

European Journal of Human Resource (EJH)



**Human Resource Management for Academic Productivity of
Lecturers**

Dr. Charles Karuga



Human Resource Management for Academic Productivity of Lecturers



Dr. Charles Karuga
Mount Kenya University



Article History

Submitted 03.04.2026 Revised Version Received 02.05.2026 Accepted 03.06.2026

Abstract

Purpose: Universities are increasingly evaluated based on research output, teaching quality, and societal impact, placing academic productivity of lecturers at the center of institutional performance. As the backbone of higher education, lecturers require strategic support to balance teaching, research, and service responsibilities. This study explored the role of Human Resource Management (HRM) in enhancing academic productivity among university lecturers. Specifically, it investigated how HRM practices (recruitment, professional development, performance appraisal, workload balancing, and motivation) can be leveraged to improve lecturer performance and institutional outcomes.

Methodology: To achieve this, the study adopted a literature review methodology, systematically analyzing peer-reviewed journals, academic books, and institutional reports published between 2020 and 2025. The review synthesized findings across multiple contexts to identify patterns, gaps, and actionable insights. Key HRM dimensions were examined, including performance appraisal systems, access to research funding, workload management, and institutional support mechanisms.

Findings: Findings revealed that HRM significantly influences academic productivity through structured workload balancing, transparent performance appraisal, and access to research funding. Conversely, fragmented HRM systems, inadequate staff development programs,

and limited institutional support were found to hinder productivity. Studies also highlighted the importance of motivation, recognition, and fair promotion criteria in sustaining lecturer engagement. However, most existing research focuses on corporate HRM models, with limited adaptation to the academic environment.

Recommendations: The study recommended that universities should embed HRM into their institutional development plans, moving beyond administrative functions to strategic enablers of academic excellence. Comprehensive HRM frameworks should integrate mentorship, career progression pathways, workload management, and performance appraisal systems tailored to academic contexts. Institutions should also design incentive systems that reward not only publication output but also collaborative research, innovation, and policy engagement. These systems must be transparent, context-sensitive, and aligned with long-term institutional goals. This study makes a unique contribution by bridging the gap between HRM theory and academic practice, offering a context-sensitive roadmap for enhancing lecturer productivity. It underscores the need for holistic HRM strategies that safeguard both academic performance and institutional sustainability.

Keywords: *Academic Productivity, Human Resource Management, Lecturer Performance, University Management*

JEL Codes: *J24, M54, I23*

INTRODUCTION

Academic productivity is a critical indicator of effectiveness in higher education institutions and is often used to assess the contribution of lecturers to teaching, research, publication, supervision, and community service. According to Okoro, Akpotu and Asiyai (2024), academic productivity of lecturers encompasses both the quantity and quality of scholarly outputs generated by academic staff. In contemporary universities, lecturers play a central role in knowledge creation, dissemination, and innovation. Their productivity significantly influences institutional reputation, university rankings, research visibility, and the quality of graduates. However, academic productivity remains difficult to achieve and sustain, particularly in institutions where teaching responsibilities dominate time allocation and limit opportunities for research and scholarly engagement. Pinto and Hans (2024) emphasize the need for supportive institutional mechanisms that enable lecturers to effectively balance teaching, research, and service responsibilities.

Human Resource Management (HRM) has emerged as a strategic tool for enhancing employee performance and organizational effectiveness across different sectors. Armstrong and Taylor (2014) define Human Resource Management as a systematic approach to managing people through policies and practices designed to maximize employee contribution toward organizational goals. Within higher education institutions, HRM practices influence how lecturers are recruited, evaluated, supported, and motivated to perform their academic roles. This study conceptualizes HRM through three critical dimensions: performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding. These practices are expected to influence lecturers' academic productivity by creating conditions that support effective teaching, increased research output, and professional growth.

Performance appraisal is one of the most important Human Resource Management practices used to evaluate employee performance, provide feedback, identify development needs, and align individual efforts with institutional objectives. In universities, performance appraisal systems help monitor lecturers' achievements in teaching, research, publication, student supervision, and community engagement. Effective appraisal systems provide clear performance expectations, recognize achievements, and support career progression. According to Ruga (2023), transparent and objective performance appraisal practices enhance employee motivation, accountability, and productivity. Conversely, appraisal systems perceived as biased or inconsistent may reduce morale and negatively affect academic performance. Consequently, institutions that implement fair and developmental performance appraisal systems are more likely to experience higher levels of lecturer productivity.

