International Journal of **Poverty, Investment and Development** (IJPID)



Impact of Microfinance Interventions on Poverty Alleviation in Rural Communities in Chad





Impact of Microfinance Interventions on Poverty Alleviation in Rural Communities in Chad



Submitted 17.02.2024 Revised Version Received 17.03.2024 Accepted 22.04.2024

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to assess the impact of microfinance interventions on poverty alleviation in rural communities in Chad.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Access to microfinance services, such as small loans and savings accounts, has empowered individuals in these communities to start or expand their own businesses, leading to increased income generation and self-sufficiency. economic Secondly, microfinance programs often prioritize women as beneficiaries, recognizing their role as key agents of change within their families and communities. Consequently, women who participate in microfinance initiatives have experienced enhanced decision-making power, improved socioeconomic status, and better access to education and healthcare for themselves and their families. Additionally, microfinance interventions have been found to foster social capital and community cohesion by promoting group lending models and collective responsibility among borrowers. However, challenges remain, including issues of sustainability, scalability, and the need for complementary support services to address broader structural constraints.

Implications to Theory, Practice and Policy: Social capital theory, financial inclusion theory and capability approach may be used to anchor future studies on assessing impact of microfinance interventions on poverty alleviation in rural communities in Chad. In practice, microfinance institutions (MFIs) should adopt a holistic approach that combines multiple microfinance services to address the diverse needs of rural communities. From a policy perspective, governments and policymakers should prioritize the development of supportive regulatory frameworks and conducive environments for microfinance institutions to thrive.

Keywords: *Microfinance, Interventions, Poverty, Alleviation, Rural Communities*



INTRODUCTION

Microfinance interventions have emerged as a significant tool in the global fight against poverty, particularly in rural communities. In developed economies like the United States, poverty levels are typically measured by income thresholds set by the government. According to recent statistics, the poverty rate in the United States stood at 10.5% in 2019, with 34 million people living below the poverty line. This represents a slight decrease from previous years, indicating some progress in poverty alleviation efforts (United States Census Bureau, 2020). However, income inequality remains a significant issue, with certain demographic groups disproportionately affected by poverty, such as children, minorities, and single-parent households. For example, the poverty rate among Black Americans was more than double that of White Americans in 2019 (United States Census Bureau, 2020).

Similarly, in the United Kingdom, poverty levels are assessed using income thresholds, among other indicators. As of 2019, the poverty rate in the UK was around 20%, with 14.5 million people living in relative poverty (Department for Work and Pensions, 2020). Although this represented a slight decrease from previous years, the persistence of poverty remains a concern, particularly in the context of rising living costs and stagnant wages. Furthermore, multidimensional measures of poverty, which consider factors beyond income, reveal additional dimensions of deprivation, such as access to education, healthcare, and housing (Department for Work and Pensions, 2020).

In developing economies, such as those in Southeast Asia, poverty levels are often measured using multidimensional indices that account for various aspects of deprivation beyond income alone. For instance, in countries like Indonesia and the Philippines, poverty rates remain relatively high despite economic growth. According to recent data, around 9.7% of the Indonesian population and 16.6% of the Filipino population were living below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). These figures underscore the complex nature of poverty in developing economies, where challenges related to access to basic services, employment opportunities, and social protection persist despite economic progress.

In developing economies, poverty manifests in various forms, reflecting both economic and social disparities. In regions like Latin America, poverty rates often fluctuate in response to economic cycles and policy interventions. For instance, in Brazil, despite significant progress in poverty reduction over the past few decades, around 21.4% of the population still lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Moreover, income inequality remains a persistent challenge, with a significant portion of the population living in vulnerable conditions, lacking access to adequate healthcare, eduation, and housing (Gasparini & Lustig, 2019).

Similarly, in Southeast Asia, countries like Cambodia and Myanmar continue to grapple with high levels of poverty, exacerbated by factors such as conflict, natural disasters, and weak governance. According to recent data, approximately 16.5% of the Cambodian population and 24.8% of the Myanmar population lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Despite robust economic growth in the region, disparities in wealth distribution and limited social protection mechanisms contribute to the persistence of poverty, particularly in rural areas where agricultural livelihoods are prevalent (World Bank, 2020)..



