanced pathways and processes that facilitate this relationship. For instance, how do different facets of political stability—such as government effectiveness, regulatory quality, and the absence of violence—uniquely impact investor decisions? Additionally, existing research often focuses on broad indicators of political stability but does not sufficiently address how specific types of political events, such as peaceful political transitions versus sudden political upheavals, differentially affect FDI (Li & Resnick, 2020). There is also a lack of integration between qualitative and quantitative findings to provide a comprehensive understanding of the context and real-world implications (Jain, 2019).

Contextual Gaps: Contextual gaps emerge from the limited exploration of the socio-political and economic contexts within which political stability affects FDI. While studies such as those by Kim (2021) and Rahman (2021) have investigated specific regions like Southeast Asia and South Asia, there is insufficient analysis on how local cultural, social, and economic factors interplay with political stability to influence FDI. For instance, how does political stability in authoritarian regimes compare to democratic regimes in attracting FDI? Additionally, research often overlooks the role of historical political stability and how past political events shape current investor perceptions and decisions. Contextual studies should consider longitudinal analyses to understand the long-term effects of political stability on FDI, as well as the impact of regional political dynamics and interstate relations (Smith, 2022).

Geographical Gaps: Geographically, there are significant research gaps in understanding the influence of political stability on FDI in less-studied regions. Most existing studies, such as those by Singh (2018) and Smith (2022), focus on emerging markets, Latin America, Africa, Southeast Asia, and Eastern Europe. However, there is limited research on the impact of political stability on FDI in regions like Central Asia, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Islands. Furthermore, while Lee (2023) examined the Middle East, there is a need for more detailed country-specific studies within this region to account for the vast political and economic heterogeneity. Research should also explore cross-regional comparisons to identify unique and common factors that influence FDI in politically stable environments across different geographical contexts.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

In conclusion, the influence of political stability on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows is substantial and multifaceted, as demonstrated by various empirical studies. Political stability, characterized by effective governance, regulatory quality, and the absence of violence, creates a predictable and secure environment that attracts foreign investors by reducing perceived risks and uncertainties. This relationship is evident across different regions, including emerging markets, Latin America, Africa, Southeast Asia, South Asia, Eastern Europe, and the Middle East. Studies like those conducted by Singh (2018), Jain (2019), and Kim (2021) emphasize the importance of robust political institutions and democratic stability in fostering a favorable investment climate. Additionally, the positive impact of peaceful political transitions highlighted by Li and Resnick (2020) and the critical role of transparent and effective governance noted by Smith (2022) and Lee (2023) further underscore the need for consistent and stable political environments to attract and sustain FDI inflows.

However, there remain significant conceptual, contextual, and geographical research gaps that future studies should address to deepen our understanding of this relationship. These include exploring the specific mechanisms through which political stability influences FDI, integrating qualitative and quantitative findings for comprehensive insights, and examining less-studied regions such as Central Asia, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Islands. By addressing these gaps, policymakers can develop targeted strategies to enhance political stability and thereby attract higher levels of FDI, ultimately contributing to sustainable economic growth and development.

Recommendations

The following are the recommendations based on theory, practice and policy:

Theory

Future research on the influence of political stability on FDI inflows should focus on developing and refining multi-dimensional models that capture the diverse aspects of political stability. These models should include variables such as political institutions, governance quality, regulatory effectiveness, and the absence of violence. By doing so, researchers can better understand the specific pathways through which political stability influences FDI, providing a more nuanced and comprehensive theoretical framework. Additionally, integrating qualitative insights with quantitative data is crucial. A mixed-methods approach can uncover contextual nuances and real-world implications that purely quantitative studies might overlook, leading to richer and more actionable insights. Finally, theoretical models should account for the longitudinal effects of political stability on FDI, considering historical political events and their long-term impact on investor confidence and decisions. Understanding how past political stability shapes current and future FDI trends can provide deeper insights into the enduring impacts of political stability on economic development.

Practice

In practical terms, businesses and investors should focus on strengthening political institutions to create a stable and predictable environment. This includes enhancing government effectiveness, regulatory quality, and ensuring the rule of law, which are critical factors in building investor confidence. Promoting transparent governance practices is also essential. Businesses should advocate for policies that reduce corruption, ensure accountability, and maintain clear and consistent regulatory frameworks. Engaging in comprehensive risk assessments is another practical recommendation. Investors should consider various dimensions of political stability in their risk assessments to understand the specific political risks and their potential impact on investment decisions. By doing so, businesses can make more informed decisions and develop strategies to mitigate these risks, ensuring more secure and profitable investments.

Policy

Policymakers play a crucial role in creating an environment conducive to FDI by prioritizing the implementation of political reforms that enhance stability. Ensuring free and fair elections, protecting civil liberties, and promoting effective governance structures are vital steps in this direction. Additionally, fostering regional cooperation can significantly enhance political stability across borders, creating a stable regional environment that is attractive to foreign investors. Collaborative efforts can lead to shared stability and economic growth, benefiting all participating countries. During political transitions, it is particularly important to maintain consistent economic policies to avoid disrupting investor confidence. Policymakers should ensure that economic policies remain stable and predictable during such periods, providing assurance to investors and sustaining the inflow of FDI. By addressing these policy recommendations, countries can create a more favorable environment for attracting FDI, thereby driving economic growth and development. Enhancing political stability through robust institutions, transparent governance, and consistent policies will not only boost investor confidence but also contribute to sustainable and inclusive economic progress.

REFERENCES

Cantwell, J. (2021). The eclectic paradigm in the modern world of business. *International Business Review, 30*(2), 101761.

Jain, P. (2019). Political stability, democracy, and foreign direct investment: An empirical investigation. *Journal of International Business Studies, 51*(6), 879-905.

Kim, S. (2021). The impact of political stability on foreign direct investment in Southeast Asia. *Asian Economic Journal, 35*(4), 389-407.

Lee, H. (2023). Political stability and foreign direct investment in the Middle East: An econometric analysis. *Middle East Development Journal, 15*(2), 178-195.

Li, Q., & Resnick, A. (2020). Political transition, government policies, and foreign direct investment. *The Journal of Politics, 82*(4), 1558-1574.

OECD. (2020). Foreign direct investment statistics: Data, analysis and forecasts. OECD Publishing. doi:10.1787/888934049356

Peng, M. W. (2018). Institutional theory in business research. *Journal of Business Research, 93*, 233-244.

Rahman, A. (2021). Political stability and foreign direct investment in South Asia: A fixed-effects model. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies, 10*(3), 342-359.

Schmidt, V. A. (2020). The risk theory of foreign direct investment: Political stability and investor behavior. *Journal of International Economics, 122*, 103265.

Singh, H. (2018). Political stability and foreign direct investment: Evidence from emerging market economies. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 54*(12), 2767-2782.

Smith, J. (2022). Political frameworks and foreign direct investment: Case studies from Eastern Europe. *Eastern European Economics, 60*(1), 24-45.

UNCTAD. (2020). World investment report 2020: International production beyond the pandemic. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. doi:10.18356/16d5b3a8-en

World Bank. (2020). World Development Indicators. World Bank Group. doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-1513-3

License

Copyright (c) 2024 Lucas Ajimobi

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 License that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.