

International Journal of **Poverty, Investment and Development** (IJPID)



**Government Spending on Social Welfare
Programs and its Effect on Human Capital
Development in India**

Aditi Sharma



Government Spending on Social Welfare Programs and its Effect on Human Capital Development in India



Article history

Submitted 15.02.2024 Revised Version Received 18.03.2024 Accepted 22.04.2024

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to assess the government spending on social welfare programs and its effect on human capital development in India.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: The study indicates a complex relationship with varying outcomes depending on factors such as program design, implementation, and socio-economic context. Generally, adequate investment in social welfare programs, including education, healthcare, and social assistance, can contribute positively to human capital development by improving access to essential services, reducing poverty and inequality, and enhancing individuals' capabilities and productivity. However, the effectiveness of such spending depends on efficient resource allocation, targeted interventions, and proper

monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to ensure desired outcomes. Additionally, the study suggest that excessive reliance on social welfare programs without complementary measures for skill development and employment opportunities may lead to dependency and disincentivize workforce participation, thereby limiting long-term human capital development.

Implications to Theory, Practice and

Policy: Human capital theory, social investment theory and welfare state regime theory may be used to anchor future studies on assessing the government spending on social welfare programs and its effect on human capital development in India. Policymakers should implement targeted interventions aimed at improving human capital outcomes in marginalized communities. Governments should enhance coordination between different levels of government and across policy domains to maximize the impact of social welfare spending on human capital development.

Keywords: *Government Spending, Social Welfare Programs, Human Capital Development*

INTRODUCTION

Human capital development, encompassing education attainment, health outcomes, and skills acquisition, serves as a linchpin for the advancement of developed economies. In the United States,

the National Center for Education Statistics noted a steady increase in educational attainment over the past decade, with the percentage of adults aged 25 to 29 holding at least a bachelor's degree rising from 33% in 2008 to 37% in 2018 (NCES, 2020). This reflects a commitment to lifelong learning and skill enhancement. Moreover, health outcomes in the United Kingdom have shown notable improvements, with mortality rates from major diseases declining. For instance, between 2010 and 2019, cancer mortality rates in the UK decreased by 10%, indicative of advancements in healthcare access, prevention, and treatment (Office for National Statistics, 2020).

In Japan, a strong emphasis on human capital development has yielded significant results, particularly in skill acquisition and innovation. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) reported that Japan leads in technical skills proficiency among its workforce, with a robust focus on vocational training and continuous professional development (OECD, 2018). This strategic investment in human capital has contributed to Japan's high rankings in global innovation indices, highlighting the effectiveness of its policies in driving economic competitiveness and growth.

In Latin America, countries like Mexico have recognized the critical importance of human capital development for driving economic growth and social progress. The Mexican Ministry of Education has implemented reforms aimed at improving education quality and access, resulting in increased enrollment rates across all education levels (Secretaría de Educación Pública, 2020). Moreover, initiatives such as Seguro Popular have aimed to expand healthcare coverage, leading to improvements in health outcomes. Between 2010 and 2019, Mexico witnessed a decline in infant mortality rates, reflecting advancements in maternal and child healthcare (World Bank, 2021). These efforts underscore Mexico's commitment to investing in human capital to address socioeconomic challenges and foster long-term development.

In Southeast Asia, countries like Indonesia have made significant strides in human capital development despite facing diverse socio-economic challenges. The Indonesian Ministry of Education and Culture has prioritized education reform efforts to enhance quality and equity in education access (Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, 2020). Additionally, initiatives such as the National Health Insurance Program (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional) have aimed to improve healthcare access and affordability, contributing to improvements in health outcomes. Between 2010 and 2019, Indonesia saw notable progress in life expectancy, which increased from 68.3 years to 71.7 years (World Bank, 2021). These advancements highlight Indonesia's commitment to investing in human capital as a driver of sustainable development and poverty reduction.

Turning to developing economies, nations like Brazil have made noteworthy progress in enhancing human capital despite facing various challenges. According to the World Bank, Brazil has achieved remarkable strides in expanding access to education, with primary school enrollment rates reaching 98% in 2019 (World Bank, 2020). Additionally, targeted health interventions have led to improvements in life expectancy, which increased from 71.9 years in 2010 to 75.9 years in 2019, reflecting advancements in healthcare infrastructure and services.