Workload balance is another critical determinant of academic productivity. Lecturers are expected to undertake multiple responsibilities, including teaching, research, supervision, mentoring, administrative duties, and community service. When workloads are excessively concentrated on teaching and administrative functions, opportunities for research and scholarly publication become limited. Pinto and Hans (2024) argue that balanced workload allocation enables lecturers to devote adequate time to research activities while maintaining teaching quality. Excessive workloads often contribute to stress, burnout, reduced job satisfaction, and lower productivity. Therefore, universities that establish equitable workload distribution mechanisms are better positioned to enhance lecturers' academic output and overall institutional performance.

Research funding constitutes a fundamental resource for academic productivity because it facilitates the generation of new knowledge and supports scholarly activities. Research funding

enables lecturers to conduct studies, acquire research materials, attend conferences, publish findings, and collaborate with other scholars. Anariochi (2023) identifies inadequate research funding as one of the major barriers to academic productivity in higher education institutions. Without sufficient financial support, lecturers may struggle to undertake meaningful research projects, resulting in reduced publication output and limited scholarly contribution. Universities that invest in research funding often experience increased research productivity, improved institutional visibility, and stronger academic competitiveness.

Despite the recognized importance of performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding in enhancing lecturer effectiveness, many higher education institutions continue to experience challenges related to low research output, publication rates, and overall academic productivity. Lecturers frequently report concerns regarding ineffective appraisal systems, excessive workloads, and inadequate research support. While numerous studies have examined Human Resource Management practices in corporate and public sector organizations, relatively few studies have comprehensively investigated how performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding jointly influence academic productivity among lecturers. This study, therefore seeks to examine the effect of these Human Resource Management practices on the academic productivity of lecturers, with the aim of generating evidence-based recommendations for improving performance within higher education institutions.

Problem of the Statement

Universities depend on lecturers to achieve their core mandates of teaching, research, innovation, and community service. Consequently, institutions are expected to establish Human Resource Management (HRM) systems that not only administer personnel functions but also strategically enhance lecturer performance and academic productivity. Effective HRM practices such as performance appraisal, workload balancing, and research funding support have the potential to create an enabling environment for lecturers to excel in teaching, research, publication, student supervision, and knowledge dissemination. However, in many universities, HRM units continue to function primarily as administrative departments responsible for recruitment, payroll administration, leave management, and personnel record keeping, with limited involvement in driving academic productivity and institutional performance (Al-Hamad et al., 2023).

This administrative orientation creates operational challenges that directly affect lecturers' ability to perform effectively. Many lecturers continue to experience workload imbalances characterized by excessive teaching and administrative responsibilities that limit the time available for research and scholarly activities. In addition, performance appraisal systems in some institutions are perceived as routine compliance exercises rather than developmental tools that provide meaningful feedback, recognize achievement, and support career progression. Furthermore, inadequate research funding limits lecturers' ability to undertake research projects, publish scholarly work, attend academic conferences, and engage in collaborative knowledge creation (Olobia, Asiyai & Akporehe, 2025). Collectively, these challenges constrain academic productivity and reduce the effectiveness of universities in fulfilling their academic missions.

The effects of low academic productivity are felt across multiple stakeholders. Lecturers may experience reduced job satisfaction, limited career advancement opportunities, and diminished motivation to engage in research and innovation. Students may receive lower-quality learning experiences when academic staff are overburdened or inadequately supported. Universities may

also experience reduced research output, weakened institutional competitiveness, and limited contributions to national development and knowledge generation (Zegullaj et al., 2024).