In South Asia, countries like India and Bangladesh have made significant strides in poverty reduction over the past few decades. However, poverty remains widespread, particularly in rural areas and among certain social groups. In India, for instance, despite a declining trend, around 20% of the population lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Factors such as rapid urbanization, informal employment, and inadequate infrastructure pose challenges to poverty alleviation efforts (World Bank, 2020). Similarly, in Bangladesh, while poverty rates have declined substantially, around 21.8% of the population still lived below the national poverty line in 2019, highlighting the need for continued investment in education, healthcare, and social protection (World Bank, 2020).

In Eastern Europe and Central Asia, poverty trends vary across countries, influenced by factors such as economic transitions, political instability, and regional disparities. For example, in Ukraine, despite progress in poverty reduction efforts, around 25% of the population lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Challenges such as corruption, weak governance, and conflict in certain regions contribute to persistent poverty pockets, particularly in rural areas (World Bank, 2020). In contrast, countries like Kazakhstan have seen significant improvements in poverty reduction, driven by economic growth and social development initiatives.

In East Asia, countries like China and South Korea have experienced remarkable economic growth, leading to substantial reductions in poverty rates over the past few decades. However, challenges such as income inequality, rural-urban disparities, and environmental degradation persist. In China, for instance, the official poverty rate dropped to 0.6% in 2019, marking a significant achievement in poverty alleviation efforts (World Bank, 2020). Nevertheless, rural areas continue to lag behind urban centers in terms of income and access to services, necessitating targeted interventions to address remaining pockets of poverty (World Bank, 2020). Similarly, in South Korea, while overall poverty rates are relatively low, certain groups, such as the elderly and single-parent households, face higher risks of poverty and social exclusion, underscoring the need for inclusive policies and social welfare reforms (OECD, 2018).

In the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, poverty rates vary across countries due to factors such as political instability, conflict, and economic disparities. For example, in Egypt, while official statistics indicate a decline in poverty rates in recent years, around 32.5% of the population still lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Economic reforms aimed at stimulating growth and reducing fiscal deficits have had mixed results, with challenges remaining in terms of job creation and social protection (World Bank, 2020). In contrast, countries like the United Arab Emirates (UAE) have significantly lower poverty rates, largely due to their oil wealth and robust welfare systems.

Similarly, in Sub-Saharan African economies, poverty levels are significant, with many individuals and families experiencing extreme deprivation. For example, in Nigeria, Africa's most populous country, approximately 40% of the population lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Factors such as political instability, weak governance, and limited access to education and healthcare contribute to the perpetuation of poverty in the region, highlighting the need for comprehensive and sustainable development strategies.



In Sub-Saharan African economies, poverty remains a formidable challenge, with a significant portion of the population living in extreme deprivation. For instance, in countries like Ethiopia and Tanzania, poverty rates are among the highest in the world. Recent data indicates that approximately 23.5% of Ethiopians and 25.7% of Tanzanians lived below the national poverty line in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Factors such as rapid population growth, limited access to education and healthcare, and vulnerability to climate change exacerbate the prevalence of poverty in the region (World Bank, 2020).

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa, reversing years of progress and deepening existing inequalities. Lockdown measures, disruptions to supply chains, and economic downturns have pushed millions of people into poverty, particularly those employed in the informal sector and rural communities (World Bank, 2020). Addressing poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa requires comprehensive strategies that focus on promoting inclusive economic growth, expanding social safety nets, and enhancing access to basic services, particularly for marginalized populations

Microfinance interventions encompass a range of financial services aimed at empowering lowincome individuals and communities by providing access to credit, savings, insurance, and other financial products. The presence of microfinance interventions varies across regions and is influenced by factors such as government policies, regulatory frameworks, and the presence of microfinance institutions (MFIs) (Banerjee & Duflo, 2019). The frequency of microfinance interventions refers to the regularity with which financial services are provided to clients, ranging from daily transactions to periodic loan disbursements or savings mobilization activities (Morduch, 2019). Intensity, on the other hand, pertains to the depth and breadth of microfinance services, including the amount of credit extended, the range of financial products offered, and the level of support provided to clients through training and capacity-building initiatives (Banerjee & Duflo, 2019).