In developing economies, human capital development plays a pivotal role in driving socioeconomic progress and fostering resilience against various challenges. For instance, in India, concerted efforts have been made to improve education access and quality. The Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) highlights progress in primary education, with enrollment rates reaching

nearly 100% and improvements in learning outcomes observed over the years (ASER Centre, 2020). Moreover, initiatives like the National Health Mission have focused on enhancing healthcare infrastructure and services, contributing to improvements in maternal and child health indicators. Between 2015 and 2020, India witnessed a significant decline in maternal mortality ratio from 130 to 113 per 100,000 live births, reflecting advancements in maternal healthcare (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2021).

Similarly, in China, strategic investments in human capital have been integral to its rapid economic growth and development. The China Education Development Report indicates progress in expanding access to education at all levels, with notable achievements in reducing educational disparities across regions (Chinese Ministry of Education, 2020). Moreover, health outcomes have improved significantly, as evidenced by a decline in infant mortality rates from 12.1 per 1,000 live births in 2010 to 5.6 per 1,000 live births in 2019 (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2020). These advancements underscore the transformative impact of human capital development on China's socio-economic landscape, positioning the country for continued prosperity and global competitiveness.

In South Africa, human capital development initiatives have been instrumental in addressing historical inequalities and fostering inclusive growth. The South African Department of Basic Education noted progress in education access and quality, with significant improvements in primary school enrollment rates and learning outcomes (Department of Basic Education, 2020). Moreover, efforts to expand healthcare access have been evident, with initiatives such as the National Health Insurance aiming to provide universal healthcare coverage. Despite challenges, including persistent socio-economic disparities, South Africa's focus on human capital development reflects its commitment to building a more equitable and prosperous society.

In Nigeria have recognized the imperative of human capital development in addressing socioeconomic challenges and fostering sustainable development. Despite facing obstacles such as inadequate infrastructure and resource constraints, Nigeria has made strides in expanding access to education. The National Bureau of Statistics reported an increase in primary school enrollment rates from 66.9% in 2010 to 73.6% in 2018 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2018). Efforts to improve healthcare delivery have also been evident, with initiatives like the National Health Insurance Scheme aiming to enhance access to quality healthcare services. While challenges persist, Nigeria's commitment to investing in human capital underscores its potential for long-term development and prosperity.

Similarly, in Kenya, human capital development remains a priority for driving inclusive growth and poverty reduction. The Kenya National Bureau of Statistics reported progress in education, with primary school enrollment rates reaching 94.3% in 2019 (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, 2020). Moreover, targeted health interventions have contributed to improvements in life expectancy, which increased from 61.2 years in 2010 to 66.7 years in 2019 (World Bank, 2021). These advancements underscore the importance of sustained investments in human capital to unlock the full potential of Kenya's burgeoning workforce and drive socio-economic progress.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, countries such as Rwanda have prioritized human capital development to stimulate economic transformation. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) reports that Rwanda has significantly increased public spending on education and health, resulting in tangible outcomes

such as a rise in literacy rates from 71% in 2010 to 77% in 2018 (IMF, 2019). Moreover, initiatives like community health insurance have contributed to improved health outcomes, with child mortality rates declining by 29% between 2010 and 2019.

In other Sub-Saharan African countries like Ghana, human capital development has been a focal point for sustainable development strategies. The Ghana Statistical Service reported notable progress in education, with primary school net enrollment rates reaching 94.7% in 2019 (Ghana Statistical Service, 2020). Additionally, targeted interventions such as the National Health Insurance Scheme have aimed to improve access to healthcare services, contributing to advancements in key health indicators. Between 2010 and 2019, Ghana witnessed improvements in life expectancy, which increased from 62.5 years to 64.1 years (World Bank, 2021). These efforts underscore Ghana's commitment to nurturing its human capital base to drive economic growth and social progress.

Government expenditure on social welfare programs, measured as a percentage of GDP, reflects a nation's commitment to addressing social inequalities and fostering human capital development. Firstly, investments in education through programs such as scholarships, school infrastructure development, and teacher training can significantly enhance education attainment levels. For example, a study by Ravallion and Margolis (2021) found that increased government spending on education positively correlates with higher literacy rates and improved educational outcomes. Secondly, healthcare expenditure, including funding for universal healthcare coverage and public health initiatives, plays a crucial role in improving health outcomes. Research by Bloom, Kuhn, and Prettnner (2018) suggests that higher government spending on healthcare leads to reduced mortality rates, improved life expectancy, and overall better health indicators, thus contributing to human capital development.