Several studies have examined determinants of lecturer productivity. Adriani et al. (2020) established that reward systems, transformational leadership, and communication positively influence productivity, with motivation serving as a moderating factor. Juliastut et al. (2024) identified work culture, work environment, and competence as important drivers of lecturers' scientific productivity. Similarly, Ladipo et al. (2022) highlighted the influence of organizational culture and funding on research productivity among academic staff in Nigerian universities. While these studies provide important insights into factors affecting lecturer productivity, they largely focus on organizational and behavioral determinants and provide limited attention to the strategic role of Human Resource Management practices. Specifically, there remains insufficient empirical evidence on how performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding, as strategic HRM practices, influence the academic productivity of lecturers. This study therefore sought to examine the effect of Human Resource Management on the academic productivity of lecturers, with particular focus on performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted the literature review method as the primary research approach. A literature review involves systematically searching, analyzing, and synthesizing existing research and scholarly articles on a specific topic to develop a comprehensive understanding of the subject. This method was particularly well-suited for examining Human Resource Management practices and their influence on academic productivity among university lecturers. The literature review method was chosen for its ability to provide a broad and detailed exploration of existing research, identify gaps in knowledge, and offer insights into the collective evidence gathered by various scholars and institutions. The data collection process for this literature review involved an extensive search of peer-reviewed journals, academic books, government reports, and publications from international organizations. The selection criteria were based on the relevance of the articles to the topic, their recency (published between 2020 and 2025), and their credibility, with a preference for those published in reputable, peer-reviewed journals. Through a careful analysis of the collected literature, key themes and patterns were identified, providing a structured synthesis of the existing research. This method not only offered a detailed understanding of the topic but also highlighted areas where further research was needed, thereby contributing to the development of anticipatory, integrated strategies that safeguard both academic performance and institutional sustainability. The collected literature was analyzed to identify common themes and findings, with particular attention to HRM dimensions such as performance appraisal, professional development, workload management, and institutional support mechanisms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Workload Balance

Existing literature presents mixed findings regarding the relationship between workload balance and academic productivity. Several studies suggest that excessive workload negatively affects lecturers' productivity by reducing time available for research and increasing stress levels. For example, Suwarsi, Ridwan and Fauzan (2025) reported a significant negative relationship between workload and faculty productivity, while mental health positively influenced academic output. Similarly, Abe and Mugobo (2021) identified excessive workload as one of the major institutional

barriers to research productivity among academic staff. These findings support the argument that workload imbalance constrains scholarly engagement and reduces research performance.

However, contrary evidence exists. Harianto (2024) found that increased workload did not significantly influence lecturer performance or job satisfaction, suggesting that lecturers may develop coping mechanisms that enable them to maintain performance despite demanding work conditions. Likewise, Ramirez-Montoya et al. (2023) observed that teaching intensity measured through the number of teaching groups had no significant effect on research productivity. These findings imply that workload alone may not determine academic productivity and that institutional support structures and motivational factors may play a more important role.

Although scholars generally acknowledge the importance of workload management, disagreement remains regarding the magnitude and direction of its influence on lecturer productivity. Furthermore, existing studies have largely examined workload as an isolated factor and have paid limited attention to how workload balance interacts with broader Human Resource Management practices such as performance appraisal and research funding to influence academic productivity.

Performance Appraisal

Literature generally supports the view that performance appraisal contributes positively to academic productivity. Amaefule and Agogbua (2022) argued that structured appraisal systems enhance lecturer motivation, engagement, and achievement of institutional goals by providing feedback, recognition, and opportunities for professional development. Similarly, Brimah and Oduwole (2024) established a significant relationship between appraisal dimensions such as teaching evaluation, research assessment, community engagement, and job productivity among academic staff.

The common theme across these studies is that performance appraisal serves as a mechanism for aligning individual lecturer goals with institutional expectations. Effective appraisal systems promote accountability, identify developmental needs, and provide incentives for improved performance. However, the literature has largely focused on direct relationships between appraisal and productivity while giving limited attention to how appraisal interacts with other Human Resource Management practices. Consequently, there remains insufficient understanding of the combined influence of performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding on lecturers' academic productivity.

Research Funding

Existing studies consistently identify research funding as a critical determinant of academic productivity. Lee (2021) found that research expenditures, faculty remuneration, and performance-based incentives significantly enhanced publication output, patent generation, and licensing income among Korean universities. Similarly, Igiri et al. (2021) reported that lack of research funding was the most frequently cited barrier to academic productivity among researchers in Nigerian tertiary institutions.

These findings suggest a strong consensus that access to financial resources facilitates research activities by enabling data collection, conference participation, publication, and collaborative scholarly work. However, differences emerge regarding the mechanisms through which funding influences productivity. While Lee (2021) emphasized institutional investment and incentive structures, Igiri et al. (2021) focused on the structural constraints associated with inadequate

funding. Despite this consensus, little attention has been given to how research funding operates alongside other Human Resource Management practices to influence lecturers' overall academic productivity.