Four common microfinance interventions include microcredit, microsavings, microinsurance, and financial literacy training. Microcredit involves the provision of small loans to individuals or groups who lack access to traditional banking services, enabling them to invest in incomegenerating activities and smooth consumption (Karlan & Zinman, 2018). Microsavings programs facilitate regular savings mobilization among low-income individuals, helping them build assets and manage financial risks (Duflo, Kremer, & Robinson 2018). Microinsurance schemes provide vulnerable populations with protection against unforeseen events such as illness, death, or natural disasters, reducing their exposure to financial shocks (Cole & Fernando, 2019). Financial literacy training equips clients with the knowledge and skills needed to make informed financial decisions, enhancing their ability to manage resources effectively and improve their financial well-being (Karlan & Zinman, 2018).

Problem Statement

Despite the widespread adoption of microfinance interventions as a tool for poverty alleviation in rural communities, there remains a need for rigorous empirical research to assess their impact on improving the socio-economic conditions of the poor. While some studies suggest that microfinance interventions lead to positive outcomes such as increased income generation, asset accumulation, and empowerment of women (Duflo, Kremer, & Robinson 2018), others argue that



the effects may be limited or even counterproductive, particularly in contexts where financial services are not accompanied by complementary interventions such as training and support (Banerjee & Duflo, 2019). Furthermore, the heterogeneity of microfinance programs, including variations in loan terms, interest rates, and repayment schedules, complicates efforts to generalize findings and identify best practices for poverty reduction (Karlan & Zinman, 2018).

Moreover, the impact of microfinance interventions may be influenced by contextual factors such as market conditions, institutional capacity, and socio-cultural norms, which vary across regions and communities (Cole & Fernando, 2019). Additionally, recent developments in technology and digital finance have transformed the landscape of microfinance, introducing new opportunities as well as challenges in reaching underserved populations and promoting financial inclusion (Morduch, 2019). Therefore, there is a pressing need for research that examines the nuanced relationship between microfinance interventions and poverty alleviation outcomes, taking into account contextual factors and leveraging innovative methodologies to generate robust evidence for policymakers and practitioners.

Theoretical Framework Social Capital Theory

Originating from the works of Pierre Bourdieu and James Coleman, Social Capital Theory posits that social networks and relationships play a crucial role in facilitating access to resources and opportunities within communities. In the context of microfinance interventions, social capital theory suggests that participation in microfinance groups fosters trust, cooperation, and reciprocity among members, leading to collective action and improved economic outcomes (Kaplan & Ngo, 2021). By examining the dynamics of social networks within microfinance groups, researchers can assess how social capital contributes to the effectiveness of poverty alleviation efforts in rural communities.

Financial Inclusion Theory

Developed in response to the exclusion of marginalized populations from mainstream financial services, Financial Inclusion Theory emphasizes the importance of expanding access to formal financial products and services to enhance the economic well-being of the poor. Originating from scholars such as Jonathan Morduch and Daryl Collins, this theory underscores the role of microfinance interventions in promoting financial inclusion by providing low-income individuals with access to credit, savings, and insurance (Demirguc-Kunt & Klapper, 2018). Research grounded in financial inclusion theory can explore how access to microfinance services empowers rural communities to manage risks, invest in productive activities, and build assets, thereby contributing to poverty reduction efforts.

Capability Approach

Originating from the work of Amartya Sen and Martha Nussbaum, the Capability Approach focuses on enhancing individuals' freedom to achieve valuable functionings and capabilities. In the context of microfinance interventions, this theory emphasizes the importance of expanding opportunities and choices for the poor beyond mere income generation. By assessing the impact of microfinance on factors such as education, health, and empowerment, researchers can evaluate how microfinance interventions contribute to enhancing the capabilities of rural communities and promoting sustainable poverty alleviation (Ismail, 2019).



Empirical Review

Smith's (2018) provided a comprehensive analysis of the impact of microcredit programs on poverty alleviation, offering insights into the multifaceted dynamics of microfinance interventions. Employing a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, Smith delves deep into the mechanisms through which microfinance initiatives influence household welfare. The findings reveal that participation in microcredit activities stimulates not only increased agricultural productivity but also fosters entrepreneurial ventures among borrowers, leading to tangible improvements in income levels and asset accumulation over time. By elucidating the pathways through which microfinance interventions contribute to poverty reduction, Smith's study not only underscores the significance of tailored financial services in empowering marginalized communities but also provides valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to design effective poverty alleviation strategies.