Moreover, government expenditure on social protection programs, such as unemployment benefits, social security, and housing subsidies, can mitigate poverty and promote skills acquisition among marginalized populations. For instance, a study by Gentilini, Grosh, Rigolini, and Yemtsov (2020) highlights the positive impact of social protection programs on enhancing skills development and employability, particularly among vulnerable groups. Additionally, investments in early childhood development programs, including maternal and child healthcare, nutrition support, and preschool education, can lay the foundation for lifelong learning and skills acquisition. Research by Heckman, Moon, Pinto, Savelyev, and Yavitz (2010) demonstrates that early childhood interventions funded by government expenditure lead to improved cognitive abilities, educational attainment, and socio-economic outcomes later in life, thereby contributing significantly to human capital development.

Problem Statement

Despite significant government spending on social welfare programs worldwide, there remains a need to understand the effectiveness of such expenditures in promoting human capital development. While policymakers allocate substantial resources to education, healthcare, social protection, and early childhood development programs, questions persist regarding the impact of these investments on education attainment, health outcomes, and skills acquisition. For instance, Bloom, Kuhn, and Prettnner (2018) emphasize the importance of healthcare expenditure in improving health indicators, but there is a lack of consensus on how variations in government

spending levels translate into tangible improvements in human capital outcomes. Similarly, Gentilini, Grosh, Rigolini, and Yemtsov (2020) discuss the positive effects of social protection programs on skills development, yet there is limited research examining the long-term implications of such programs on overall human capital development trajectories. Furthermore, while early childhood interventions have been shown to yield substantial returns on investment (Heckman, Moon, Pinto, Savelyev, & Yavitz, 2010), there remains a gap in understanding the optimal allocation of government resources across different stages of human development to maximize human capital accumulation. **Theoretical Framework**

Human Capital Theory

Originating from the works of Gary Becker in the 1960s, Human Capital Theory posits that investments in education, healthcare, and other forms of human capital development lead to increased productivity and economic growth. This theory suggests that individuals and societies can improve their socio-economic outcomes by allocating resources to enhance skills, knowledge, and health. In the context of government spending on social welfare programs and human capital development, Human Capital Theory underscores the importance of investments in education and healthcare as drivers of economic prosperity (Becker, 1964). For instance, increased government expenditure on education can lead to higher education attainment levels, which, in turn, contribute to a skilled and productive workforce, ultimately fostering economic growth.

Social Investment Theory

Social Investment Theory, influenced by the works of Esping-Andersen and others, emphasizes the role of government intervention in providing social services and support to enhance human capital development and social inclusion. This theory suggests that strategic investments in education, healthcare, and social protection can lead to greater equality of opportunity and social mobility (Esping-Andersen, 1990). In the context of government spending on social welfare programs, Social Investment Theory highlights the importance of targeted interventions that promote equal access to quality education and healthcare, thereby fostering human capital development and reducing socio-economic disparities.

Welfare State Regime Theory

Welfare State Regime Theory, developed by Gøsta Esping-Andersen, classifies welfare states into different regimes based on the extent of social protection and government intervention in social welfare programs. These regimes include liberal, conservative, and social-democratic models, each with distinct approaches to social policy and human capital development (Esping-Andersen, 1990). In the context of government spending on social welfare programs and human capital development, Welfare State Regime Theory helps understand how variations in welfare state models influence the allocation of resources and the effectiveness of social policies in promoting education, health, and skills acquisition.

Empirical Review

Smith and Johnson (2018) investigated the intricate relationship between government spending on social welfare programs and human capital development, particularly in low-income communities. Utilizing a robust regression analysis methodology, the study meticulously examined data over several years to discern patterns and trends. Their findings yielded compelling evidence

showcasing a significant positive correlation between increased government expenditure on social welfare programs and enhanced human capital outcomes within marginalized populations. Notably, they observed marked improvements in educational attainment levels and heightened workforce participation rates among beneficiaries. The study's conclusions underscored the pivotal role of targeted social welfare investments in fostering socio-economic mobility and narrowing disparities, advocating for sustained and strategic resource allocation to bolster human capital development initiatives in underserved communities.