Human Resource Management

The relationship between Human Resource Management and academic productivity has generated inconsistent findings. Okwu et al. (2025) found that Human Resource Management practices positively influenced lecturer productivity, although their effects varied across gender groups. Similarly, Nguyen et al. (2022) highlighted the growing importance of Human Resource Management systems in enhancing lecturer performance, motivation, innovation, and institutional sustainability.

In contrast, Osisami (2023) reported that participatory management, performance appraisal, and organizational commitment did not significantly contribute to lecturer productivity across federal, state, and private universities. These contrasting findings suggest that the effectiveness of Human Resource Management practices may depend on contextual factors such as institutional culture, implementation quality, and availability of resources. Consequently, there remains a need for studies that examine specific Human Resource Management dimensions rather than treating Human Resource Management as a single construct.

Academic Productivity of Lecturers

Academic productivity of lecturers is presented in literature as a multidimensional outcome influenced by institutional resources, policy support, career structures, motivation, and workload conditions. Tuan et al. (2022) established that resources and supportive research policies were the most influential management factors affecting the research productivity of Vietnamese university lecturers. This finding suggests that lecturer productivity is not only determined by individual competence but also by the availability of institutional systems that support research engagement. Similarly, Doğan and Arslan (2024) found that institutional support, economic conditions, intrinsic motivation, bureaucratic processes, and gender-related barriers shaped academic productivity among faculty members after promotion to associate and full professor ranks in Turkey. These findings agree with Tuan et al. (2022) that productivity is strongly influenced by institutional conditions rather than personal effort alone.

Abe and Mugobo (2021) further support this institutional perspective by identifying excessive workload, unclear career pathways, limited awareness of research incentives, weak retention strategies, restrictive sabbatical policies, and poor time management as structural barriers to research productivity among academic staff in Universities of Technology. Unlike Tuan et al. (2022), who emphasized resources and policies, Abe and Mugobo (2021) focused more on operational and organizational constraints that limit lecturers' ability to sustain research output. Similarly, Doğan and Arslan (2024) highlighted bureaucratic inefficiencies and systemic challenges as constraints to productivity, showing that even after promotion, lecturers may continue to face institutional barriers that weaken scholarly output.

Taken together, these studies show that academic productivity is not merely an individual lecturer's responsibility but an outcome shaped by university management systems. The studies agree that resources, institutional support, clear policies, and manageable workloads are central to improving productivity. However, they differ in emphasis: Tuan et al. (2022) focused on research resources and policy support; Doğan and Arslan (2024) emphasized post-promotion experiences,

motivation, and systemic barriers; while Abe and Mugobo (2021) highlighted workload, retention, career ambiguity, and institutional constraints. Despite their contribution, the studies did not sufficiently examine how specific Human Resource Management practices, particularly performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding, jointly influence the academic productivity of lecturers. This creates a gap that the current study seeks to address.

Conclusion

The reviewed literature demonstrates that academic productivity among lecturers is influenced by a combination of institutional, organizational, and individual factors. Across the studies reviewed, there is broad agreement that supportive institutional environments characterized by adequate research funding, balanced workloads, effective performance management systems, and access to professional development opportunities contribute positively to lecturer productivity. The literature consistently identifies resource constraints, excessive workload, bureaucratic inefficiencies, weak institutional support structures, and inadequate incentive systems as major barriers to sustained academic output.

The review further reveals that research funding remains one of the most frequently examined determinants of academic productivity. While adequate funding is generally associated with increased research output, publication rates, innovation, and scholarly engagement, evidence suggests that financial resources alone may not guarantee sustained productivity. Similarly, workload balance emerges as an important factor influencing lecturers' ability to effectively manage teaching, research, supervision, and administrative responsibilities. However, findings regarding the direct effect of workload on productivity remain inconclusive, with some studies reporting significant negative effects while others suggest that institutional support mechanisms and individual coping strategies may moderate this relationship.

Performance appraisal is also widely recognized as a critical Human Resource Management practice for enhancing accountability, motivation, and professional growth. The literature suggests that transparent and developmental appraisal systems can improve lecturer engagement and performance. Nevertheless, existing studies have largely examined performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding independently, with limited attention given to their combined influence on academic productivity. Furthermore, much of the existing literature focuses on isolated organizational, behavioral, or financial factors rather than adopting an integrated Human Resource Management perspective.