Gupta and Patel's (2019) examined the role of financial inclusion in poverty mitigation, contributing to the growing body of literature on the transformative potential of formal financial services. Through a meticulously designed randomized controlled trial (RCT), the study offers robust empirical evidence on the efficacy of microsavings services in enhancing household welfare and resilience. The findings highlight the profound impact of access to formal financial services in bolstering financial resilience, facilitating asset accumulation, and empowering rural households to navigate economic shocks more effectively. By shedding light on the pathways through which microsavings contribute to poverty alleviation, Gupta and Patel's study not only advances our understanding of the mechanisms underlying financial inclusion but also provides actionable insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to promote sustainable development and poverty reduction in rural contexts.

Rahman's (2020) investigated the impact of microinsurance on health-related shocks in rural Pakistan constitutes a significant contribution to the literature on the intersection between finance, health, and poverty. Drawing on a robust cross-sectional study design, Rahman examines the protective effects of microinsurance against the economic burdens associated with illness episodes, offering valuable insights into the potential of social protection mechanisms in building resilience among vulnerable populations. Through meticulous regression analysis, the study demonstrates that households enrolled in microinsurance programs experience reduced out-of-pocket healthcare expenses and mitigate financial strain during periods of illness, highlighting the instrumental role of microinsurance in poverty alleviation efforts. Rahman's findings not only underscore the importance of comprehensive social protection mechanisms in fostering inclusive development but also provide actionable recommendations for policymakers seeking to strengthen the resilience of rural communities against health-related shocks.

Nguyen, Tran, and Nguyen (2021) conducted a rigorous quasi-experimental study in rural Vietnam to delve into the intricate relationship between financial literacy and poverty alleviation. Their study stands out for its meticulous approach to data collection and analysis, providing deep insights into the effectiveness of financial education programs in enhancing economic well-being among rural households. Through a combination of surveys and qualitative interviews, the researchers explored the nuanced impacts of financial literacy training, uncovering significant improvements in financial management practices, increased savings behavior, and enhanced resilience to



economic shocks among participants. By elucidating the pathways through which financial literacy contributes to poverty reduction, Nguyen et al.'s study offers actionable insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to design comprehensive poverty alleviation strategies that integrate financial education into microfinance interventions, thereby fostering sustainable development and resilience in rural communities.

Akhtar and Rahman's (2018) comparative analysis of microfinance interventions in rural Bangladesh and Nepal presents a comprehensive exploration of the contextual factors influencing the effectiveness of microfinance programs in addressing poverty dynamics. Through in-depth qualitative case studies, the researchers shed light on the diverse socio-economic conditions and community preferences shaping microfinance initiatives in different settings. Their findings underscored the importance of context-specific approaches tailored to local contexts, emphasizing the need for nuanced program design and implementation strategies to maximize poverty reduction impacts. By offering valuable insights into variations in program effectiveness across different settings, Akhtar and Rahman's study informs evidence-based policymaking and practice, providing guidance for stakeholders seeking to design tailored interventions that resonate with the needs and realities of rural communities.

Li, Wang, and Li's (2019) meta-analysis of existing literature on microfinance interventions in rural China represents a landmark contribution to the field, offering a comprehensive synthesis of empirical evidence on the impact of microfinance on poverty outcomes. Through a systematic review of multiple studies, the researchers conducted a rigorous assessment of the heterogeneity of microfinance models and examined factors influencing their effectiveness in addressing poverty challenges. Their findings revealed mixed evidence regarding the impact of microfinance on poverty alleviation, with variations observed across regions, program designs, and implementation strategies. By synthesizing findings from diverse studies, Li et al.'s meta-analysis advances our understanding of the complex relationship between microfinance interventions and poverty outcomes, providing valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to design evidence-based interventions that maximize poverty reduction impacts and foster inclusive development in rural areas.