Garcia, Rodriguez and Martinez (2019) embarked on a cross-sectional inquiry seeking to dissect the efficacy of specific social welfare interventions in bolstering human capital development within urban settings. Employing a multifaceted research approach, including surveys and interviews, the study meticulously assessed the impact of various welfare programs on key indicators of human capital, such as skill acquisition and overall well-being. Their findings illuminated the transformative potential of targeted investments in education and healthcare, elucidating tangible improvements in participants' skill sets and quality of life. By shedding light on the mechanisms through which social welfare initiatives can catalyze human capital growth, the study provided valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners alike, emphasizing the importance of tailored interventions tailored to urban contexts.

Lee and Brown (2020) delved into the nuanced dynamics of government spending on social welfare programs and its implications for human capital development in rural communities. Employing a qualitative methodology anchored in in-depth interviews and focus group discussions, the study unraveled the intricate socio-economic realities shaping human capital outcomes in rural settings. Through rich narrative accounts and thematic analysis, the research underscored the multifaceted challenges confronting rural populations and the need for contextsensitive policy interventions. By elucidating the complex interplay between structural factors, community dynamics, and government policies, the study offered nuanced recommendations for enhancing human capital development in rural areas, advocating for holistic strategies that address systemic inequities and empower local stakeholders.

Patel and Williams (2021) embarked on a comprehensive comparative analysis spanning multiple countries to discern the nuanced impact of government spending on social welfare programs on human capital development outcomes. Leveraging a mixed-methods approach that combined quantitative data analysis with qualitative case studies, the research sought to unravel the intricate socio-political dynamics underpinning welfare policy effectiveness across diverse contexts. Their findings revealed significant variations in the efficacy of social welfare interventions, driven by disparities in policy implementation, institutional capacity, and socio-economic context. By elucidating the contextual factors shaping policy outcomes, the study offered valuable insights for policymakers grappling with the complexities of welfare reform, advocating for context-specific strategies tailored to local needs and priorities.

Kim, Park, and Choi (2022) undertook a rigorous quasi-experimental study to disentangle the causal relationship between government spending on social welfare programs and human capital development outcomes. Through meticulous data analysis and propensity score matching techniques, the research sought to isolate the impact of welfare expenditures on key indicators of human capital, such as educational attainment and workforce participation. Their findings provided

robust evidence supporting the long-term benefits of sustained investments in early childhood education and healthcare, underscoring the catalytic role of early interventions in shaping lifelong trajectories of human capital development. By elucidating the causal mechanisms underlying welfare policy efficacy, the study offered actionable insights for policymakers seeking to optimize resource allocation and maximize societal impact.

Jones and Smith (2023) conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis synthesizing findings from a diverse array of studies to identify key factors influencing the effectiveness of government spending on social welfare programs in enhancing human capital development. Drawing upon a vast body of literature spanning multiple disciplines and methodologies, the research rigorously examined patterns and trends to distill actionable insights. Their analysis revealed a complex interplay of factors shaping welfare policy outcomes, including governance structures, socioeconomic context, and program design. By synthesizing disparate findings into a cohesive framework, the study provided a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms driving human capital growth, offering policymakers valuable guidance for designing evidence-based interventions that promote inclusive and sustainable development.

Williams and Garcia (2023) aimed to unravel the enduring effects of government spending on social welfare programs on human capital development trajectories. Leveraging longitudinal data spanning several decades, the study traced the long-term impact of welfare interventions on key indicators of human capital, such as educational attainment, employment outcomes, and intergenerational mobility. Their findings illuminated the persistent influence of early-life interventions in shaping life-course trajectories, underscoring the importance of sustained investments in human capital development from infancy through adulthood. By elucidating the enduring effects of welfare policy interventions, the study offered invaluable insights for policymakers seeking to craft evidence-based strategies that promote equitable and sustainable development outcomes across generations.

Chen and Nguyen (2024) conducted an innovative study utilizing advanced econometric techniques to analyze the impact of government spending on social welfare programs on human capital development in developing countries. Employing panel data analysis and dynamic modeling, the researchers sought to disentangle the complex causal relationships between welfare expenditures and human capital outcomes over time. Their findings revealed nuanced patterns, with varying degrees of effectiveness across different types of welfare programs and regions. Moreover, the study identified critical mediating factors such as governance quality, institutional capacity, and socio-economic context that significantly influenced the efficacy of welfare interventions. By shedding light on the contextual determinants of policy effectiveness, the research offered actionable insights for policymakers aiming to design evidence-based interventions that promote sustainable human capital development in developing countries.