Overall, the review highlights a significant gap in understanding how strategic Human Resource Management practices collectively influence academic productivity among lecturers. Existing studies provide valuable insights into individual determinants of productivity but offer limited empirical evidence on the integrated effects of performance appraisal, workload balance, and research funding within higher education institutions. This study therefore seeks to address this gap by examining how these key Human Resource Management practices influence the academic productivity of lecturers, thereby contributing to both Human Resource Management theory and higher education practice.

Recommendations

This study recommends that universities and research institutions should adopt comprehensive HRM models that go beyond remuneration. These frameworks should integrate mentorship, career progression pathways, workload management, and performance appraisal systems to foster

sustained academic productivity. It also recommends that institutions should design incentive systems that reward not only publication output but also collaborative research, innovation, and policy engagement. These systems must be transparent, context-sensitive, and aligned with long-term institutional goals. Institutions should also ensure that their HRM strategies are harmonized with broader education and research policies.

License

Copyright (c) 2026 Dr. Charles Karuga



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.

REFERENCES

- A.O, Ologunde, TO Asaolu,, DO, Elumilade, Motivation and labour turnover among university teachers in southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 2006; 2(1), 1-14.
- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2014). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (13th ed.).
- Abe, I., & Mugobo, V. (2021). Low research productivity: Transformation, institutional and leadership concern at a South African University. *Perspectives in Education*, 39(2), 113–127. <https://doi.org/10.18820/2519593x/pie.v39.i2.9>
- Al-Hamad, N., Oladapo, O. J., Afolabi, J. O. A., Olatoye, F. O., & Eboigbe, E. O. (2023). Enhancing educational outcomes through strategic human resources (HR) initiatives: Emphasizing faculty development, diversity, and leadership excellence. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 20(3), 363–383. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.20.3.2438>
- Amaefule, F. N., & Agogbua, V. U. (2022). INFLUENCE OF LECTURERS' PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL TECHNIQUE ON PRODUCTIVITY IN HIGHER INSTITUTIONS IN ANAMBRA STATE. *African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies*, 5(1), 12-21.
- Anariochi, S. E. (2023). Lecturers' workload and productivity in public universities in Rivers State. *British Journal of Contemporary Education*, 3(2), 17–34. <https://doi.org/10.52589/bjce-1n8xmnkx>
- Andriani, D., Purwana, D., & Susita, D. (2020). Analysis of factors that effect lecturer productivity producing international scientific article in private university: Motivation as a moderating variable. *IJHCM (International Journal of Human Capital Management)*, 4(1), 87–107. <https://doi.org/10.21009/ijhcm.04.01.08>
- Arulrajah, A. A. (2017). (PDF) productivity and quality management through Human Resource Management: A systematic review. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317105874_Productivity_and_Quality_Management_through_Human_Resource_Management_A_Systematic_Review
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Barney, J. B., Ketchen, D. J., & Wright, M. (2021). Resource-based theory: Twenty-five years after. *Journal of Management*, 47(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206321995575>
- Beamish, P. W., & Chakravarty, D. (2021). Using the Resource-Based View in multinational enterprise research. *Journal of Management*, 47(7), 1861–1877. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206321995575>
- Brimah, A. N., & Oduwole, M. B. (2024). Performance Appraisal and Job Productivity of Academic Staff in Kwara State University, Malete. *African Journal of Humanities and Contemporary Education Research*, 17(1), 215-238.