Kabeer and Islam's (2023) qualitative study in rural Ethiopia offers a rich exploration of the gendered impacts of microfinance interventions on women's empowerment and poverty alleviation. Through a combination of in-depth interviews and focus group discussions, the researchers delved into the nuanced dynamics of microfinance participation, uncovering both positive and negative impacts on women's economic agency, social empowerment, and well-being. Their findings underscored the importance of gender-sensitive approaches to poverty alleviation and empowerment, highlighting the need for targeted interventions that address the structural barriers hindering women's participation in economic activities. By shedding light on the complex interplay between gender dynamics, microfinance interventions, and poverty outcomes, Kabeer and Islam's study offers actionable insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to promote gender equality and inclusive development in rural communities.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably



because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

RESULTS

Conceptual Research Gap: While the studies by Smith (2018), Gupta and Patel (2019), Rahman (2020), Nguyen (2021), Akhtar and Rahman (2018), Li, Wang, and Li (2019), and Kabeer and Islam (2023) offer valuable insights into the impacts of various microfinance interventions on poverty alleviation, there is a conceptual research gap regarding the comprehensive integration of different microfinance approaches. While individual studies focus on microcredit, microsavings, microinsurance, and financial literacy training separately, there is limited research that examines the combined effects of these interventions on poverty outcomes. A conceptual framework that synthesizes the mechanisms and interactions between different microfinance components could provide a holistic understanding of their collective impact on poverty reduction.

Contextual Research Gap: Despite the extensive literature on microfinance interventions in various contexts, there is a contextual research gap concerning the effectiveness of these interventions in specific geographical and cultural settings. While studies by Smith (2018), Gupta and Patel (2019), and Rahman (2020) provide insights from South Asian countries like Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan, there is limited research on microfinance effectiveness in other regions, such as Sub-Saharan Africa or Latin America. Exploring the contextual nuances and cultural factors influencing the outcomes of microfinance programs in diverse geographical settings could enhance our understanding of the applicability and effectiveness of these interventions across different contexts.

Geographical Research Gap: The studies reviewed primarily focus on microfinance interventions in Asian countries, with limited representation from other geographical regions. While studies by Nguyen & Tran (2021) and Kabeer and Islam (2023) provide insights from Vietnam and Ethiopia, respectively, there is a geographical research gap in terms of the representation of other regions, such as Latin America or the Middle East. Investigating the impacts of microfinance interventions in these underrepresented regions could offer valuable insights into the contextual factors shaping the effectiveness of microfinance programs and contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of poverty alleviation strategies on a global scale.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

The impact of microfinance interventions on poverty alleviation in rural communities is a multifaceted and complex phenomenon. The study provide valuable insights into the diverse mechanisms through which microfinance initiatives contribute to enhancing economic well-being and resilience among marginalized populations. From microcredit programs to microsavings services and microinsurance schemes, each intervention offers unique pathways for empowering individuals and households to overcome poverty traps and build sustainable livelihoods. Furthermore, these interventions not only enhance financial inclusion but also foster entrepreneurship, improve access to healthcare, and promote gender equality. However, the effectiveness of microfinance interventions varies depending on contextual factors such as



geographical location, socio-cultural norms, and institutional frameworks. Therefore, tailored approaches that account for local contexts and community preferences are essential for maximizing the impact of microfinance initiatives on poverty reduction.

Overall, while microfinance interventions have demonstrated considerable potential in alleviating poverty in rural communities, there remain challenges and limitations that need to be addressed. Future research should focus on addressing the identified research gaps, including the comprehensive integration of different microfinance approaches, the exploration of contextual factors influencing intervention effectiveness, and the representation of underrepresented geographical regions. By doing so, policymakers, practitioners, and researchers can work together to design evidence-based interventions that empower individuals, promote inclusive development, and contribute to sustainable poverty alleviation in rural communities worldwide.

Recommendations

The following are the recommendations based on theory, practice and policy:

Theory

To advance theoretical understanding, future research should focus on developing comprehensive frameworks that integrate various microfinance approaches, including microcredit, microsavings, microinsurance, and financial literacy training. By synthesizing these components within a unified theoretical framework, researchers can elucidate the synergistic effects of different interventions on poverty outcomes, contributing to a more nuanced understanding of poverty alleviation mechanisms. Additionally, theoretical models should incorporate contextual factors such as geographical location, cultural norms, and institutional structures to account for the diverse socioeconomic contexts in which microfinance operates.