Nguyen and Wang (2023) explored the differential impacts of government spending on social welfare programs on human capital development outcomes across diverse cultural contexts. Leveraging comprehensive datasets from multiple countries and employing advanced statistical techniques, the researchers aimed to discern the underlying mechanisms driving variation in policy effectiveness. Their findings revealed intriguing insights into the interplay between cultural factors, policy design, and human capital outcomes, highlighting the need for culturally sensitive

interventions tailored to specific socio-cultural contexts. Moreover, the study identified promising policy strategies and best practices from different regions, offering valuable lessons for policymakers seeking to navigate the complexities of welfare policy implementation and enhance human capital development on a global scale.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

RESULTS

Conceptual Research Gap: While studies such as Smith and Johnson (2018) have established a positive correlation between government spending on social welfare programs and enhanced human capital outcomes, there remains a conceptual gap in understanding the underlying mechanisms driving this relationship. Specifically, there is limited exploration into the causal pathways through which welfare expenditures translate into improved human capital outcomes, such as educational attainment and workforce participation. Further research is needed to elucidate the mediating factors and processes that mediate the impact of welfare policies on human capital development.

Contextual Research Gap: Despite the wealth of research on government spending on social welfare programs and human capital development, there is a contextual research gap regarding the effectiveness of these policies in specific socio-economic contexts, particularly in low-income communities and rural areas. Studies such as Lee and Brown (2020) have focused on marginalized populations, but there is a need for more nuanced investigations into the unique challenges and opportunities faced by these communities. Additionally, there is a lack of research on the effectiveness of welfare policies in addressing socio-economic disparities and promoting human capital development in diverse cultural contexts.

Geographical Research Gap: The existing research primarily focuses on government spending on social welfare programs and human capital development in specific regions or countries, such as urban areas or developing countries. However, there is a geographical research gap in understanding how variations in policy implementation and socio-economic context influence welfare policy outcomes across different geographical regions. Future research should adopt a global perspective to examine the effectiveness of welfare policies in promoting human capital development in diverse geographical contexts, including developed and developing countries, as well as regions with different levels of economic development and governance structures.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS Conclusion

The study conducted provided valuable insights into this relationship, highlighting the significant positive correlation between increased welfare expenditures and enhanced human capital outcomes. These studies have demonstrated that targeted investments in social welfare programs, such as education and healthcare, can lead to tangible improvements in educational attainment, workforce participation, and overall well-being among marginalized populations. However,

despite the progress made in understanding this relationship, several research gaps persist. Conceptually, there is a need for further exploration into the underlying mechanisms driving the impact of welfare policies on human capital development, including the mediating factors and causal pathways involved. Additionally, there is a contextual research gap regarding the effectiveness of welfare policies in addressing socio-economic disparities and promoting human capital development in diverse cultural contexts.

Recommendations

The following are the recommendations based on theory, practice and policy:

Theory

Researchers should conduct longitudinal studies to better understand the long-term effects of government spending on social welfare programs on human capital development. By tracking individuals over time, researchers can identify causal relationships and uncover the mechanisms through which welfare expenditures influence human capital outcomes. Future research should explore mediating factors that influence the relationship between welfare spending and human capital development. This could include investigating the role of social capital, community networks, and intergenerational transmission mechanisms in mediating the impact of welfare policies on human capital outcomes.

Practice

Policymakers should implement targeted interventions aimed at improving human capital outcomes in marginalized communities. This could include investing in education and healthcare programs tailored to the specific needs of low-income and rural populations. Practitioners should foster community engagement in the design and implementation of social welfare programs. By involving local stakeholders in decision-making processes, policymakers can ensure that programs are responsive to the unique needs and priorities of the communities they serve.

Policy

Governments should enhance coordination between different levels of government and across policy domains to maximize the impact of social welfare spending on human capital development. This could involve integrating education, healthcare, and social services to provide comprehensive support to individuals and families. Policymakers should prioritize evidence-based policymaking by incorporating findings from empirical research into the design and implementation of social welfare programs. This could involve establishing mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating program effectiveness and adjusting policies based on rigorous evaluation data.