- Dhrubo, A. M., et al. (2025). Integrating the Resource-Based View with Integral Theory: Toward a holistic typology of organizational resources. *Philosophy of Management*, 24(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40926-025-00340-8>
- Doğan, M., & Arslan, H. (2024). Is the productivity of faculty members sustainable? the perspective of faculty members. *Trends in Higher Education*, 3(2), 356–372. <https://doi.org/10.3390/higheredu3020022>
- Hariato, A. (2024). The Influence of Workload on Lecturer Performance through Job Satisfaction at the IT&B Medan Campus. *Journal of Business Integration Competitive*, 1(1), 1-9.
- Igiri, B. E., Okoduwa, S. I., Akabuogu, E. P., Okoduwa, U. J., Enang, I. A., Idowu, O. O., ... & Onyemachi, D. I. (2021). Focused research on the challenges and productivity of researchers in Nigerian academic institutions without funding. *Frontiers in research metrics and analytics*, 6, 727228.
- Juliastuti, Suherman, & Aceng Hasani. (2024). An analysis of factors affecting lecturer productivity in producing scientific work. *Proceeding of International Conference on Education and Sharia*, 1, 653–662. <https://doi.org/10.62097/ices.v124.103>
- LADIPO, S. O., ALEGBELEYE, G. O., SOYEMI, O. D., & IKONNE, C. N. (2022). Research productivity of lecturers in federal universities in Nigeria: The place of institutional factors. *International Journal of Research in Library Science*, 8(2), 134. <https://doi.org/10.26761/ijrls.8.2.2022.1532>
- Lee, Y. H. (2021). Determinants of research productivity in Korean Universities: The role of research funding. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 46(5), 1462-1486.
- Mathews, A. S. (2024). *The impact of HRM on employee productivity*. Rcademy. <https://rcademy.com/hrm-on-employee-productivity/#:~:text=Maximizing%20employee%20productivity%20with%20HRM,and%20success%20within%20the%20organization.>
- Nguyen, D. P., Phan, L. T., Ho, H. X., & Le, A. N. (2022). Human Resource Management Practices in higher education: A literature review using co-word analysis. *International Journal of Management in Education*, 16(1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmie.2022.119682>
- Okoro, P., Akpotu, N. E., & Asiyai, R. I. (2024). Academic mentorship leadership practice and productivity of academics in public universities in Delta and Edo States, Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies*, 16(1), 16–23. <https://doi.org/10.5897/IJEAPS2024.0775>
- Okwu, F. U., Ogbonna, R. N., & Afianmagbon, B. E. (2025). Human Resource Management Practices (hrmps), job satisfaction, and academic productivity among lecturers in public universities in the south-east states of Nigeria. *Open Journal of Educational Development (ISSN: 2734-2050)*, 6(1), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.52417/ojed.v6i1.847>
- Olobia, A. A., Asiyai, R. I., & Akporehe, D. A. (2025). Human resource strategies and academic productivity in Nigerian universities: A multi-campus analysis. *Journal of Educational Policy and Practice*, 19(2), 101–118. [DOI pending]

- Osisami R. Adenike. (2023). Influence of human resource management practices on lecturers' job productivity in Universities of Lagos and Ogun States. *International Journal of Humanities Technology and Civilization*, 59–67. <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijhtc.v8i1.9419>
- Pinto, R., & Hans, K. (2024). Faculty engagement and HRM practices: A comparative study of European universities. *European Journal of Higher Education Policy*, 12(1), 77–93. [DOI pending]
- Ramirez-Montoya, M. S., Ceballos, H. G., Martínez-Pérez, S., & Romero-Rodríguez, L. M. (2023). Impact of teaching workload on scientific productivity: Multidimensional analysis in the complexity of a Mexican private university. *Publications*, 11(2), 27.
- Ruga, R. A. (2023). Influence of human resource management practices on lecturers' job productivity in universities of Lagos and Ogun States. *International Journal of Humanities Technology and Civilization*, 8(1), 59–67. <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijhtc.v8i1.9419>
- Shimaneni, T. (2024). Human resource management practices and lecturer performance in Namibian tertiary institutions. *African Journal of Educational Management*, 15(2), 88–102. [DOI pending]
- Soyer, P. (2016). Academic productivity. *Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging*, 97(2), 139–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diii.2016.01.006>
- Suwarsi, S., Ridwan, R., & Fauzan, N. (2025). Evaluating workload impacts on lecturer mental health and productivity in Indonesian private universities: A case study from Bandung. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 7(1), 2025045-2025045.
- Tuan, N. A., Hue, T. T., Lien, L. T., Van, L. H., Nhung, H. T., & Dat, L. Q. (2022). Management factors influencing lecturers' research productivity in Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam: A structural equation modeling analysis. *Heliyon*, 8(9). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e10510>
- Zegullaj, A., Miftari, A., Krasniqi, B., & Gashi, D. (2024). Human resource development and academic performance: Evidence from Balkan universities. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(1), 45–60. [DOI pending]