Practice

In practice, microfinance institutions (MFIs) should adopt a holistic approach that combines multiple microfinance services to address the diverse needs of rural communities. MFIs can enhance their impact by offering integrated packages of financial products and services tailored to the specific needs and preferences of target populations. For example, combining microcredit with financial literacy training can empower borrowers to make informed financial decisions and improve their entrepreneurial success rates. Similarly, integrating microsavings and microinsurance can provide households with a comprehensive safety net against economic shocks, thereby enhancing their resilience to poverty traps.

Policy

From a policy perspective, governments and policymakers should prioritize the development of supportive regulatory frameworks and conducive environments for microfinance institutions to thrive. This includes promoting financial inclusion policies that expand access to formal financial services in rural areas, reducing regulatory barriers to microfinance operations, and incentivizing private sector investment in microfinance initiatives. Moreover, policymakers should prioritize investments in complementary sectors such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure to create an enabling environment for sustainable poverty alleviation. By adopting a multi-sectoral



approach, policymakers can address the root causes of poverty and create pathways for inclusive development in rural communities.



REFERENCES

- Akhtar, S., & Rahman, M. (2018). Microfinance interventions and poverty reduction: A comparative study of Bangladesh and Nepal. Journal of Rural Studies, 63, 168-179.
- Banerjee, A., & Duflo, E. (2019). Good economics for hard times: Better answers to our biggest problems. PublicAffairs.
- Cole, S., & Fernando, A. (2019). The value of advice: Evidence from mobile phone-based agricultural extension. The Review of Economic Studies, 86(3), 1266-1295.
- Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2018). Financial inclusion and inclusive growth: A review of recent empirical evidence. Journal of Economic Surveys, 32(3), 804-827.
- Department for Work and Pensions. (2020). Households below average income: 1994/95 to 2019/20. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/households-belowaverage-income-199495-to-201920
- Duflo, E., Kremer, M., & Robinson, J. (2018). Nudging farmers to use fertilizer: Theory and experimental evidence from Kenya. American Economic Review, 108(4-5), 1139-1184. Gasparini, L., & Lustig, N. (2019). The rise and fall of income inequality in Latin America. Economía, 19(2), 1-38.
- Gupta, A., & Patel, R. (2019). Microsavings and poverty alleviation: Evidence from rural India. World Development, 114, 281-291.
- Ismail, A. G., Azman-Saini, W. N. W., & Amran, N. A. (2019). Empowerment and capability approach: Towards a new framework for rural development. Social Indicators Research, 141(3), 1109-1135.
- Kabeer, N., & Islam, A. (2023). Microfinance and women's empowerment: Exploring gender dynamics in rural Ethiopia. Development and Change, 54(1), 159-184.
- Kaplan, L., & Ngo, T. (2021). Social capital and microfinance: A review of current research. World
- Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2018). Long-run price elasticities of demand for credit: Evidence from a countrywide field experiment in Mexico. The Review of Financial Studies, 31(9), 34993538.
- Li, X., Wang, Y., & Li, M. (2019). The impact of microfinance interventions on poverty alleviation in rural China: A meta-analysis. Journal of Rural Studies, 70, 156-166.
- Morduch, J. (2019). The economics of microfinance (3rd ed.). The MIT Press.
- Morduch, J. (2019). The economics of microfinance (3rd ed.). The MIT Press.
- Nguyen, T. T., Tran, T. H., & Nguyen, T. H. (2021). Financial literacy and poverty alleviation: Evidence from rural Vietnam. World Development, 141, 105360. OECD. (2018). Society at a glance: Asia/Pacific 2018. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264308525-en
- Rahman, S. (2020). Microinsurance and health-related shocks: Evidence from rural Pakistan. Health Policy and Planning, 35(1), 103-113.

Touré, (2024)



- Smith, J. (2018). Microcredit and poverty alleviation: Evidence from rural Bangladesh. Journal of Development Studies, 54(3), 456-472.
- United States Census Bureau. (2020). Income and poverty in the United States: 2019. Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2020/demo/p60-270.html
- World Bank. (2020). Poverty and shared prosperity 2020: Reversals of fortune. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/33748

License

Copyright (c) 2024 Khadidja Touré



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>. Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 License</u> that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.