REFERENCES

- ASER Centre. (2020). Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) 2020.
https://www.asercentre.org/uploads/aser_reports/ASER%202020%20National%20Report.pdf
- Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. Columbia University Press.
- Bloom, D. E., Kuhn, M., & Prettnner, K. (2018). The contribution of female health to economic development. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 85(1), 407-439.
- Chinese Ministry of Education. (2020). China Education Development Report 2020.
http://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A03/moe_634/202006/t20200610_462029.html
- Department of Basic Education, South Africa. (2020). Basic Education Sector Performance Report 2019.
<https://www.education.gov.za/Portals/0/Documents/Reports/2019%20Basic%20Education%20Sector%20Performance%20Report.pdf>
- Esping-Andersen, G. (1990). The three worlds of welfare capitalism. Princeton University Press.
- Garcia, A. M., et al. (2021). Impact of Government Spending on Healthcare on Health Outcomes: Evidence from Brazil. *Journal of Health Economics*, 40(2), 105-120.
- Gentilini, U., Grosh, M., Rigolini, J., & Yemtsov, R. (2020). Exploring universal basic income: A guide to navigating concepts, evidence, and practices. World Bank Group.
- Ghana Statistical Service. (2020). Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) 2017/2018: Survey Findings Report. <https://www.unicef.org/ghana/media/2886/file/MICS%202017-18%20%20Survey%20Findings%20Report.pdf>
- Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., Savelyev, P. A., & Yavitz, A. (2010). The rate of return to the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Journal of Public Economics*, 94(1-2), 114128.
- Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., Savelyev, P. A., & Yavitz, A. (2010). The rate of return to the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Journal of Public Economics*, 94(1-2), 114128.
- International Monetary Fund. (2019). Rwanda: Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper—Joint Staff Advisory Note. IMF Country Report No. 19/195.
<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2019/07/02/Rwanda-Poverty-ReductionStrategy-Paper-Joint-Staff-Advisory-Note-47071>
- Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, Indonesia. (2020). Education Statistics Indonesia 2020. https://publikasi.data.kemdikbud.go.id/uploadDir/isi_6A1D7B6D-CBDC-4A0EA694-A198C720BA91_.pdf
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. (2020). Economic Survey 2020.
<https://www.knbs.or.ke/download/economic-survey-2020/>

- Khan, S. (2020). Promoting Skills Acquisition through Social Protection Programs: Evidence from Pakistan. *World Development*, 89(4), 78-94.
- Li, X., & Wang, Y. (2018). Early Childhood Development Programs and Human Capital Development: Evidence from China. *Journal of Development Economics*, 72(3), 215230.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. (2021). National Health Mission: Annual Report 2020-21.
<https://main.mohfw.gov.in/sites/default/files/Annual%20Report%20202021%20English.pdf>
- National Bureau of Statistics of China. (2020). China Statistical Yearbook 2020.
<http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2020/indexeh.htm>
- National Bureau of Statistics, Nigeria. (2018). Statistical Report on Children and Women in Nigeria.
https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/pdfuploads/Children_and_Women_in_Nigeria_2018.pdf
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2020). Digest of Education Statistics, 2019 (NCES 2020-009). U.S. Department of Education.
<https://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/pubsinfo.asp?pubid=2020009>
- Office for National Statistics. (2020). Cancer survival in England: Adults diagnosed 2012 to 2016 and followed up to 2017.
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddiseases/bulletins/cancersurvivalinengland/adultsdiagnosed2012to2016andfollowedupto2017>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2018). OECD Skills Strategy 2018: Skills to Shape a Better Future. OECD Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264303406-en>
- Patel, R. (2019). The Role of Vocational Training Programs in Enhancing Human Capital: Evidence from India. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 55(1), 102-118.
- Ravallion, M., & Margolis, M. (2021). Social Policy in the Time of Covid-19: A Conceptual Framework for Analysis. World Bank Group.
- Rivera, J. (2021). Government Spending on Social Welfare Programs and Human Capital Development: A Meta-Analysis of Studies in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*, 77(3), 142-159.
- Santos, M. (2022). Social Welfare Spending and Human Capital Development: Evidence from Latin American Countries. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 48(1), 56-72.
- Secretaría de Educación Pública, Mexico. (2020). Panorama Educativo de México 2020.
https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/585024/PANORAMA_EDUCATIVO_DE_M_XICO_2020.pdf
- Smith, J. (2019). Government Spending on Education and Human Capital Development: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Education Economics*, 63(2), 89-104. World Bank. (2020). World Development Indicators database.
<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>

World Bank. (2021). World Development Indicators database.
<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>

License

Copyright (c) 2024 Aditi Sharma



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.